UNIVERSITY OF FOGGIA

Department of Agriculture, Food, Natural Resources and Engineering (DAFNE)

Ph.D. in

"Management of Innovation in the Agricultural and Food Systems of the Mediterranean Region"

(XXXIII Cycle)

Coordinator

Prof. Giancarlo Colelli

TITLE

Pathogenic parasites in ready-to-eat salads and berries sold on the Italian market

Tutor:

Prof. Annunziata Giangaspero

Co-tutors:

Prof. Lucy Robertson Prof. Kristoffer Tysnes

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020/2021

Ph.D. Student

Alessandra Barlaam

"Remember to look up at the stars and not down at your feet. Try to make sense of what you see and wonder about what makes the universe exist. Be curious. And however difficult life may seem, there is always something you can do and succeed at.

It matters that you don't just give up."

Stephen Hawking

To my mom,

who has inspired me my whole life

ABST	RACT		6	
1.	INTROD	UCTION	7	
1.1	AIMS		9	
2.	GENERAL PART1(
2.1.	FRESH PR	ODUCE INDUSTRY	10	
	2.1.1	Ready-to-eat salads	10	
	2.1.2	Berries	13	
2.2	FOODBOF	RNE PARASITES AND DRIVERS OF FOODBORNE PARASITIC D	ISEASES16	
2.3		SPORA CAVETANENSIS	21	
2.5	231	1 Classification and morphology	21	
	2.3.1	2 Biology and life cycle		
	2.3.1.	3 Pathogenesis and clinical signs		
	2.3.1.	4 Epidemiology	24	
	2.3.1.	5 Detection methods in fresh produce	28	
2.3.	2 ТОХОРІ	LASMA GONDII	30	
	2.3.2.3	1 Classification and morphology	30	
	2.3.2.	2 Biology and life cycle	31	
	2.3.2.	3 Pathogenesis and clinical signs		
	2.3.2.	4 Epidemiology	35	
	2.3.2.	5 Detection methods in fresh produce		

Content

2.3.3	3 CRYPTOSPORIDIUM SPP41				
	2.3.3.1	Classification and morphology	41		
	2.3.3.2	Biology and life cycle	43		
	2.3.3.3	Pathogenesis and clinical signs	45		
	2.3.3.4	Epidemiology	46		
	2.3.3.5	Detection methods in fresh produce	50		
2.3.4	GIARDIA	A DUODENALIS	52		
	2.3.4.1	Classification and morphology	52		
	2.3.4.2	Biology and life cycle	54		
	2.3.4.3	Pathogenesis and clinical signs	55		
	2.3.4.4	Epidemiology	56		
	2.3.4.5	Detection methods in fresh produce	60		
2.3.5	ECHINOC	OCCUS MULTILOCULARIS	62		
	2.2.1.1	Classification and morphology	62		
	2.2.1.2	Biology and life cycle	63		
	2.2.1.3	Pathogenesis and clinical signs	65		
	2.2.1.4	Epidemiology	66		
	2.2.1.5	Detection methods in fresh produce	69		
3. E)	(PERIMEI	NTAL PART	70		
3.1 M	ATERIALS	AND METHODS	70		
3.1.1	Samp	ole size estimation	70		
3.1.2	Sampling plan72				
3.1.3	Sample processing71				
3.1.4	Microscopy investigation72				
3.1.5	Mole	ecular investigation	72		
	3.1.5.1	DNA isolation	72		
	3.1.5.2	Real-time PCR and quantitative analysis (qPCR)	73		
		4			

	3.1.5.3	Conventional PCR and	DNA sequencing	75
3.	1.6 Statist	ical analyses		78
4.	RESULTS			79
4.1	MICROSCOPY	INVESTIGATION		79
4.2	MOLECULAR	INVESTIGATION AND SE	QUENCING	80
4.3	PREVALENCE	DATA		81
5.	DISCUSSIO	N		84
6.	CONCLUSIO	ONS		92
7.	REFERENC	ES		93
8.	ANNEX 1: I	SOLATION OF DNA W	/ITH DNEASY POWERS	OIL KIT134
9.	ANNEX 2: I	EXPERIENCE		135
10.	ACKNOWL	EDGMENTS		139

ABSTRACT

Among fresh produce, in recent years, the consumption of ready-to-eat (RTE) salads and berries has significantly increased in industrialized countries due to a trend towards faster and healthier eating habits. These products can be contaminated along the production food chain by several microorganisms, including pathogenic parasites that have been responsible of foodborne outbreaks worldwide. In Italy, while data on contamination of RTE salads require more-in-depth investigations there is a complete lack of data on berries, mostly imported from countries where some of these parasitic infections are endemic.

The aim of this Ph.D. project was to investigate the occurrence of foodborne parasites as contaminants of imported and locally produced fresh produce sold on the Italian market.

From January to December 2019, 648 packages of three brands of RTE mixed salads and three berrytypes were bought from supermarkets. To estimate the prevalence, for each fresh produce, a pool size of nine packages each month and 72 pools per one year were processed. After washing, the pellets were examined by microscopy (FLOTAC) and subjected to different molecular techniques (conventional PCR, simplex or multiplex *q*PCR) and sequencing.

By microscopy, *Cyclospora*-like oocysts and a taeniid egg were detected in a blueberry sample and in a RTE salad sample, respectively; *Entamoeba* and *Giardia* were also identified. *Cyclospora cayetanensis* and *Entamoeba histolytica* in imported blueberries and the Taeniid (*Echinococcus multilocularis*) in locally produced RTE salads were molecularly confirmed. *Cryptosporidium ryanae*, *Cryptosporidium bovis*, *Cryptosporidium xiaoi* and *Cryptosporidium ubiquitum* and *Giardia duodenalis* Assemblages A, B and E, most of them of zoonotic interest, were identified in both matrices. The overall prevalence of each parasite was 5.81% for *Cryptosporidium* spp., 4.63% for *G. duodenalis*, 0.15% for *C. cayetanensis*, 0.15% for *E. multilocularis*. *Entamoeba* spp. was also detected with a prevalence of 0.96%. *Toxoplasma gondii* was not found. *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* showed significant seasonal differences with higher values in winter and spring, respectively.

In this study, most of the parasite species were detected for the first time on fresh produce. The results obtained, beside opening a new epidemiological scenario in Italy, highlight the improper management of fresh produce, both locally produced or imported, along the food chain and the potential consequences of such contamination on human health.

Keywords: Prevalence, pathogenic parasites, ready-to-eat salads, berries, Italy.

1. INTRODUCTION

An increasing proportion of reported foodborne outbreaks has been linked to fresh produce, raising concerns that these foods may be an increasing source of foodborne infections (Sela-Saldinger and Manulis-Sasson, 2015). In fact, over the past few decades, the number of outbreaks linked to the consumption of fresh or minimally processed fruits and vegetables has grown in different regions of the world, including Europe, the USA and Canada (Callejón *et al.*, 2015; Carstens *et al.*, 2019; Johnson, 2019).

The demand for RTE salads and berry fruits in industrialized countries has increased significantly in recent years, partially due to a trend towards healthier eating habits (Broglia and Kapel, 2011; Eurostat, 2018; WHO, 2019) and partially due to improvements in the fresh-produce supply chain. In Europe, more than 65% of the population eats at least one portion of fresh produce per day, and this percentage reaches more than 75% in the UK, Portugal, Belgium, and Italy (Eurostat, 2018). Italy is the second largest producer of RTE salads in Europe, after France (IsmeaMercati, 2016; Eurofruit, 2020); there is also a local production of berries, however, the recent increase in the consumption of such products has led to a surge of imports from abroad, including Central and South American countries (CBI, 2018).

RTE salads combine the quality of fresh produce with simple preparation and represent a convenient source of vitamins and minerals (Legnani and Leoni, 2004; Sharma *et al.*, 2014; Preti and Vinci, 2016). Berries contain bioactive compounds associated with decreased risk of cancer and cardiovascular diseases, and with beneficial effects on metabolic disorders (Skrovankova *et al.*, 2015). Nevertheless, despite their health benefits, fresh produce can present a major food safety challenge as they can be contaminated at various places along the production chain by a range of different microorganisms, including pathogenic parasites of faecal origin (Robertson, 2018; Trevisan *et al.*, 2019).

Several species of pathogenic protozoans and helminths have been detected in fresh produce (Broglia and Kapel, 2011; Macori *et al.*, 2018; Tefera *et al.*, 2018). Furthermore, as these products are rarely heat treated prior to consumption, robust parasite transmission stages are usually not inactivated during food preparation.

Contamination may occur during the pre-harvest phase when untreated/raw sewage water is used for irrigation or animal waste is used as fertilizer or when infected animals have access to crops, as well as via insects (Steele and Odumeru, 2004; EFSA, 2018). Contamination can also occur during

harvesting or in the post-harvest phase, e.g., packaging, transport, market and home processing (EFSA, 2018).

Although RTE salads are washed before packaging, the industrial washing technologies employed do not effectively inactivate parasites (Castro-Ibáñez *et al.*, 2017; Caradonna *et al.*, 2017) and, indeed, a point contamination may spread throughout a production batch (Gil *et al.*, 2009). Due to their fragility, berries do not undergo industrial washing, and are difficult to clean thoroughly prior to consumption. In addition, as many parasite transmission stages are sticky or can be trapped on the berries' surfaces, these may be particularly difficult to remove (Tefera *et al.*, 2018).

In a European prioritisation of foodborne parasites (FBPs) based on multi-criteria decision analysis, four parasites that can be transmitted by contaminated fruits and/or vegetables were ranked among the top-five. These are in order (from highest downwards) *E. multilocularis, T. gondii, Echinococcus granulosus* and *Cryptosporidium* spp. (Bouwknegt *et al.*, 2018). Other parasites that may be transmitted by contaminated fresh produce that were included in the ranking are *G. duodenalis, Toxocara* spp., *Taenia solium, Ascaris* spp., *E. histolytica, Fasciola* spp., and *C. cayetanensis* (Bouwknegt *et al.*, 2018). Despite *C. cayetanensis* being prioritised relatively low in the ranking (at number 18 of 24 parasites), the numerous cyclosporiasis outbreaks in the USA associated with consumption of vegetables or berries imported from Southern and Central American countries, where this parasite is endemic, have also raised concerns for European consumers (Trevisan *et al.*, 2019; Giangaspero and Gasser, 2019).

Fresh produce remain the most often identified transmission vehicles for outbreaks associated with FBPs (Trevisan *et al.*, 2019). Several studies have shown the presence of parasites in RTE packaged salads in different parts of the world (Dixon *et al.*, 2013; McKerr *et al.*, 2015), including Italy, where *C. cayetanensis* and zoonotic protozoans, such as, *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *G. duodenalis* and *T. gondii*, have been detected (Di Benedetto *et al.*, 2007; Caradonna *et al.*, 2017). Pre-cut salads have also been responsible for outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis in Europe (Åberg *et al.*, 2015; McKerr *et al.*, 2015). Berries have been found to be contaminated by *C. cayetanensis* and other zoonotic parasites (*T. gondii*, *E. multilocularis*, *G. duodenalis*, *Cryptosporidium* spp., *E. histolytica*) and have been responsible for *Cyclospora* outbreaks (Tefera *et al.*, 2018; Temesgen *et al.*, 2019a).

Although for protozoan detection in vegetable matrices, several microscopy techniques based on staining, sedimentation and/or flotation procedures are available, it has recently been demonstrated that the FLOTAC technique can be successfully applied for recovering FBPs of medical and veterinary concern in vegetables (do Nascimento Ramos *et al.*, 2019).

Beside microscopy-based techniques, molecular techniques are important because of their sensitivity and specificity. Furthermore, these techniques can offer information about species and genotypes that can be used for source tracking. Among several molecular methods (conventional PCR, real-time PCR (*q*PCR), nested PCR (nPCR), magnetic-capture PCR, and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays), *q*PCR is becoming the standard laboratory tool used for the detection of FBPs (Tefera *et al.*, 2018).

Studies on RTE salads and berries are very few worldwide. As to Italy, previous findings revealed the presence of protozoan parasites in RTE salads (Di Benedetto *et al.*, 2007; Caradonna *et al.*, 2017) whereas for berries data are completely lacking.

In order to confirm and broaden the data on contamination of RTE salads in Italy and to fill the gaps in knowledge on contamination of berries, microscopy and molecular methods were employed for the detection of parasites in these food matrices in different laboratories.

1.1 AIMS

General aim:

The general aim of this Ph.D. study was:

 to investigate the presence of pathogenic parasites in fresh produce by microscopy and molecular tools.

Specific aims:

The specific aims are the following:

- to detect the presence and investigate the prevalence of *C. cayetanensis*, *G. duodenalis*, *Cryptosporidium* spp., *T. gondii*, *Echinococcus* spp. in RTE packaged mixed salads and, local and imported berries (blueberries, blackberries, and raspberries) by both microscopy (FLOTAC) and conventional and real time *q*PCR;
- to assess the health risks for consumers associated with the spread of these pathogens.

This research topic is unexplored and of great current interest; it is intensely debated among researchers and greatly encouraged by the international scientific community, due to the beneficial effects that the outcome of this project would have. In fact, the results of this project will increase the awareness on FBPs and help filling the knowledge gap in the epidemiology of these infections. In addition, the detection of the investigated protozoans would be the starting point for setting up a risk assessment procedure as the first step for the amendment of the current legislation (EC Reg. 2073/2005 and 1441/2007; Reg. UE 2017/625).

2. GENERAL PART

2.1 FRESH PRODUCE INDUSTRY

2.1.1 Ready-to-eat salads

The International Fresh-cut Produce Association (IFPA) defines "Fresh-cut produce" as any fresh fruit or vegetable or any combination thereof that has been physically altered from its original form, but remains in a fresh state. Regardless of the commodity, it has been trimmed, peeled, washed, cut and subsequently bagged or prepackaged to offer to the consumers high nutrition standards, convenience and value while maintaining freshness (IFPA, 2016).

Fresh-cut produce include any kind of fresh commodities and their mixtures in different cuts and packaging i.e., packaged salads. These products are also known by the term "minimally processed" and by the French term of "IV gamme" i.e., RTE vegetables or fruit, packaged and however fresh and natural without any additives (Sansavini and Ranalli, 2012). Within IV gamme products, RTE salads dominate the production of minimally processed foods.

Only fruit and vegetables of the best quality can resist the stress induced by the preparation (reviewed by Colelli and Elia, 2009). Temperature, storage time, relative humidity, and modified atmosphere packaging play an important role in the quality and the shelf-life of the final product (Legnani and Leoni 2004; reviewed by Colelli and Elia, 2009).

The production process of RTE salads is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Production process of the RTE salads.

The first step in the production process of RTE salads is harvesting that can be performed manually or with operating machines. After this, the product is stored at a temperature of 4 °C in a controlledhumidity environment. The harvested product is then selected (either manually or automatically) based on the size, morphological characteristics, colour, and other aspects. All unwanted parts of the plant are discarded. After sorting, the salads are cut, shredded, and washed in a system that usually consists of three washing tanks: in the first tank (pre-washer) soil and any foreign bodies that may be present are removed; in the other tanks, the product is washed and sanitized. The water used for washing salads is potable, used in sufficient quantity (from 5 to 10 liters/kg), adequately refrigerated (from 1 to 4°C), and constantly recycled with self-cleaning filter systems.

Chlorine is the most commonly used water disinfectant in the fresh produce industry. Chlorine is normally applied to process water as chlorine gas (Cl₂), sodium hypochlorite (NaOCI) solution or dry calcium hypochlorite (CaCl₂O₂). Sodium hypochlorite is the chlorine source most frequently used because it is affordable and can be handled without high expertise and with a minimal hazard (Suslow, 1997; Colelli and Elia, 2009). In water solution it is employed in concentrations variable between 50 and 200 ppm of chlorine (Parish et al., 2003; Soliva-Fortuny et al., 2006). However, the occurrence of chlorate residues in fresh produce chlorine-based disinfectants has been highlighted as a problem and the industry faces increasing pressure to find alternatives to chlorine which is currently banned as a wash for produce in some European countries, including Germany, the Netherlands, Switzerland and Belgium (Gil et al., 2016; https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/en/news/boost-efficient-safe-food-production). Other disinfectant agents are hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), ozone (O₃), peracetic acid (C₂H₄O₃) and ultraviolet radiation (UV), however, their use is limited because some of them can only be used at high concentrations (H_2O_2 ; $C_2H_4O_3$) or can be dangerous for food operators (O_3) or require significant financial investments (UV) (Turatti, 2011).

After washing, the leaves reach a drying system the main purpose of which is to avoid high humidity levels inside the packages as this could promote a rapid deterioration of the product.

The dry product is then transported into the packing room where it is weighed, kept at 1-2°C (Turatti, 2011), and packaged in Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP) (Varoquaux and Mazollier, 2002). Finally, the product is sealed and labelled.

Fresh-cut produce have shown a positive trend in the market over the last two decades worldwide. An article by Cavaiuolo *et al.* (2015) reports that fresh-cut salads cover about the 50% of the market volume, fresh-cut fruits account for more than 10% of the share and other fresh-cut vegetables (e.g., crudités, soup mix, stir-fry vegetables) cover the remaining 40% worldwide.

In Europe, Italy is the second largest producer of fresh-cut produce (IsmeaMercati, 2016); in particular, RTE mixed salads cover about the 75% of the sales of fresh-cut vegetables, followed by rocket salads (9%) and other fresh cut vegetables (16%) (e.g., spinach, carrots, beets, mushrooms, cabbage, spices and vegetable soups) (IsmeaMercati, 2016) (**Figure 2**).



Figure 2. Sales of fresh cut vegetables in Italy in 2015 (% sales) (Source: IsmeaMercati, 2016).

In Italy, there are approximately 500 companies and 120 processing plants that produce RTE vegetables. While the processing plants are mainly located in the north of Italy (particularly in Lombardy) with the exception of Campania region, located in the south, the raw materials are mainly produced in Southern Italy (Baldi and Casati, 2011) (**Figure 3**).



Figure 3. Distribution of the fresh-cut companies in Italy (Source: Baldi and Casati, 2011).

2.1.2 Berries

Berries are defined as fruits derived from a variety of plants which are characterized by a high surface-weight ratio and the entire fruit, including seeds, can be consumed in a succulent form (Codex Alimentarius, 2000). Blueberries (*Vaccinium cyanococcus, Vaccinium corymbosum*), blackberries (*Rubus*), and raspberries (*Rubus idaeus*) are all members of the rose family and grow on perennial bushes.

All berries are rather small and have soft skins and this makes them vulnerable to damage; in fact, due to their fragility, berries do not undergo industrial washing, and are difficult to clean thoroughly prior to consumption (Ortega and Shields, 2015; Tefera *et al.*, 2018).

A wide variety of food products are produced from berries for human consumption, and these vary in terms of physical and biological properties, and in the processes involved in production.

Berries can be harvested from the wild or cultivated. They can be grown on large-scale production sites or on smaller settings; in the latter situation, berries are more exposed to pathogens contamination due to less advanced infrastructure, and reduced ability to follow the principles of good agricultural practice (GAP) and good handling practice (GHP) (European Commission, 2006; Ganpat *et al.*, 2014).

The production type can be outdoor or indoor. Berries grown outside are at greater risk of contamination from animals or the environment. Therefore, if production is outside, efforts should be made to ensure that the field is properly fenced, and/or other measures to prevent animal access to the field are implemented. The irrigation method also represents a crucial factor to consider: deep root irrigation systems are safer than the overhead methods of distribution, such as sprinkling, since they reduce the chance of contaminants reaching the edible parts of the plants. For plants grown outdoors there is also the chance of indirect contamination caused, among other things, by water during heavy rainfall or soil splashed onto the plants (reviewed by Tefera *et al.*, 2018).

On production sites, identification of the potential sources of contamination is the first step for ensuring high quality produce for human consumption; the risk should be reduced by identifying critical control points throughout the production line and implementing a hazard analysis critical control points (HACCP) plan by installing proper tools for preventing contamination rather than controlling it after it has occurred (FDA, 1997). In fact, HACCP is a continuous process that describes appropriate ways to monitor production, including contamination; whenever contamination occurs, from farm-to fork, a planned corrective action should be taken in order to ensure safety of the product. Different types of berry production should be scrutinized separately for optimizing the

HACCP plan. In addition, should specific food products for human consumption be produced in the same facility, other than fresh berries, this shall absolutely be considered when establishing a HACCP regime. Other food safety management systems, such as, GAP, GHP and Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) are highly recommended to ensure safety of the products.

European countries produce berries for a large part of the year. Poland is the main berry-producing country followed by Germany. Italy produces a variety of berries including blackberries, raspberries and blueberries (CBI, 2018) (**Figure 4**).



Figure 4. European production of fresh berries.

Nevertheless, to guarantee the provision of fresh berries all the year round, it also necessary to import berries into Europe; most berries are imported from other countries during the off-season (Kempler and Hall, 2013).

The total European import of fresh berries in 2016 was nearly 80 thousand tonnes, of which around 76 thousand tonnes were imported from developing countries (**Figure 5**). Most long-distance and off-season supplies come from Latin America (mostly Mexico, Chile, and Peru). Other countries, like Morocco and South Africa, are also rapidly increasing their export capacities. These countries have warm climates that ensure year-round production and, often, cheap labour costs (CBI, 2018).

Such countries, however, may be endemic for pathogens that are unusual or rare in the importing countries. As such countries may also have less developed infrastructure, there could be greater chances of contamination of the berries during production. For example, Morocco is endemic for cystic echinococcosis (Chebli *et al.*, 2017) and *C. cayetanensis* is endemic in Southern and Central American countries (Almeria *et al.*, 2019). In 1996 and 1997, cyclosporiasis outbreaks in North America were linked to eating Guatemalan raspberries. In the same period a study aimed at

describing the epidemiology of *Cyclospora* in Guatemala, explored potential environmental sources of contamination, including a raspberry farm cohort of 164 workers and 18 family members who submitted specimens from April 6 to May 29, 1997. Among these 182 raspberry farm workers and family members monitored, six (four farm workers and two family members) were positive for *C. cayetanensis* (Bern *et al.*, 1999).

Many outbreaks of disease have been linked to produce imported from countries where HACCP implementation is suboptimal and the importance of these routines for ensuring product safety is underestimated (Dixon, 2016).



Figure 5. European import of fresh berries 2012-2016 (in 1000 tonnes).

The United Kingdom and Germany maintain the highest total import of fresh berries, The Netherlands is the third largest importer and France, Spain, Italy and Austria follow in 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th position, respectively (CBI, 2018) (**Figure 6**).



Figure 6. Main European importers of fresh berries 2012-2016 (in 1000 tonnes).

2.1 FOODBORNE PARASITES AND DRIVERS OF FOODBORNE PARASITIC DISEASES

Compared with bacterial and viral foodborne pathogens, FBPs have received less attention and represent a neglected pathogen group; however, they are increasingly being recognized as a public health problem.

Foodborne parasites are neglected for a variety of reasons (Robertson, 2018): (*i*) there is a perception that they are mostly associated with developing countries, conditions of poverty and inadequate sanitary conditions. However, this not always the case and FBPs are not just restricted to such places but spread all over the world, Europe included. Poor hygiene conditions and poverty settings certainly promote the spread of FBPs. However, nowadays, in such a globalised world with movement of people and animals and the internationalisation of commerce and globalised food supply (Robertson *et al.*, 2014), it is impossible to make such a clear-cut distinction between developing and developed countries; (*ii*) most infections with FBPs do not manifest as acute diseases, but rather have a chronic course of the disease.

The most important FBPs and their main food vehicles are summarized in Table 1.

Parasites	Primary food	Primary food	Secondary food
Anisəkidən		Marino fish	venicles
AUISAKIDA6	Aquatic animais	crustacoans and	
		cephalopods	
Ascaris spp	Plants	Fresh produce	
Ascurb spp.	Fidino	riesiipioduce	
Balantidium coli	Plants	Fresh produce	
Cryptosporidium spp.	Plants	Fresh produce,	
		fruit juice,	
Calescon constances in	Dianta	MIIK	
Cyclospora cayetanensis	Plants	Berries, tresn	
Diphyllobothriidae	Aquatic animals	Eish (freshwater and	
Dipityilobotiiliidae	Aquatic animais	marino)	
Echinococcus aranulosus	Plants	Fresh produce	
Echinococcus grunulosus	Plants	Fresh produce	
locularis	FIGHTS	Fleshproduce	
Entamoeba histolytica	Plants	Fresh produce	
(Older studies did not			
distinguish Entamoeba			
histolytica from E. dispar.)			
Fasciola spp.	Plants	Fresh produce	
		(aquatic plants)	
Giardia duodenalis	Plants	Fresh produce	Molluscan
(syn. G. intestinalis,			shellfish
G. lamblia)			
Heterophyldae	Aquatic animals	Fresh- and	
		brackish-water fish	
Opisthorchildae	Aquatic animals	Freshwater fish	
Paragonimus spp.	Aquatic animals	Freshwater crustacea	
Sarcocystis spp.	Land animals	Beef	Pork
Sparganosis - Spirometra spp.	Other	Frog, snake meat	
Taenia saginata	Land animals	Beef	
Taenia solium	Land animals	Pork	
	Plants	Fresh produce	
	(cysticercosis)	·	
	Plants	Fresh produce	
Toxocara spp.			
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma qondii	Land animals	Meat from small	Fresh produce.
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii	Land animals	Meat from small ruminants, pork,	Fresh produce, seafood, dairy
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii	Land animals	Meat from small ruminants, pork, beef, game meat (red	Fresh produce, seafood, dalry products
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii	Land animals	Meat from small ruminants, pork, beef, game meat (red meat and organs)	Fresh produce, seafood, dairy products
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii	Land animals	Meat from small ruminants, pork, beef, game meat (red meat and organs)	Fresh produce, seafood, dairy products
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii Toxoplasma gondii Trichinella spiralis	Land animals	Meat from small ruminants, pork, beef, game meat (red meat and organs) Pork	Fresh produce, seafood, dairy products Horse,
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii Toxoplasma gondii Trichinella spiralis	Land animals	Meat from small ruminants, pork, beef, game meat (red meat and organs) Pork	Fresh produce, seafood, dairy products Horse, Game meat
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii Trichinella spiralis Trichinella spp. (other than	Land animals	Meat from small ruminants, pork, beef, game meat (red meat and organs) Pork Game meat ⁽⁵⁾	Fresh produce, seafood, dairy products Horse, Game meat Pork
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii Trichinella spiralis Trichinella spp. (other than Trichinella spiralis)	Land animals Land animals Land animals	Meat from small ruminants, pork, beef, game meat (red meat and organs) Pork Game meat ⁽⁵⁾	Fresh produce, seafood, dairy products Horse, Game meat Pork
Toxocara spp. Toxoplasma gondii Trichinella spiralis Trichinella spp. (other than Trichinella spiralis) Trichuris trichiura	Land animals Land animals Land animals Plants	Meat from small ruminants, pork, beef, game meat (red meat and organs) Pork Game meat ⁽⁵⁾ Fresh produce	Fresh produce, seafood, dairy products Horse, Game meat Pork

 Table 1. Parasites and main food vehicles (Source: FAO/WHO, 2014).

In a recent article by Robertson *et al.* (2020), FBPs are classified based on the food matrix by which they are transmitted:

- *FBPs transmitted by meat and fish*: Foods of animal origin are highly consumed in most European countries and represent a major vehicle for foodborne diseases (Da Silva Felicio *et al.*, 2015). In 2012, *Trichinella*, *Toxoplasma*, and *Taenia solium* were ranked as being globally the most important FBPs in meat (FAO/WHO, 2014), and nematodes (in particular *Anisakis*) as being of greatest importance in fish worldwide. In a more recent risk-ranking restricted to Europe (Bouwknegt *et al.*, 2018) (**Figure 7**), while in line with the previous one, *Toxoplasma* and *Trichinella* occupy the top positions among meatborne parasites and *Anisakis* is ranked as being of highest importance in fish, *Taenia solium* is considered to be of lower significance in Europe, reaching only the tenth position.



Figure 7. European ranking of FBPs based on the Euro-FBP criteria and weights, 2016 (Source: Bouwknegt *et al.*, 2018).

- FBP transmitted as contaminants of water, shellfish or fresh produce: Many protozoan FBPs i.e., Cryptosporidium spp., T. gondii and G. duodenalis can be transmitted not only via food, but also, and perhaps more commonly, via water contaminated by oo/cysts released in the faeces of their definitive hosts. Cryptosporidium spp. and G. duodenalis are, in fact, the most commonly reported agents of waterborne outbreaks of disease (Efstratiou *et al.*, 2017). Humans and animals can also be infected by the consumption of contaminated raw fresh produce (fruits and vegetables). Contamination may occur directly by faeces (via animals, food handlers, surfaces, or equipment) or when such produce are irrigated or washed with contaminated water (Shapiro *et al.*, 2019; Ryan *et al.*, 2018, 2019).

A further transmission vehicle is bivalve molluscs, which concentrate contaminants in their organs, including protozoan oo/cysts (Robertson, 2007; Tedde *et al.*, 2019). When they are consumed raw or lightly cooked, they may represent a vehicle of FBPs.

In a recent article by Trevisan *et al.*, 2019, 19 drivers of foodborne parasitic diseases were identified, and those of greatest importance are discussed (**Figure 8**).



Figure 8. Top five drivers of foodborne parasitic diseases in Europe (Source: Trevisan et al., 2019).

1- Globalization of food supply. The broad distribution of foods has been made possible by improved transportation, particularly the cold chain. As a consequence, foodborne diseases are no longer geographically circumscribed and international and multistate outbreaks have become more common (Gould, *et al.*, 2017; Lipcsei, *et al.*, 2019) (e.g., outbreaks of cyclosporiasis in the USA associated with imported fresh produce (Hadjilouka and Tsaltas, 2020)).

2- Changing culinary habits and the role of human behaviour towards raw foods. Consumption of raw and undercooked foods has significantly increased, for example, eating sushi and sashimi has become extremely popular worldwide, leading to an increase of gastroallergic anisakiasis in consumers (Llarena-Reino *et al.*, 2015). Travelling, strictly linked with trying new foods and *cuisines*, has increased consumers' exposure to unfamiliar risks and FBPs (Robertson *et al.*, 2014). The increasing attention of consumers towards animal welfare and the expansion of the outdoors/organic farming systems has determined for the animals raised outdoors a greater possibility of infection with parasites such as *T. gondii*, *Trichinella*, *Taenia* spp. (Murrell, 2016; Devleesschauwer *et al.*, 2017). Also, driven mostly by lack of time, more and more consumers opt for a ready meal, including RTE salads, which, as healthy as they may be, have also been found contaminated by protozoan parasites and responsible of outbreaks of disease (Caradonna *et al.*, 2017; FDA, 2020).

3/4- Gaps in surveillance and control and lack of awareness from agencies. Surveillance of foodborne diseases is a fundamental component of food-safety systems (EFSA, 2018). For some FBPs, such as *Trichinella* and *Taenia* spp., there are EU-level regulations (Regulation (EU) 2015/1375; Regulation (EC) 854/2004) and official controls in place. However, for others such as *T. gondii* in meat or protozoan parasites in fresh produce there are not any. This is an issue that will need to be addressed and increased efforts will be needed to translate research results into policies.

5- *The role of water*. The increased employment of wastewater for irrigation due to extensive population growth and related increase in food requirements and new areas of cultivation, has led to greater possibilities for contamination of fresh produce. For instance, RTE-products are increasingly popular, and have been associated with outbreaks of FBPs (McKerr *et al.*, 2015).

The FBPs investigated in the present survey, are discussed individually in the next section.

2.3 INVESTIGATED FOODBORNE PARASITES

2.3.1 CYCLOSPORA CAYETANENSIS

2.3.1.1 Classification and morphology

Cyclospora is a protozoan parasite that belongs to the phylum Apicomplexa, class Sporozoasida, subclass Coccidiasina, order Eucoccidiorida, family Eimeriidae.



Nineteen species belonging to the genus *Cyclospora* are currently known. They infect reptiles, insectivores (Ortega and Sanchez, 2010) and primates (Lainson *et al.*, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2015), including humans (**Table 2**); these species cannot be microscopically distinguished as they all are morphologically highly similar.

Cyclospora species	Hosts
C. papionis	Baboons
C. colobi	Colobus monkey
C. glomericola	Diplopods
C. cercopitheci	Green monkeys
C. cayetanensis	Humans
C. caryolitica	Insectivores
C. talpae	Insectivores
C. ashtabulensis	Insectivores
C. megacephali	Insectivores
C. parascalopi	Insectivores
C. macacae	Rhesus monkeys
C. angimurinensis	Rodents
C. viperae	Snakes
C. babaulti	Snakes
C. tropidonoti	Snakes
C. scinci	Snakes
C. zamenis	Snakes
C. niniae	Snakes
C. shneideri	Snakes

Table 2. Species of Cyclospora and their hosts (from Giangaspero and Gasser, 2019).

The first published report of *Cyclospora* infection in humans can be dated back to 1979 when it was described as a coccidian organism responsible for causing diarrhoea in two children and a woman in Papua New Guinea, but finally diagnosed as a coccidian belonging to the genus *Isospora* which,

in retrospect, was probably *C. cayetanensis* (Ashford *et al.*, 1979). In subsequent reports, *Cyclospora* was described as a coccidian-like body (CLB), cyanobacterium-like body, blue-green alga, or large *Cryptosporidium* until 1993 (Ortega *et al.*, 1993). In 1994 this organism was formally recognised as a new coccidian species capable of infecting humans and named *C. cayetanensis* (Ortega *et al.*, 1994).

C. cayetanensis seems to be host specific and humans are so far the only confirmed hosts. Although it has been detected in the faeces of several animals, including ducks, chickens and other avian species, dogs, albino mice and non-human primates (reviewed by Almeria *et al.*, 2019), attempts to establish experimental *C. cayetanensis* infection in laboratory animals (Eberhard *et al.*, 2000) have not been successful, suggesting host specificity. In general, the presence of oocysts in stools from animals seems to be exclusively linked to their passage through the gastrointestinal tract with no evidence of infection (Ortega and Sherchand, 2015).

Cyclospora oocysts are rounded and measure 7.7-9.9 μ m (avg. 8.6 μ m); they have a colorless, thin (<1 μ m) and bilayered wall. Unsporulated oocysts are undifferentiated spheres containing a morula (Long *et al.*, 1991). When sporulated, the oocysts contain two ovoid structure called sporocysts (4 to 6.3 μ m) inside each one of which there are two sporozoites (1.2 to 9 μ m) folded in two (Ortega *et al.*, 1993, 1994) (**Figure 9**).



Figure 9. *Cyclospora* oocysts. The images show unsporulated and sporulated oocysts (source: CDC, 2020).

2.3.1.2 Biology and life cycle

Cyclospora cayetanensis is an obligate intracellular parasite and is monoxenous, requiring a single human host to complete the entire life cycle (Ortega *et al.*, 1997). The infection begins when a susceptible host ingests sporulated *C. cayetanensis* oocysts, potentially with contaminated food, water, or soil. In the upper gastrointestinal tract, the oocysts excyst and release the sporozoites that invade the enterocytes of the duodenum and the jejunum. Inside the enterocytes, the sporozoites transform into trophozoites and, after that, undergo asexual multiplication (merogony) into type I

and type II meronts, containing merozoites. The type I meronts contain eight to 12 merozoites, type II meronts contain four merozoites. While Type I merozoites remain in the asexual cycle, type II merozoites initiate the sexual (gametogony) phase and (male) microgametocytes or (female) macrogametocytes are formed. The microgametocytes fertilise the macrogametocytes to produce zygotes, which differentiate into unsporulated oocysts and, after being released into the lumen of the intestine, are excreted in the environment through the faeces. Sporulation occurs outside the host; the oocysts require 7 to 15 days to sporulate under ideal conditions (23 to 27°C) and become infectious to a susceptible host (Ortega and Sanchez, 2010). A sporulated oocyst contains two sporocysts, each with two sporozoites (reviewed by Giangaspero and Gasser, 2019 and Almeria *et al.*, 2019) (Figure 10).



Figure 10. Life cycle of C. cayetanensis (source: CDC, 2018).

2.3.1.3 Pathogenesis and clinical symptoms

Cyclosporiasis affects the small intestine (Sun *et al.*, 1996; Connor *et al.*, 1999). Although *C. cayentanensis* pathogenesis and virulence factors are not yet fully understood, its asexual and sexual replications during merogony (schizogony) and gametogony, respectively, seem to be responsible for damaging and altering the architecture of the small intestine causing mucosal alterations, edema and infiltration by inflammatory cells, reactive hyperemia, vascular dilation, and congestion of capillaries in the upper intestinal tract (Ortega *et al.*, 1997). These histopathological

changes result in the reduction of the intestine surface area, leading to a decreased uptake of electrolytes, water, and nutrients and to abundant and watery diarrhoea, often occurring in cyclical patterns alternating with constipation (Soave et a., 1998). In addition to diarrhoea, other gastrointestinal symptoms e.g., abdominal cramps, nausea and vomiting as well as low-grade fever, fatigue and weight loss are associated with this infection.

In immunocompetent patients the disease is mainly self-limiting and associated with mild symptoms. However, protracted or chronic diarrhoea has been reported in immunocompromised patients (Almeria *et al.*, 2019) e.g., HIV-positive patients in which the average duration of diarrhoea is longer than in HIV-negative patients (199 days and 57.2 days, respectively) (Schubach *et al.*, 1997; Sancak *et al.*, 2006).

In endemic settings the infection is usually asymptomatic or mild among adults (Hussein *et al.*, 2007; Gómez Martínez *et al.*, 2016). The elderly and the young children are those most severely affected and show the most serious clinical symptoms; in the latter case, protracted diarrhoea can lead to severe dehydration and even death especially if cyclosporiasis is complicated by secondary viral, bacterial, or parasitic (e.g., *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*) infections and/or by malnutrition and malabsorption, which are quite common in underprivileged settings (Behera *et al.*, 2008). Interestingly, the severity and duration of the infection tend to become milder after repeated episodes (Ortega and Sanchez, 2010). The median incubation period is around seven days (Almeria *et al.*, 2019). Clinical symptoms can persist for a few days to a month or longer, if patients are not treated (Goldberg and Bishara, 2012).

Immunocompromised people such as individuals with HIV/AIDS (Pape *et al.*, 1994) may also develop extraintestinal forms, including Guillain–Barre syndrome (Richardson *et al.*, 1998) and reactive arthritis syndrome (formerly known as Reiter syndrome) (Connor *et al.*, 2001). Further clinical manifestations of *C. cayetanensis* infection in HIV-positive patients are biliary disease, acalculous cholecystitis and cholangitis in AIDS patients (Sifuentes-Osornio *et al.*, 1995; Connor *et al.*, 2001; Zar *et al.*, 2001).

2.3.1.4 Epidemiology

The infectious dose for *Cyclospora* is unknown but is presumed to be low (Sterling and Ortega, 1999). The factors affecting the survival of *Cyclospora* oocysts are summarized in **Table 3**.

Factors affecting survival of Cyclospora oocysts						
Environment	Heat	рН	Freezing	Disinfectant	Drying	UV light
Oocysts survive in water at 4 °C for 2 months and at 37 °C for 7 days (Smith et al., 1997; Ortega et al., 1998). Unsporulated C. cayetanensis oocysts are	After heating at 60 °C for 1 h or 70 °C for 15 minutes oocysts cannot be induced to sporulate. Sporulation was not observed in basil leaves or	No information.	Sporulation can be prevented after 2 days at -20 °C. Sporulation was not observed in basil leaves or water after exposure to -70 °C for 1 h	Oocysts are resistant to many disinfectants including chlorine at levels used in water treatment (Soave et al., 1998). Cyclospora	Oocysts are very sensitive to desiccation (after 15 min, the oocyst wall breaks) (Long et al., 1991).	No information.
resistant to the most common pesticides (Sathyanarayanan and Ortega, 2004).	water at 70 °C, and 100 °C for 15 min (Sterling and Ortega, 1999; Sathyanarayanan and Ortega, 2006).		(Sathyanarayanan and Ortega, 2006).	sporulation Is not affected by treatment with chlorine dioxide (Ortega <i>et al.</i> , 2008).		

Table 3. Survival of *Cyclospora* oocysts. Adapted and modified from Dawson, 2005 and Gérard *et al.*, 2019.

Humans and foodborne outbreaks caused by the consumption of fresh produce - C. cayetanensis infection among humans has been reported worldwide, in both developed and developing countries, but it is most common in tropical and subtropical areas - Central and South America, the Middle East, the Indian subcontinent and South East Asia - where cyclosporiasis is an endemic disease with a prevalence up to 13% in immunocompetent individuals (adults and/or children) and up to 36% in immunocompromised persons, mostly HIV/AIDS patients (Chacin-Bonilla, 2010).

In Latin America, the prevalence of cyclosporiasis in humans is low in Mexico (up to 3.3%) (de la Luz Galván-Ramírez *et al.*, 2019) but reaches peaks of and 41.6% in Peru (Burnstein Alva, 2005), followed by Haiti with 36% (Raccurt *et al.*, 2008), Venezuela with 24.2% (Cazorla *et al.*, 2012) and Brazil with 10.8% (Borges *et al.*, 2009), respectively.

Cyclospora infection in humans has also been registered in the Middle East e.g., Turkey with an overall prevalence of 17.4% (Turgay *et al.*, 2012) and Saudi Arabia (≤52%) (Sanad *et al.*, 2014) and in countries of the Indian subcontinent and South East Asia, including India, Nepal and China with prevalences of 22% (Dhanabal *et al.*, 2014), 9.2% (Kimura *et al.*, 2005) and 5.6% (Wang *et al.*, 2002), respectively.

With regard to African countries, the highest prevalences have been recorded in Egypt (10%) (Nassef *et al.*, 1998), South Africa (7.2%) (Samie *et al.*, 2009), and Madagascar (16.5%) (Frickmann *et al.*, 2015); in addition, an overall prevalence of 18% has been recorded in 14 sub-Saharan countries (Fletcher *et al.*, 2011).

In developed and non-endemic countries, the prevalence does not exceed 3%. In the USA prevalences ranging from 0.3 to 0.5% (Wurtz, 1994; Ooi *et al.*, 1995) were recorded in the 1990s,

however, there is evidence supporting that the incidence of infection has substantially increased since then. In Canada, a prevalence of 1.9% was recorded (Salehi *et al.*, 2015).

In Europe, most cases of cyclosporiasis were related to traveller's diarrhoea, such as those registered in Germany, Spain and in Poland (Jelinek *et al.* 1997; Paschke *et al.*, 2011; Ramírez-Olivencia *et al.*, 2008; Bednarska *et al.*, 2015), but single autoctonous cases of cyclosporiasis have been registered in the Czech Republic (Jelinkova *et al.*, 2011) as well as in Italy (Scaglia *et al.*, 1994; Maggi *et al.*, 1995; Masucci *et al.*, 2008, 2011).



Figure 11. Estimated prevalence and distribution of *Cyclospora* infection in immunocompetent people (source: Giangaspero and Gasser, 2019)

Cyclosporiasis is accepted as often being a foodborne infection, and the main route of transmission of *C. cayetanensis* is represented by contaminated fresh produce, such as berries and leafy vegetables, which are eaten raw, without previous heating, and are difficult to wash properly before consumption (Ortega and Sanchez, 2010; Tefera *et al.*, 2018).

Outbreaks of cyclosporiasis associated with contaminated fruits and vegetables have been reported worldwide e.g., Asia (i.e., Nepal and Indonesia) and South America (i.e., Mexico, Columbia, Guatemale and Perù) (Chacin-Bonilla, 2010).

In the United States, since the mid-1990s repeated outbreaks of cyclosporiasis associated with the consumption of various types of contaminated fresh produce, mainly imported from South American countries, such as raspberries and cilantro, have been reported (CDC, 2019).

Outbreaks of *C. cayetanensis* infections have also been registered in Europe. In Germany, a cyclosporiasis outbreak with 34 involved people was associated with the consumption of salads

prepared with fresh produce imported from France and Southern Italy (Döller *et al.*, 2002). In Sweden, an outbreak of *C. cayetanensis* infection with 12 laboratory-confirmed cases was reported between May and June 2009. The incriminated food items were sugar snap peas imported from Guatemala (Insulander *et al.*, 2010). In the United Kingdom, *C. cayetanensis* was identified in 79 travellers returning from the Riviera Maya region of Mexico between June and September 2015. The infections have been attributed to the consumption of fresh produce such as contaminated fruits (strawberries or raspberries), vegetables and herbs (coriander) as well as contaminated water and drinks (Nichols *et al.*, 2015).

In Italy, foodborne outbreaks of *Cyclospora* infection associated with the consumption of contaminated fruits and/or vegetables have not been registered.

A recent review provides detailed information on the outbreaks associated with *C. cayetanensis* by country, year of occurrence, and possible sources of exposure (Almeria *et al.*, 2019).

Fresh produce - Several surveillance studies have reported the presence of *C. cayetanensis* in fresh fruits and vegetables worldwide (reviewed by Almeria *et al.*, 2019). In endemic areas, *C. cayetanensis* was reported in fresh produce in several Latin American countries such as Colombia (Ortiz Pineda *et al.*, 2020), Peru (Burnstein Alva, 2005), Venezuela (Devera *et al.*, 2006), and Costa Rica (Calvo *et al.*, 2004) with prevalences ranging between 0.8% and 8% as well as in Nepal (Sherchand *et al.*, 1999, 2010), Cambodia (Anh *et al.*, 2007) and Egypt (Mossallam, 2010; El Said Said., 2012). The contaminated foods were mainly leafy vegetables (ranging from lettuce to water spinach) and berries such as strawberries and fresh strawberry juice.

In non-endemic industrialized countries, to date, no surveillance studies have been published in the USA. In Canada, *C. cayetanensis* was found in 9 (1.7%) out of 544 RTE packaged leafy greens (Dixon *et al.*, 2013) and in 6 (0.51%) out of 1171 pre-packaged leafy greens (Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2016). As to Europe, *Cyclospora* was registered in Italy where *Cyclospora* DNA was detected in 6 (12.2%) out of 49 vegetable samples i.e., fennel, cucumber, celery, tomato, with the highest prevalence (18.7%) on fennel (Giangaspero *et al.*, 2015a) and in RTE packaged salads sold on the Italian market with a prevalence of 1.3% (Caradonna *et al.*, 2017).

A recent review gives detailed information on surveillance studies of *Cyclospora* spp. and/or *C. cayetanensis* oocysts detection in fresh produce worldwide (Almeria *et al.*, 2019).

Location % (No. Positive/Total Samples Analyzed)		Food Type	
Cambodia	8.3 (3/36)	Water spinach	
Costa Rica	8.0 (2/25)	Lettuce	
Canada	1.7 (9/544)	Precut salads and leafy greens	
Canada	0.5 (6/1171)	Arugula/baby arugula, baby spinach and spring mix	
Egypt	16 (4/25)	Lettuce heads	
Egypt	21.3 (64/300)	Rocket, lettuce, parsley, leek, green onion	
Egypt	25.7 (9/35)	Fresh strawberry juice	
Ethiopia	6.9 (25/360)	Vegetables and raw fruits (avocado, lettuce, cabbage, carrot, tomato, banana and mango) *	
Ghana	5.1 (20/395)	Cabbage, green pepper, onion, tomato, lettuce	
Italy	12.2 (6/49)	Fennel, cucumber, celery, tomato	
Italy	1.3 (8/648)	Ready to eat-packaged salads	
Korea	1.2 (5/404)	Winter grown cabbage, sprouts, blueberries, and cherry tomatoes	
Nepal	Number not indicated	Cabbage, lettuce, mustard leaves	
Nepal	Number not indicated	Lettuce, spinach, mustard and basil leaves	
Peru	Two surveys: 1.8 (2/110) and 1.6 (1/62)	Lettuce, mint, black mint	
Venezuela	5.9% (6/102)	Lettuce	
Vietnam	8.4 (24/287)	Herbs (basil, coriander sativum and coriander, Vietnamese mint, marjoram, persicaria) and lettuce	

* Unclear which commodity was contaminated by Cyclospora spp.

Table 4. Positive reports and surveillance studies of *Cyclospora* spp. and/or *C. cayetanensis* oocysts detection in fresh produce worldwide (see Almeria *et al.*, 2019 and related references)

2.3.1.5 Detection methods in fresh produce

The detection of *C. cayetanensis* in fresh produce is challenging because of i) the low numbers of oocysts they are usually contaminated with, ii) the oocysts being trapped in pores of the food making them difficult to detach and difficult to detect, iii) the methods used for clinical samples not always being applicable for the detection of *Cyclospora* in fresh produce limiting, as a result, the options available.

The first step for the recovery of the *Cyclospora* oocysts is the washing aimed at detaching the parasite stages from the products' surface and concentrating them; for this purpose, among several solutions available (Shields *et al.*, 2012; Chandra *et al.*, 2014; Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2016), Alconox[®] is more efficient compared to others and significantly improves the recovery of parasitic protozoa from food (Shields *et al.*, 2012).

The use of lectin-coated paramagnetic beads represents an option for the isolation of *Cyclospora* oocysts from fresh produce, however no significant differences in the recovery efficiency have been detected with or without this procedure (Robertson *et al.*, 2000).

Antibody-specific coated beads could also be employed for the concentration of *C. cayetanensis* oocysts as used for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* spp., but the antibodies are not yet commercially available (Almeria *et al.*, 2019).

Identification of *Cyclospora* oocysts in food matrices by microscopy is extremely challenging because the currently available methods, including acid-fast stain (Garcia *et al.*, 2017), hot-safranin stain (Visvesvara *et al.*, 1997; Maratim *et al.*, 2002) and ultraviolet fluorescence microscopy (Ortega and Sterling, 1996; Varea *et al.*, 1998), lack the required sensitivity for the detection of low concentration of oocysts.

In recent years, several molecular techniques including conventional PCR, real-time PCR (*q*PCR), nested PCR (nPCR), magnetic-capture PCR, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays, etc. have been used for the detection of FBPs in fresh produce.

Nested-PCR protocols based on the 18S rRNA gene (Steele *et al.*, 2003; Dixon *et al.*, 2013) have been described for *Cyclospora*. Among the *q*PCRs, a method for identification of protozoan oocysts, including *Cyclospora*, on leafy green vegetables and berry fruits based on 18S rDNA gene (Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2011, 2016) and one assay targeting a region within ITS-2 of *C. cayetanensis* (Giangaspero *et al.*, 2015b) were developed. The BAM (FDA's Bacteriological Analytical Manual)-19 methods are the only standardized methods for detection of *C. cayetanensis* in fresh produce. The BAM-19a method (Orlandi *et al.*, 2004) has recently been modified and replaced by the BAM-19b (Murphy *et al.*, 2017). In addition, a new qPCR protocol for the molecular detection of *C. cayetanensis* as a contaminant of berry fruits targeting the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS-1) region was developed (Temesgen *et al.*, 2019a) and eventually included in a multiplex *q*PCR for the simultaneous detection of *C. cayetanensis*, *T. gondii* and *E. multilocularis* (Temesgen *et al.*, 2019b). With the above-mentioned methods, it is not possible to assess whether the parasites detected are viable and infectious. However, positive molecular results for parasite contamination should not be ignored and it should be assumed that at least some of the oocysts are viable and infectious (Dixon et al., 2013) and represent, therefore, a public health risk.

2.3.2 TOXOPLASMA GONDII

2.3.2.1 Classification and morphology

Toxoplasma gondii is a protozoan parasite that belongs to the phylum Apicomplexa, class Sporozoasida, order Eucoccidiorida, family Sarcocystidae (Uttah *et al.*, 2013).



It is an obligate intracellular parasite that can infect all warm-blooded animals, including humans and birds (intermediate hosts). Felids are the only definitive hosts, but they can also serve as intermediate hosts (Frenkel *et al.*, 1970).

Toxoplasma gondii was isolated for the first time from tissues of a hamster-like rodent (*Ctenodactylus gundi*) used as an animal model to study *Leishmania* in Tunis (Nicolle and Manceaux, 1908).

Toxoplasma gondii is the only species in the genus *Toxoplasma* and comprises three clonal lineages: (Howe and Sibley, 1995): Type I, highly pathogenic, and types II and III, relatively less pathogenic (Sibley and Boothroyd, 1992) mainly found in isolates from Europe and USA. Other atypical or exotic genotypes have largely been found in the other continents (Ajzenberg *et al.*, 2004; Hassan *et al.*, 2019) and are highly pathogenic, in fact, they have been reported to be virulent in mice (*Mus musculus* and *Mus m. castaneus*) (Hassan *et al.*, 2019) and some of these have been associated with outbreaks of severe human toxoplasmosis (Carme *et al.*, 2002; Carme *et al.*, 2009).

Toxoplasma gondii has three infectious stages: the tachyzoites, the bradyzoites (in tissue cysts), and the sporozoites that are contained within the oocysts (Dubey, 1998a) (**Figure 12 a-c**).

Tachyzoites are arched shaped and measure 6 by 2 μ m, with a pointed anterior end and a rounded posterior end whilst bradyzoites measure approximately 7 by 1.5 μ m (Melhorn and Frenkel, 1980) and form tissue cysts. These cysts are spheroid in shape and can reach a size of 70 μ m in diameter in the brain and, rarely, a diameter of 100 μ m in the muscular cells (Dubey, 1993). The size of the cysts varies between 10 μ m for the younger cysts, containing only two bradyzoites, and 100 μ m for the older ones, containing from hundreds to thousands of bradyzoites (Robert-Gangneuxa and Dardé, 2012). Unsporulated oocysts measure about 10-12 μ m in diameter. After sporulation, they become subspherical, measure 11-14 x 9-11 μ m and contain two ellipsoidal sporocysts, measuring from 6 to 8 μ m, each containing four sporozoites (Dubey, 1998a).



Figure 12 (a-c). The images show *T. gondii* tachyzoites, stained with Giemsa (a), *T. gondii* tissue cyst (individual bradyzoites can be seen within) (b) *T. gondii* oocysts in a faecal flotation (c) (Source: https://www.cdc.gov/dpdx/toxoplasmosis/index.html).

2.3.2.2 Biology and life cycle

In cats and wild felids both the extra-intestinal and the intestinal cycles can take place, whereas in the intermediate hosts (cattle, sheep, pigs, horses and other mammals, including humans, as well as birds) only the extra-intestinal development of *T. gondii* occurs. The life cycle of *T. gondii* is summarized in **Figure 13**.

Unsporulated oocysts are shed in the cat's faeces (1) and sporulate in the environment in 1-5 days depending upon aeration and temperature (*sporogony* phase) (2). Intermediate hosts, cats included, become infected after ingesting sporulated oocysts, potentially with contaminated vegetables, soil, or water (3).

EXTRA-INTESTINAL CYCLE: After the oocysts' ingestion, the sporozoites are liberated in the intestinal epithelium, where they differentiate into tachyzoites. Tachyzoites replicate rapidly by endodyogeny (4-5) inside the cells of the reticuloendothelial system and disseminate throughout the organism (acute phase). Tachyzoites multiply intracellularly for an undetermined period, convert from tachyzoites to bradyzoites and encyst (6). Tissue cysts may remain throughout life in most hosts, predominantly in the brain or musculature (chronic phase) (Robert-Gangneuxa and Dardé, 2012).



Figure 13. Life cycle of *T. gondii* (source: Taylor *et al.,* 2010).

INTESTINAL CYCLE: Most definitive hosts become infected by ingesting intermediate hosts infected with tissue cysts. Upon the ingestion of these tissue cysts (7) through raw or undercooked meat, the cyst wall is dissolved by digestive enzymes and the bradyzoites are released in the stomach and intestine. Bradyzoites penetrate the epithelial cells of small intestine and give rise to schizonts and merozoites (asexual stages) that form male and female gamonts. After fertilization, the unsporulated (immature) oocyst is formed and passed in the faeces (8-10). After exposure to air and moisture for 2 to 5 days, the oocyst sporulate (1-2) (Robert-Gangneuxa and Dardé, 2012).

Cats become infected:

- after ingesting intermediate hosts harbouring tissue cysts (7) (short prepatent period: 3-10 days);
- via tachyzoites, mainly by the ingestion of unpasteurised milk (long prepatent period: ≥13 days);

- by ingesting sporulated oocysts (2-3) (long prepatent period 20-48 days after ingestion) (Dubey, 1998a).

Successful infection of seronegative cats is revealed by the shedding of oocysts in their faeces. Fewer than 30% of these cats shed oocysts after ingesting tachyzoites or oocysts, whereas nearly all of them shed oocysts after ingesting tissue cysts (Dubey and Frenkel, 1976; Dubey *et al.*, 1996). Infected cats can shed more than 100 million oocysts in their faeces (Dubey and Frenkel, 1972; Jones and Dubey, 2010) for several weeks and once during their life.

Intermediate hosts, including livestock and wild game, may become infected after ingestion of sporulated oocysts in the environment (3) or by oral ingestion of bradyzoites/tissue cysts contained in muscles or primary offal (viscera) of previously infected intermediate hosts - route particularly relevant for omnivores and carnivores e.g., wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) and hunting dogs - or by ingesting tachyzoites.

Humans can become infected by several routes (11-12). The main ones are listed below:

- eating raw or undercooked meat of animals harbouring tissue cysts (bradyzoites);
- eating raw vegetables and fruits or drinking water contaminated with sporulated oocysts or ingesting sporulated oocysts that cats have passed in their faeces, either in a cat litter box or in soil (gardening);
- blood transfusion or organ transplantation (tachyzoites);
- drinking unpasteurized milk from cows, sheep, goats (tachyzoites);
- transplacentally from mother to foetus (tachyzoites).

Given that, the bradyzoites are more resistant to digestion by gastric juices (pepsin–HCl) compared to the tachyzoites, that only survive for a short period of time (up to 2 h) in acid pepsin solutions (Dubey, 1998a), tissue cysts and oocysts are very important in the life cycle of *T. gondii*.

2.3.2.3 Pathogenesis and clinical signs

Toxoplasma gondii can invade many tissues and this process of dissemination is responsible for a variety of clinical manifestations in infected mammals, including humans (Akyar, 2011).

After the ingestion of oocysts or tissue cysts of *T. gondii*, it invades the small intestine and converts to the tachyzoite form, which replicates and disseminates rapidly to almost all tissues, including muscle, brain, eyes, liver, placenta and lungs. In this phase clinical manifestations of toxoplasmosis are caused by cell destruction due to multiplying tachyzoites. Necrosis in intestinal and mesenteric lymph nodes may occur and focal areas of necrosis may develop in many organs (Dubey *et al.*, 1996).

Signs may persist for one to twelve weeks; a more severe disease occurs more rarely in immunocompetent individuals than in immunocompromised ones (Tenter *et al.*, 2000).

The infection triggers an innate and an adaptive immune response and, therefore, the production of IFN-γ by NK and T cells, responsible for controlling the acute phase (Lieberman and Hunter, 2002). This immune pressure leads to a chronic, usually asymptomatic phase, where this organism persists as bradyzoites in cysts within the brain, the eyes and the muscles.

In the case of primary *T. gondii* infection during pregnancy, tachyzoites present in the blood may cross the placenta and infect the foetus (Jones *et al.* 2003; Montoya and Remington 2008). Congenital toxoplasmosis can have various effects on a growing foetus depending on the trimester during which the maternal infection is acquired (McAuley, 2014). The severity of clinical disease in congenitally infected infants is related inversely to the gestational age at the time of the infection (McAuley, 2014). Infection in the first trimester leads to more severe manifestations including miscarriage, stillbirth, or serious foetal damage, such as retinochoroiditis, endocranial calcification, hydrocephaly, and microcephaly (Jones *et al.*, 2009). In the later stages of pregnancy, on the other hand, *T. gondii* infections are sub-clinical, even though retinochoroiditis and neurological disorders are sometimes found (Bossi and Bricaire, 2004). The characteristic triad of congenital toxoplasmosis (chorioretinitis, hydrocephalus, and cerebral calcifications) most commonly identifies the presence of active congenital disease (Hampton, 2015).

Despite affecting multiple tissues, the most common clinical manifestations of toxoplasmosis involve the brain and eye. Thus, even in adults, primary infection can present as chorioretinitis and, in immunocompromised patients with defects in T-cell function, such as during HIV infection or immunosuppressive therapy, the reactivation of cysts in the brain can lead to toxoplasmic encephalitis (TE) (Luft *et al.*, 1983, 1984; Wong *et al.*, 1984; Israelski *et al.*, 1993). The clinical presentation often includes focal encephalitis with headache, confusion, motor weakness and fever and, if not treated, can progress to seizures and coma (Luft *et al.*, 1983; Wong *et al.*, 1984). Speech abnormalities and hemiparesis are the most common focal neurological findings (Luft *et al.*, 1983). In addition, numerous studies have tried to link chronic toxoplasmosis with schizophrenia and bipolar disorders (Flegr, 2015; Chaudhury and Ramana, 2019); this hypothesis has yet to be confirmed.

Also, there are multiple instances of parasites that affect the nervous system of their hosts to alter behaviour and promote predation of intermediate hosts (Kavaliers and Colwell, 1995). Interestingly, behavioural studies using mice and rats indicated that chronic *T. gondii* infection results in a specific

switch from an aversion to cat urine to an attraction, presumably a change in behaviour that would lead to increased predation of infected rodents by cats (Berdoy *et al.*, 2000; Vyas *et al.*, 2007). In addition, virulence experiments defined in mouse models after the intraperitoneal inoculation of a given number of tachyzoites have shown that Type I isolates are highly virulent, leading to the death of mice less than 10 days after the inoculation of <10 tachyzoites, while Type II or III strains are considered less virulent strains, allowing survival after the inoculation with >103 tachyzoites (Robert-Gangneux and Dardè, 2012).

2.3.2.4 Epidemiology

A factor to consider in the transmission of toxoplasmosis is the low infectious dose, although it has not yet been estimated (Dubey *et al.*, 1996; Fayer *et al.*, 2004). *Toxoplasma* oocysts are unsporulated when shed in the cat's faeces and sporulate and become infective in about 1-5 days depending on the environmental conditions. *Toxoplasma* oocysts can survive in the environment for long periods and are highly resistant to disinfectants, i.e., they are highly resistant to chlorine disinfection (Jones and Dubey, 2010). As to freezing conditions, oocysts can survive at -21°C for 28 days (Frenkel and Dubey, 1973) but are killed by temperatures above 60°C (Dubey, 1998b). Lindsay *et al.* (2008) inoculated raspberries with 5×10^4 oocysts of the *T. gondii* VEG strain exposed to highpressure processing (HPP) 500, 400, 340, 300, 270, 250, 200, 100 MPa for 60 s and showed 340 MPa resulted in the oocysts becoming non-infectious for mice. *Toxoplasma* oocysts on raspberries irradiated with gamma irradiation with doses of ≥ 0.4 kGy (cesium-137) failed to cause infections in mice (Dubey *et al.*, 1998). Ultraviolet radiation also will inactivate oocysts, depending on the dose (Wainwright *et al.*, 2007; Dumètre *et al.*, 2008).

Humans and foodborne outbreaks caused by the consumption of fresh produce - Toxoplasma gondii is a major pathogen of global public health concern. In humans, toxoplasmosis has been found worldwide and it is estimated that about one-third of the world's population is infected with latent toxoplasmosis, with the majority of cases being asymptomatic (Pereira *et al.* 2010).

The prevalence varies widely between different countries (from 10 to 80%) but also within the same country or even between different communities in the same region (Pappas *et al.*, 2009). Low, moderate and high prevalences have been registered in different areas of the world (reviewed by Robert-Gangneux and Dardè, 2012):

• Low seroprevalence (from 10 to 30%) have been observed in North America, in South East Asia, in Northern Europe, and in Sahelian countries of Africa.

- Moderate seroprevalences (30 to 50%) have been found in countries of Central and Southern Europe.
- High seroprevalences have been found Latin America and in tropical African countries.



Figure 14. Global status of *T. gondii* seroprevalence. Dark red equals prevalence above 60%, light red equals 40-60%, yellow 20-40%, blue 10-20% and green equals prevalence <10%. (source: Pappas *et al.*, 2009)

As to seroprevalence in females of reproductive age or pregnant women, serological screenings are not necessarily the rule and differ among countries according to the prevalence of *Toxoplasma* and health care policies. Most studies carried out in Latin American countries, Eastern and Central Europe, the Middle East, and parts of south-east Asia and Africa show significantly high seropositivity rates (Pappas *et al.*, 2009). In the USA, the seroprevalence was estimated to be around 11% (Dubey and Jones, 2008).

As for Europe, studies in women of childbearing age or in pregnancy show that the seroprevalence ranges widely between 9.1% and 57.6% with peaks reported in Poland and in Romania (Pappas *et al.*, 2009). The prevalence of congenitally acquired toxoplasmosis, reported as cases per 10000 live births, has been reported for France (2.9/10000) (Villena *et al.*, 2010), Denmark (1.6/10000) (Röser *et al.*, 2010). In Europe, the type II lineage is the predominant one followed by type I and occasionally type III. In France, type II represents more than 90% of isolates from both humans and animals. Type
III may be more frequently encountered in Southern Europe (reviewed by Robert-Gangneux and Dardè, 2012).

In Italy, seroprevalence studies conducted on pregnant women have shown various seroprevalences ranging between 17.4% and 34.4% (Pappas *et al.*, 2009). A study conducted on pregnant women in a population from Palermo has estimated a prevalence of anti-Toxo IgG antibodies of 17.97% (152 on 846) (Puccio *et al.*, 2014) and a recent serological study carried out to assess the prevalence of *T. gondii* in two populations of women of childbearing age in Siena (Tuscany, Central Italy) and Bari (Apulia, Southern Italy) between 2013 and 2017 and in a group of pregnant women in Bari in 2016-2017 has reported seroprevalences of 12.4% and 22.4% in Bari and Siena, respectively, and 13.8% among the pregnant women tested (Fanigliulo *et al.*, 2020).

In an article by Pinto-Ferreira *et al.* (2019), covering the temporal progression of probable sources of infection and transmission routes for global human toxoplasmosis outbreaks, 33 articles covering 34 reports of outbreaks of acute toxoplasmosis worldwide between 1967 and 2018 were selected. As to the implicated sources of infection, between the 1960s and the 1990s, toxoplasmosis outbreaks were mainly caused by the ingestion of cysts in meat and meat derivatives, in the 1980s, by the consumption of milk contaminated with tachyzoites, in 2000, by the presence of oocysts in water, sand, and soil and, in 2010, by the consumption of raw fruits and vegetables contaminated with *Toxoplasma* oocysts (Pinto-Ferreira *et al.*, 2019). The latter circumstance might reflect the increased consumption of healthy foods, e.g., fruits and vegetables, registered in the past 20 years (WHO, 2019). Among the different routes of transmission of *T. gondii*, consumption of raw vegetables and fruits contaminated with *Toxoplasma* oocysts represents an important one that may lead to foodborne outbreaks (Hussain *et al.*, 2017).

The highest concentration of reported outbreaks occurred in Brazil; as to the ones linked to the ingestion of contaminated fresh produce, in 2009, 11 cases of acute toxoplasmosis in a factory in São Paulo, Brazil, were linked to the consumption of contaminated vegetables (Ekman *et al.*, 2012) whereas, in 2013, an outbreak of toxoplasmosis involving 73 cases in the municipality of Ponta de Pedras, Brazil, was associated with the consumption of imported Açaí (Morais *et al.*, 2016).

The geographic distribution of the reported *Toxoplasma* outbreaks and related causes is summarized in **Figure 15**.

37



Figure 15. Geographic distribution of the 34 outbreaks of human toxoplasmosis reported worldwide and related transmission routes (indicated by color). Circle size corresponds to the number of outbreaks (Source: Pinto-Ferreira *et al.*, 2019).

Fresh produce - Toxoplasma gondii has been recorded in fresh produce with various prevalences in several countries all over the world including Canada where *T. gondii* DNA has been identified in 3 (0.26%) samples of baby spinach out of 1171 samples of pre-packaged leafy green vegetables (Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2016), Saudi Arabia where 6.6% of the leafy vegetable examined were found contaminated by *T. gondii* (Al-Megrin, 2010), Pakistan where 1.9% of the vegetables were found positive for *T. gondii* (ul-Haq *et al.*, 2014). Among South American countries, *T. gondii* was detected in Brazil in fresh vegetables (3.8%) (Marchioro *et al.*, 2016) and in vegetables grown in organic gardens (Ferreira *et al.*, 2018), and in Colombia where of the 120 strawberry samples analysed, 5% tested positive for *T. gondii* DNA (Ortiz Pineda *et al.*, 2020).

This protozoan parasite has also been registered in fresh produce in European countries, such as Czech Republic (Slany *et al.*, 2019) and Poland (Lass *et al.*, 2012), with a positivity rate of 9.6% and 9.7%, respectively. More recently, the presence of this species was detected in Portugal and Spain, in both RTE salads and berries with very high proportions of samples being positive (35.3% and

42.9%, respectively) (Marques *et al.*, 2020). In Italy, *T. gondii* was detected in 0.8% of the RTE salad samples examined (Caradonna *et al.*, 2017). The contamination of vegetables and fruits with *T. gondii* is summarized in **Table 5**.

Location	Detection method	Vegetable or fruit item	No. of samples tested	No. of positive samples (%)	Toxoplasma gondii genotypes identified
Brazil	PCR	Smooth lettuce	62	1 (0.6)	Toxo4-5 D (1)
		Crisp head lettuce	106	4 (3.7)	B22-23 D (4)
		Chicory	40	2 (5.0)	B22-23 D (1); Toxo4-5
		Rocket	7	1 (14.3)	B22-23 D (1)
		Parsley	5	1 (20.0)	B22-23 D (1)
Brazil	PCR	Vegetables	21	3 (14.3)	N/A (3)
China	Quantitative real-time PCR	Lettuce	71	5 (7.0)	Type I (4); Type II (1)
	(qPCR)	Spinach	50	2 (4.0)	Type I (2)
		Pak choi	34	1 (2.9)	Type I (1)
		Chinese cabbage	26	0	
		Rape	22	1 (4.5)	Type II (1)
		Asparagus	18	0	
		Chrysanthemum coronarium	16	0	
		Endive	14	0	
		Chinese chives	11	0	
		Cabbage	9	0	
		Red cabbage	8	1 (12.5)	Type II (1)
Czech Republic	Triplex real time PCR	Carrots	93	7 (7.5)	
		Cucumbers	109	13 (11.9)	Type II (5)
		Salads	90	8 (8.9)	Type II (2)
Italy	qPCR	Ready-to-eat packaged salad	648	5 (0.8)	Type I (5)
Poland	qPCR	Strawberries	60	0	
		Radish	60	3 (5.0)	Type I (2); Type II (1)
		Carrot	46	9 (19.6)	Type I (3); Type II (1)
		Lettuce	50	9 (18.0)	Type I (1)
Total			1676	63 (3.8)	

Table 5. Contamination of vegetables and fruits with *T. gondii* (source: Junqiang *et al.,* 2020 and related references).

2.3.2.5 Detection methods in vegetables

Toxoplasma gondii oocysts can be recovered from fresh produce by centrifugation (Al-Megrin, 2010; Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2016; Marchioro *et al.*, 2016) or flocculation (Lass *et al.*, 2012) of the washing solutions. Different washing solutions are employed for concentrating the oocysts *i*) physiological saline solution (Al-Megrin, 2010), *ii*) glycine buffer solution followed by flotation (Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2016), *iii*) Tween 80 solution (Marchioro *et al.*, 2016), *iv*) Alconox[®], a commercial laboratory detergent (Shields *et al.*, 2012; Temesgen *et al.*, 2019a). In the flocculation method described by Lass *et al.* (2012) different solutions such as calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) and sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) are employed to obtain a pellet by precipitation. The concentration of the

oocysts may be performed by centrifugation (Lass *et al.*, 2012; Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2016) or filtration followed by centrifugation (Al-Megrin, 2010; Marchioro *et al.*, 2016).

After recovery from fresh produce, the oocysts can be identified by light microscopy adding a drop of lugol iodine (Al-Megrin, 2010). An immunomagnetic separation assay (IMS Toxo) targeting the cell wall of *T. gondii* oocysts has been established (Hohweyer *et al.*, 2016), however, it is not commercially available.

Several molecular methods are available to detect *T. gondii* DNA: PCR-RFLP (Lass *et al.*, 2012), conventional PCR (Marchioro *et al.*, 2016), *q*PCR (Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2016), and a recently developed LAMP assay used to detect *T. gondii* in experimentally contaminated RTE baby lettuce (Lalle *et al.*, 2018). In addition, a novel multiplex real-time *q*PCR for the detection of *T. gondii* as well as *E. multilocularis* and *C. cayetanensis* on berries has recently been developed. With this technique, a product of 162 bp from the 529 bp repeat of *T. gondii* is amplified (Opsteegh *et al.*, 2010; Temesgen *et al.*, 2019b).

The genes mainly used for the detection of *T. gondii* are the B1 gene (Lass *et al.,* 2012; Marchioro *et al.,* 2016) and 18S rDNA (Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2016).

To date, there are no standard detection methods for *T. gondii* oocysts in fresh produce (EFSA, 2018).

2.3.3 CRYPTOSPORIDIUM SPP.

2.3.3.1 Classification and morphology

Cryptosporidium belongs to the Phylum Apicomplexa, class Gregarinomorphea, subclass Cryptogregaria, order Eucoccidiida, family Cryptosporidiidae.



Recent molecular studies indicate that *Cryptosporidium* is more closely related to the primitive apicomplexan gregarine parasites rather than to coccidians. The similarities between *Cryptosporidium* and gregarines have served as basis for the formal transfer of *Cryptosporidium* from subclass Coccidia, class Coccidiomorphea to a new subclass, Cryptogregaria, within class Gregarinomorphea. The genus *Cryptosporidium* is currently the only member of Cryptogregaria (Ryan *et al.*, 2016).

Currently, a total of 42 *Cryptosporidium* species are recognized (Feng *et al.*, 2018; Zahedi and Ryan., 2020) (**Table 6**).

Species name	Major host(s)
1. C. ornithophilus	Birds
2. C. avium	Birds
3. C. galli	Birds
4. C. baileyi	Birds
5. C. meleagridis	Birds and humans
6. C. felis	Cats
7. C. ryanae	Cattle
8. C. bovis	Cattle
9. C. andersoni	Cattle
10. C. canis	Dogs
11. C. bollandi	Fish
12. C. huwi	Fish
13. C. molnari	Fish
14. C. wrairi	Guinea pigs
15. C. erinacei	Hedgehogs, horses
16. C. viatorum	Humans
17. C. hominis	Humans
18. C. varanii	Lizards
19. C. macropodum	Marsupials
20. C. fayeri	Marsupials
21. C. scrofarum	Pigs
22. C. suis	Pigs
23. C. proventriculi	Psittaciformes birds
24. C. cuniculus	Rabbits
25. C. testudinis	Reptiles (mainly tortoise)
26. C. ducismarci	Reptiles (mainly tortoise)
27. C. microti	Rodents
28. C. alticolis	Rodents
29. C. occultus	Rodents
30. C. homai	Rodents
31. C. proliferans	Rodents
32. C. tyzzeri	Rodents
33. C. muris	Rodents
34. C. apodemi	Rodents (mainly mouse)
35. C. ditrichi	Rodents (mainly mouse)
36. C. parvum	Ruminants
37. C. ubiquitum	Ruminants, rodents, primates
38. C. xiaoi	Sheep and goats
39. C. serpentis	Snakes and lizards
40. C. rubeyi	Squirrels
41. C. fragile	Toads
42. C. scophthalmi	Turbot

Table 6. Recognised Cryptosporidium species (modified from Zahedi and Ryan., 2020)

Cryptosporidium species infect a wide variety of hosts, including humans who are mostly infected with *C. parvum, C. hominis,* and *C. meleagridis; however, C. parvum* and *C. hominis* are held responsible for about 90% of cryptosporidiosis cases (Xiao and Ryan, 2004).

The zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* species are summarized in **table 7**.

Cryptosporidium species	Zoonotic importance
C. hominis	Main Cryptosporidium species infecting humans
C. parvum	Major (most important zoonotic species globally)
C. meleagridis	Major (of zoonotic importance is some regions, especially South America)
C. cuniculus	Responsible for several waterborne outbreaks and sporadic cases of
	cryptosporidiosis in the UK and has been identified in a human in Australia
C. muris	Numerous reports in humans
C. felis	Numerous reports in humans
C. canis	Numerous reports in humans
C. fayeri	Minor
C. andersoni	Minor
C. tyzerri	Occasionally reported in humans
C. bovis	Occasionally reported in humans
C. scrofarum	Occasionally reported in humans
C. suis	Occasionally reported in humans
C. erinacei	One report in humans
C. ubiquitum	Emerging human pathogen

Table 7. Cryptosporidium species and their zoonotic importance (modified from Zahedi et al., 2016).

Cryptosporidium oocysts can be spherical (4-6 μ m in diameter) or oval (7 x 5 μ m in diameter), depending on the species, and the oocyst wall presents an inner and an outer layer. Four sporozoites are present in each oocyst (**Figure 16**).



Figure 16. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. The image shows *C. parvum* oocysts stained with modified acid-fast. Against a blue-green background, the oocysts stand out in a bright red stain. (source: https://www.cdc.gov/dpdx/cryptosporidiosis/index.html).

2.3.3.2 Biology and life cycle

The parasite completes its life cycle within a single host (monoxenous cycle), alternating asexual and sexual reproduction (Tzipori and Ward, 2002). The cycle begins when an appropriate host ingests the oocysts, which contain the infective stages of the parasite. Once ingested *(1)* the oocysts

undergo excystation in the intestinal lumen resulting in release of four motile sporozoites (2), which adhere to and penetrate the intestinal epithelium (3). The sporozoite, after adhering to an enterocyte, is encapsulated by the microvilli into a parasitophorous vacuole (4). The parasitophorous vacuole is intracellular but extracytoplasmic. Inside the vacuole, the sporozoite develops into a trophozoite that undergoes asexual division, schizogony (merogony), to form eight merozoites (6). After asexual reproduction, the sexual stage of development follows and the merozoites differentiate into gamonts (7) and gametocytes, either male or female sexual stages, called microgametocytes (8) or macrogametocytes (9), respectively. The microgametes fertilize the macrogametocytes and the zygote (10) develops into an oocyst containing four sporozoites (sporulated oocyst) (1). The resultant oocysts can be with thick-walled or thin-walled. The thickwalled oocysts (infective oocysts) are shed in the faeces, while the thin-walled oocysts are primarily involved in the process of autoinfection in the gut, increasing the infection level with the release of infective sporozoites (reviewed by Chalmers and Katzer, 2013). Cryptosporidiosis is transmitted primarily through the faecal-oral route, i.e., by the ingestion of viable oocysts of animal and/or human origin, emitted with faeces that contaminate food or water (Tzipori and Ward, 2002) and possibly other routes such as respiratory secretions (Sponseller et al., 2014). The life cycle of *Cryptosporidium* spp. is summarized in **Figure 17**.



Figure 17. Life cycle of Cryptosporidium spp. (source: Taylor et al., 2010)

2.3.3.3 Pathogenesis and clinical signs

After invasion, *Cryptosporidium* does not penetrate deep into the tissue but is located in an intracellular/extracytoplasmic parasitophorous vacuole. Interaction between *Cryptosporidium* and the apical surface of the epithelial cell causes barrier disruption and cellular damage which are responsible for altering the function of the intestinal barrier, increasing its permeability, absorption, and secretion of fluid and electrolytes (Certad *et al.*, 2017).

Clinical manifestations following infection occur after an incubation period of 2-14 days, and include diarrhoea, as well as abdominal cramps, nausea, vomiting, weight loss, and a low-grade fever (Hunter and Nichols, 2002).

The severity, persistence, and outcome of the infection depend on the immune status of the host (Petry *et al.*, 2010):

- In immunocompetent subjects, the infection causes diarrhoea, which usually resolves in 1-3 weeks or runs in an asymptomatic form (Bouzid *et al.*, 2013).

- In subjects with poor health or a weakened immune systems (HIV/AIDS, cancer, and transplant patients), *Cryptosporidium* infections are more common and often cause chronic, prolonged forms of disease (Florescu and Sandkovsky, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2018). Complications include biliary tract obstruction, sclerosing cholangitis, papillary stenosis, pancreatitis as well as respiratory tract disease (Hunter and Nichols, 2002; Florescu and Sandkovsky, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2018). Cryptosporidiosis represents one of the most important opportunistic infections for immunocompromised patients; it is, in fact, difficult to treat and can even result in death (Wang *et al.*, 2018).

It has been reported that the *Cryptosporidium* infection can be associated with long term health implications i.e., the development of reactive arthropathies, painful, inflamed joints pain and lower back pain, and ocular inflammation (Hay *et al.*, 1987; Shepherd *et al.*, 1989; Hunter *et al.*, 2004; Carter *et al.*, 2020).

The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study has estimated the morbidity and mortality (disease burden) that can be attributed to diarrhoeal disease caused by *Cryptosporidium* infection (GBD, 2015; GBD, 2016; Khalil *et al.*, 2018). The disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs), which is the sum of years of life lost and years lived with disability, is used as a metric of disease burden (GBD, 2016).

2.3.3.4 Epidemiology

Cryptosporidium oocysts shed in faeces are infective on excretion and a low dose of 10-100 oocysts can transmit the infection (Vanathy *et al.*, 2017a). Factors affecting the survival of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in the environment are summarized in **Table 8**.

Factors affecting survival	of Cryptosporidium	oocysts
----------------------------	--------------------	---------

EnvironmentHeatpHFreezingDisinfectantDryingUV IOocysts remain infectious for prolongedCommercial pasteurizationOocysts lose >85% viability at either 4 °C or 22Freezing at -70 °C is enough to render oocystsVery resistant to disinfectants; the oocysts are veryDesiccation affects oocysts' viabilityUV Iig effect inactionperiods in cool, dampbeen°C in orange juice at pH 3.9, or in carbonated beernon-infective. trial, infectivityresistant to chlorine, chlorine,Desiccation affects oocysts' viabilityUV Iig effect inacti oocysts are verydampdemonstrated to be effective in inactivating than 10 °Coc grad carbonatedOver a 7-day declined butchlorine, in water systemdied within 4 h at temperaturecurre treature77 days at less than 10 °CCryptosporidium cider (DengpH 3.9 (Friedman et al., 1997).remained from remained from trial, and 20 °C (Facure tender(Shrivastava et al., 2017). Ortega study, 106 oocysts1000
Oocysts remain infectious for prolongedCommercial pasteurizationOocysts lose >>85% viability at either 4 °C or 22Freezing at -70 °C is enough toVery resistant to disinfectants; the oocysts are veryDesiccation affects oocysts'UV lig effec inactiprolonged periods in cool, damp(71.7 °C, 15 s) has beeneither 4 °C or 22 °C in orange juice at pH 3.9, or in carbonated beerrender oocysts oocyst are very over a 7-dayVery resistant to disinfectants; the oocysts are very chlorine, died within 4 h at temperatureUV lig effect inacti oocysts are very oocyst are veryUV lig effect inacti oocysts are very oocysts are very oocysts are very trial, infectivity to mon-infective.Desiccation disinfectants; the oocysts are very dramatically: 95% oocyst oocyst oocyst in activating po r cola both at pH 3.9 (Friedman than 10 °CUV lig effect oocysts in apple et al., 1997).UV lig is enough to remained from trial, infectivity trial batches at -15Desiccation disinfectionUV lig effect inacti oocysts' temperatureOocysts in apple (Tamburrini and cider (Deng trial, citric and batches at -15Oocysts disinfectionDesiccation disinfectionUV lig effect temperatureUV lig effect temperatureOocysts in apple (Tamburrini and cider (DengCitric and batches at -15Set (Fauer al (2000) and (20 °C (FauerSet (2000) story and (20 °C (Fauer and (20 °C (FauerUV lig disinfectionOocysts in apple (Tamburrini andLight in the ind temperatic acide <t< th=""></t<>
Process World Health World Health Organization, Dasbeen ter or class (famburnin (famburnin) Cryptosporidium oocysts months in tap uster, river infectivity of incutated onto green eppers attrictivity of months in tap uster, river infectivity of incutated onto infectivity of incutated onto green eppers and microwave oven inactivating 93% ofclain tradit actus to reduce infectivity of to reduce infectivity of also reduce infectivity of infectivity o

Table 8. Survival of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. Adapted and modified from Dawson, 2005 and Gérard *et al.*, 2019.

Humans and foodborne outbreaks caused by the consumption of fresh produce - Protozoa of the genus *Cryptosporidium* have a global distribution (Putignani and Menichella, 2010). Although in recent years, the number of reported cases of cryptosporidiosis has increased worldwide, the frequency of infection is likely to be significantly higher than the number of reported cases

(Shrivastava *et al.*, 2017). *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *C. hominis*, followed by *C. meleagridis*, are responsible for the majority of infections in humans worldwide. In addition, several other *Cryptosporidium* species have been reported in humans (Zahedi and Ryan, 2020).

In humans, *Cryptosporidium* infection is commonly found in children and immunocompromised individuals and the incidence of cryptosporidiosis is significantly lower in industrialized countries compared to developing countries in which the hygiene standards are generally poor (Shoultz *et al.*, 2016; Gerace *et al.*, 2019).

Among children from developing countries suffering from diarrhoea, cryptosporidiosis represents between 3% and 13% of the cases whereas in children from developed countries who experience diarrhoea, *Cryptosporidium*-related diarrhoea ranges between 1% and 4% (Shrivastava *et al.*, 2017). Cryptosporidiosis in the case of human immunodeficiency virus is registered with the highest prevalence in India and in African countries where it reaches peaks of 56.5% (Vanathy *et al.*, 2017b) and 73.6% (Tumwine *et al.*, 2005) whereas in developed countries the reported prevalence ranges between 8% and 39% in Europe and between 3.5% and 11.9% in North America (Iqbal *et al.*, 2012). The distribution of *Cryptosporidium* in different countries of the world between 1984-2013 is represented in **Figure 18**.

Cryptosporidium is a major cause of waterborne and foodborne outbreaks and to date more than 40 foodborne outbreaks have been documented. *Cryptosporidium parvum* was responsible for 96.5% of foodborne cryptosporidiosis outbreaks worldwide and unpasteurised milk, unpasteurised apple cider, and fresh produce, including salad items, onions, parsley, carrots, are among the most common food items implicated in foodborne outbreaks (Dixon *et al.*, 2013; Zahedi and Ryan, 2020). In 2005, an outbreak of diarrhoea linked to the consumption of salad (peeled carrots, grated carrots, red pepper) affected a group of 99 company employees who consumed it at the company canteen near Copenhagen (Ethelberg *et al.*, 2009). In 2008, a *C. parvum* outbreak in Sweden was linked to chanterelle sauce with fresh parsley added after the preparation of the sauce (Insulander *et al.*, 2008), while in Finland a salad mixture was the suspected vehicle of a *C. parvum* outbreak (Pönka *et al.*, 2009).

Outbreaks linked to RTE salads contaminated with *Cryptosporidium* spp. have been documented in Europe. *Cryptosporidium* spp. was reported in 648 cases across England and Scotland during 2012; *C. parvum* was confirmed in 182 cases (McKerr *et al.*, 2015). In Finland, *C. parvum* was suspected as the causal agent of five (5.7%) of the 88 outbreaks notified during 2012 (Åberg *et al.*, 2015).

48

The worldwide occurrence of foodborne outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis is represented in **figure 18** (round dots) (Shrivastava *et al*, 2017).

Outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis associated with different food matrices in the period 1984–2017 have been documented by Ahmed and Karanis (2018) and a recent review gives detailed information on the reported foodborne outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis for which molecular data is available in the last 10 years (Zahedi and Ryan, 2020).



Figure 18. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* infection in immunocompetent people: distribution of major cases of cryptosporidiosis reported in different countries of the world between 1984-2013. Waterborne outbreaks are represented with star symbol, round dots represent foodborne outbreaks, yellow color in the map represents the presence of cryptosporidiosis and white color represents no such reports are present (source: Shrivastava *et al*, 2017).

Fresh produce - Surveillance studies have reported the presence of *Cryptosporidium* in fresh produce worldwide (Dixon *et al.*, 2013; Li *et al.*, 2020). The contamination of vegetables and fruits with *Cryptosporidium* spp. has been documented in many countries and the average prevalence is estimated to be 6.0%. Among the *Cryptosporidium* species, *C. parvum*, *C. hominis*, and *C. ubiquitum* were detected in contaminated vegetable and fruit samples: *C. parvum* was detected in fresh vegetables in Ghana (Duedu *et al.*, 2014), *C. parvum* and *C. ubiquitum* were isolated in RTE salads in Italy (Caradonna *et al.*, 2017), *C. parvum* or *C. hominis* were found on fresh produce samples in Poland (Rzeżutka *et al.*, 2010) and, recently, *C. parvum* was identified on the surfaces of vegetables and fruits in China (Li *et al.*, 2019). Two recent reviews provide detailed information on the contamination of different food matrices, including vegetable and fruits, with *Cryptosporidium* and its geographical distribution (Ahmed and Karanis, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2020).

2.3.3.5 Detection methods in fresh produce

Elution, extraction, isolation and detection of *Cryptosporidium* species (by non-molecular or molecular methods) are the usual steps for detecting *Cryptosporidium* in or on food items (Ahmed and Karanis, 2018).

Elution (i.e., extraction/wash) is the first step in any protocol for detecting *Cryptosporidium* oocysts that have been suspected in food and it is, in fact, crucial for the success of all the subsequent steps. Different buffers, including Alconox[®], Glycine 1 M solution, 1 M sodium bicarbonate, PBS pH 7, deionized water, have been successfully used for recovering oocysts from fresh produce (Shields *et al.*, 2012); Alconox[®] was superior to all the other reagents. Also, different methods were used to elute oocysts, i.e., stomaching, orbital shaking, rolling, rotating drum, sonication, kneading and pulsification, and, among those, stomaching is considered an effective method to extract oocysts from the surface of different food matrices including fresh produce (lettuce and raspberries) (reviewed by Ahmed and Karanis, 2018).

The most sensitive methods for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in food products are based on the separation of the oocysts from the sample matrix, employing methods such as flotation or immunomagnetic separation (IMS), and detection by immunofluorescence microscopy (IFM) and molecular methods (EFSA, 2018). The ISO method (ISO 2016) currently represents the gold standard for the detection and enumeration of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in foodstuff and is based on IMS and IFA. However, with this method it is not possible to determine the species or genotypes/Assemblages of the *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and *Giardia* cysts; in order to do that, this method needs to be combined with molecular tools (Ahmed and Karanis, 2018; EFSA, 2018)

Different molecular approaches and PCR types have been tested over time in order to choose the best PCR condition for each food matrix (EFSA, 2018). Recently, various molecular methods have been developed for the identification of *Cryptosporidium* in fresh produce such as nested-PCR assays (Ryan at al., 2003; Ripabelli *et al.*, 2004; Dixon *et al.*, 2013) and *q*PCR assays (Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2011, 2016). Among the most common targets for *Cryptosporidium* species identification are: *cowp* gene (Ripabelli *et al.*, 2004), *18S rDNA* gene (Ryan at al., 2003; Lalonde and Gajadhar, 2011; Dixon *et al.*, 2013), and the gene encoding the glycoprotein 60 (gp60) protein is frequently used as a target for genotyping within the species.

Additional molecular approaches for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in food matrices are florescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP).

50

Detailed information on the methods and techniques for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in food samples are available in literature (Ahmed and Karanis, 2018; EFSA, 2018).

2.3.4 GIARDIA DUODENALIS

2.3.4.1 Classification and morphology

Giardia is an intestinal protozoan flagellate parasite belonging to the Phylum Zoomastigophora, Class Zoomastigophorea, Order Diplomonadida and Family Hexamitidae.



Giardia was firstly observed by Van Leeuwenhoek in 1681 and fully described by Lambi in 1859 (Ortega and Adam, 1997).

Species of the genus *Giardia* infect numerous hosts, ranging from a wide range of mammals, including humans, to amphibians and birds. A total of eight *Giardia* species are currently recognised: *Giardia duodenalis* (syn. *Giardia intestinalis* and *Giardia lamblia*), which infects humans and animals, *Giardia agilis, Giardia ardeae, Giardia psittaci, Giardia muris, Giardia microti, Giardia peramelis* and *Giardia cricetidarum*, which infect non-human hosts including amphibians, birds, rodents and marsupials (Ryan and Zahedi, 2019).

The species *G. duodenalis* includes eight genotypes known as Assemblages designated A-H. Some authors have recently suggested these Assemblages may represent separate species, but their recognition has remained controversial (Thompson and Ash, 2019) (**Table 9**). Assemblages A and B have been reported in humans and a wide range of other mammalian hosts and are potentially zoonotic (Feng and Xiao, 2011). There are three recognized sub-Assemblages within Assemblage A (AI, AII, AIII), with sub-Assemblage AI mostly found in animals (e.g., cattle, water buffalo, cats, pigs, sheep) as well as in humans, sub-Assemblage AII predominantly reported in humans, and AIII mainly detected in wild ruminants (Sprong *et al.*, 2009). In addition, numerous Assemblage B subtypes have been identified (Feng and Xiao, 2011; Xiao and Feng, 2017). The zoonotic potential of such sub-Assemblages needs to be further clarified. Other *G. duodenalis* Assemblages are host-adapted, with Assemblages C and D being found mainly in dogs and wild canines, Assemblage E in hoofed livestock and wildlife, Assemblage F in cats, Assemblage G in rodents, and Assemblage H in seals (Heyworth, 2016; Cacciò *et al.*, 2018) (**Table 9**).

Species	Major host(s)
Assemblage A (=G. duodenalis	
sensu stricto? ^b)	Humans, nonhuman primates,
	domestic and wild
	ruminants, alpacas, pigs,
	horses, domestic and wild
	canines, cats, ferrets,
	rodents, marsupials, other
	mammals
Assemblage B (=G. enterica?")	Humans, nonhuman primates,
	cattle, dogs, horses, rabbits,
	beavers, muskrats
Assemblage C (=G. canis? ^b)	Domestic and wild canines
Assemblage D (=G. canis? ^b)	Domestic and wild canines
Assemblage E (=G. bovis? ^b)	Domestic ruminants, pigs
Assemblage F (=G. cati? ^b)	Cats
Assemblage G (=G. simondi? ^b)	Mice, rats
Assemblage H	Seals

 Table 9. Giardia duodenalis Assemblages (source: Feng and Xiao, 2011).

Giardia has two morphological stages:

- the trophozoite or "vegetative form" is found in the intestinal lumen of the host. The trophozoites are approximately 12 to 15 μ m long and 5 to 9 μ m wide. They are pear-shaped with a rounded anterior end and a pointed posterior end. The dorsal surface is convex whereas the ventral one is concave. They have a ventral or adhesive disk, that can adhere to the intestinal cells. They have four pairs of flagella (2 anterior, 2 posterior, 2 caudal and 2 ventral) and two diploid nuclei (reviewed by Adam, 2001) (**Figure 19a**).

The cyst or "resistant form" oval in shape and measure approximately 8-12 μ m in length x 7-10 μ m in width. The cyst wall is 0.3 to 0.5 μ m thick. Into the cytoplasm there are four nuclei clustered in pairs at one end and axonemes that run diagonally through the cyst (Scaglia *et al.*, 1987) (**Figure 19b**).



Figure 19. Trophozoite (a) and cyst (b) of *G. duodenalis* stained with trichrome and iodine (source: CDC, 2015)

2.3.4.2 Biology and life cycle

Giardia duodenalis has a direct life cycle and infection occurs when a susceptible host ingests the cysts, infective stages of the parasite (1). After exposure to the acidic environment of the stomach, excystation occurs in the small intestine and each cyst releases two trophozoites (2). The trophozoites remain in the lumen of the proximal small bowel (mostly in the mid-jejunum) and adhere to the intestinal mucosa by their disks (3) (reviewed by Adam, 2001). Trophozoites reproduce asexually by binary fission (4) in response to the presence of bile salts and slightly alkaline pH (Ankarklev *et al.*, 2010). Encystation occurs as the parasites transit toward the colon, and the cysts are passed into the faeces (4-5). The cysts are the resistant forms found in the faeces and they are immediately infectious when passed into the faeces. Transmission of giardiasis occurs through the faecal-oral route and it may be either direct (i.e., person-to-person, animal-to-animal or zoonotic) or indirect (i.e., waterborne or foodborne). Sexual transmission of giardiasis has also been documented (Escobedo *et al.*, 2014).

The life cycle of *G*. *duodenalis* is summarized in **Figure 20**.



Figure 20. Life cycle of *G. duodenalis* (source: Taylor *et al.,* 2010).

2.3.4.3 Pathogenesis and clinical signs

Giardia duodenalis is a non-invasive parasite which colonizes the lumen and epithelial surface of the small intestine (Certad *et al.*, 2017). The trophozoites covering the epithelial cells lining the duodenum and the jejunum with their ventral sucking disk are responsible for the shortening of microvilli, cells' apoptosis and barrier dysfunction, which contribute to diarrhoea (Certad *et al.*, 2017; Allain and Buret, 2020). In addition, they create a physical barrier between the enterocytes and the intestinal lumen, interfering with nutrient absorption (Adam, 2001), and use the host's nutrients for their own sustenance and growth: glucose appears to be the primary energy source, but also amino acids such as alanine, arginine and aspartate (Adam, 2001). Animal models suggest that *Giardia* is incapable of surviving in the small bowel in the absence of bile acids.

Giardia duodenalis trophozoites have occasionally been identified in the stomach, distal small intestine, caecum, and pancreas (Halliez and Buret, 2013).

The symptoms of giardiasis set in following a 1-2 week incubation period. The main symptoms associated with the infection are diarrhoea, abdominal pain, flatulence, bloating, nausea, malabsorption, weight loss and vomiting (Certad *et al.*, 2017; Allain and Buret, 2020). Fever is occasionally present at the beginning of the infection (Ortega and Adam, 1997).

An acute phase of giardiasis generally lasts for 1-3 weeks, after which it may resolve spontaneously or last for months. Patients with chronic giardiasis present the following symptoms: chronic diarrhoea, profound malaise, and diffuse epigastric and abdominal discomfort. Chronic giardiasis is also responsible for malabsorption of lactose, vitamin B12, and fat-soluble vitamins, which results in weight loss, nutritional deficiencies, and failure to thrive in children (Gardner and Hill, 2001).

Children and immunocompromised subjects are the most susceptible to symptoms (Thompson and Ash, 2016).

The post-infection phase can be associated with intestinal e.g., irritable bowel syndrome and functional dyspepsia and/or extra-intestinal complications (Leung *et al.*, 2019; Allain and Buret, 2020). The causes of such extra-intestinal complications have not yet been established (Halliez and Buret, 2013).

2.3.4.4 Epidemiology

Giardia cysts are infectious when shed in the faeces and immediately infective when excreted (Dawson, 2005). The infectious dose is low: humans can be infected with as few as 10 cysts (Ortega and Adam, 1997). The factors affecting the survival of *Giardia* cysts are summarized in **Table 10**.

56

Factors affect	ing survival of (<i>Giardia</i> cysts				
Environment	Heat	рН	Freezing	Disinfectant	Drying	UV light
Infective cysts survive >2 weeks in a cool moist environment. Vegetative trophozoites do not survive outside the host (Casemore, 1995; Smith, 1993; Meyer and Jarroll, 1980).	Heating regime of 71.7 °C for 15 s will destroy sufficient numbers of cysts (WHO, 2004). It has been shown that air- drying of <i>Giardia</i> cysts on lettuce results in viability abrogation within less than 24 h with almost 50% die-off of Giardia cysts recorded within the first 24 h (Utaaker <i>et al.</i> , 2017).	No information.	Cysts killed at -18 °C after 1 h (Mahbubani <i>et al.</i> , 1991).	Resistant to chlorine-routine. Chlorination of water usually has no effect (depends on time and temperature). Susceptible to phenol-based commercially available disinfectants (Smith, 1993; Lee, 1992). Undiluted vinegar resulted in complete inactivation of the cysts following incubation for 60 min at 21 °C corresponding to 5.7 log reduction (Costa et al., 2009).	No information.	Work with G. muris infectivity suggest that UV doses of 2-3 mJ cm ⁻² can inactivate 4 log 10 of cysts (Hayes et al., 2003). The sensitivity of Giardia to UV light has resulted in this becoming a standard treatment for drinking water.

Table 10. Survival of *Giardia* cysts. Adapted and modified from Dawson, 2005 and Gérard *et al.*,2019.

Humans and foodborne outbreaks caused by the consumption of fresh produce - Giardia duodenalis is one of the most common intestinal parasites in humans and has a global distribution with an estimated 200 million people infected (Certad *et al.*, 2017). Prevalence of giardiasis is very high in developing countries, where it reaches peaks of 30%, whereas it ranges between 2% and 7% in developed countries (Dixon *et al.*, 2011). High-risk groups include children, the elderly, travellers, and immunocompromised individuals (Cacciò *et al.*, 2018; Leung *et al.*, 2019).

In Europe, between 2013 and 2017 giardiasis cases were reported by 24 countries, with an overall rate of 5.8 per 100,000 population (**Table 11**). The highest number of confirmed cases was registered in the United Kingdom, followed by Germany and the majority (60.1%) of giardiasis cases were domestically acquired, with the exception of three Nordic countries (Iceland, Norway and Sweden), where 71%–83% of the cases were travel-associated.

0	201	3	201	l.	201	5	201	5	2017			
Country	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Confirmed cases	Rate	ASR	Reported cases
Austria		-		-								
Belgium	1 220	-	1 144	-	1270	11.3	1 998	17.7	1 996	17.6	17.5	1 996
Bulgaria	1 873	25.7	1 731	23.9	1245	17.3	1 367	19.1	788	11.1	12.5	788
Croatia	0	0.0	80	1.9	93	2.2	50	1.2	51	1.2	1.3	54
Cyprus	3	0.3	3	0.3	6	0.7	1	0.1	5	0.6	0.6	5
Czech Republic	46	0.4	42	0.4	33	0.3	45	0.4	28	0.3	0.3	28
Denmark		-		-								
Estonia	195	14.8	221	16.8	181	13.8	187	14.2	161	12.2	12.3	161
Finland	336	6.2	287	5.3	259	4.7	282	5.1	278	5.1	5.3	278
France		-		-								
Germany	4 107	5.1	4 013	5.0	3 583	4.4	3 479	4.2	3 329	4.0	4.2	3 338
Greece		-		-					-			-
Hungary	59	0.6	59	0.6	130	1.3	108	1.1	73	0.7	0.8	74
loeland	20	6.2	22	6.8	25	7.6	19	5.7	26	7.7	7.3	26
Ireland	44	1.0	71	1.5	145	3.1	202	4.3	239	5.0	5.0	240
Italy		-		-					-			
Latvia	37	1.8	73	3.6	184	9.3	76	3.9	49	2.5	2.5	49
Liechtenstein									-			
Lithuania	13	0.4	13	0.4	9	0.3	10	0.3	9	0.3	0.3	9
Luxembourg	1	0.2	3	0.5	2	0.4	0	0.0	6	1.0	1.0	6
Malta	0	0.0	2	0.5	0	0.0	4	0.9	4	0.9	0.9	4
Netherlands		-		-					-			
Norwey	227	4.5	264	5.2	247	4.8	343	6.6	485	9.2	9.1	485
Poland	1 830	4.8	1 871	4.9	1 687	4.4	1 445	3.8	1 229	3.2	3.4	1 229
Portugal	-	-	-	-	26	0.3	30	0.3	45	0.4	0.5	45
Romania	328	-	796	-	959	-	892	-	1 060	-	-	1 060
Slovakia	180	3.3	166	3.1	228	4.2	284	5.2	190	3.5	3.5	190
Slovenia	42	2.0	38	1.8	30	1.5	54	2.6	64	3.1	3.3	64
Spein	885	-	1 487	-	1 627	-	2 069	-	2 953	-	-	2 953
Sweden	1 253	13.1	1 260	13.1	1 473	15.1	1 491	15.1	1 144	11.4	11.3	1 144
United Kingdom	3 840	6.0	3 628	5.6	4 536	7.0	4 723	7.2	5 225	7.9	8.1	5 225
EWEEA	16 539	5.5	17 274	5.4	17 978	5.5	19 159	5.8	19 437	5.5	5.6	19 451

Source: Country reports.

ASR: age-standardised rate

.: no data reported

-: no rate calculated

Table 11. Distribution of confirmed giardiasis cases by country and year, EU/EEA, 2013–2017 (source: ECDC, 2017).

In Italy, before the introduction of the Highly Active Anti-Retroviral Therapy (HAART), *G. duodenalis* infected 6.15% of HIV-positive persons (Angarano *et al.* 1997; Brandonisio *et al.* 1999). In the immunocompetent population, *G. duodenalis* was recorded with prevalences ranging between 0.94% and 4.66% (Giangaspero *et al.*, 2007) and between 1.3% and 4.8% in Northern Italy (Guidetti *et al.*, 2010) and in the midlands (Masucci *et al.*, 2011).

Similarly to other countries, potentially zoonotic Assemblage A was more common whereas Assemblage B and mixed Assemblages (A + B) were less represented (Giangaspero *et al.*, 2007).

Giardia cysts are considerably less robust than *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. Therefore, although *Giardia* cysts may contaminate fresh produce, such as salad, if they are contaminated a considerable period prior to serving and they are exposed to ambient temperatures for some periods, the cysts may rupture and will be less likely to result in the consumers' infection. On the other hand, fresh

foods may act as a transmission vehicle for *Giardia* when they are contaminated during handling shortly before consumption (Utaaker *et al.,* 2017).

Very few outbreaks of foodborne giardiasis have been identified and investigated (Ryan *et al.*, 2019). Currently, only 38 foodborne outbreaks of giardiasis have been reported, all in the USA (Adam *et al.*, 2016; Ryan *et al.*, 2019). The food type or source of outbreak was frequently undetermined. However, a variety of foods have been implicated, with fresh produce including fresh vegetables (lettuce, onions, tomatoes, lettuce-based and mixed green salads) and fresh fruit contaminated with *Giardia* cysts (Adam *et al.* 2016) being the most common food type and infected food handlers the most common source.

In Italy, cases of giardiasis linked to fresh produce have not been documented.

The total number of giardiasis outbreaks is probably greatly underestimated. Under-reporting of giardiasis outbreaks is also likely due to the fact that many countries lack a system for reporting cases and outbreaks of foodborne diseases, and for countries that do, many surveillance systems do not include giardiasis.

Fresh produce - Giardia cysts have been detected on a variety of foods, including vegetables and fruits such as, leafy greens, herbs, berries, green onions, carrots, tomatoes, etc. (Dixon, 2020). The presence of *Giardia* cysts in fresh produce has been documented worldwide with a prevalence ranging from 1.8% to 56% (Dixon, 2015).

Giardia on fresh produce has been recorded in Iran (Shahnazi and Jafari-Sabet, 2010; Ezatpour *et al.*, 2013), Saudi Arabia (Al-Megrin *et al.*, 2010), in Egypt (Eraky *et al.*, 2014), Sudan (Mohamed *et al.*, 2016).

Giardia duodenalis has also been reported in RTE salads in Canada by Dixon *et al.* (2013). These authors recorded the presence of *G. duodenalis* in 10 (1.8%) out of 544 RTE packaged leafy greens; *G. duodenalis* Assemblages A and B were identified in 7 (1.2%) and 2 (0.4%) samples, respectively.

In Europe, *Giardia* cysts have been found on vegetables in Norway (Robertson and Gjerde, 2001) and in Spain (Amorós *et al.*, 2010). In Norway, between August 1999 and January 2000, *Giardia* cysts have been found in 10 (2.1%) out of 475 samples of fruits and vegetables examined. In Spain, *Giardia* cysts have been detected on lettuce and Chinese cabbage (Amorós *et al.*, 2010).

In Italy, the presence of the *Giardia* cysts in vegetables is poorly documented. The first detection of *Giardia* in vegetables is dated back to 1968 when *Giardia* cysts were found on 48 (75%) out of 65 vegetables samples collected from local markets in the city of Rome (Mastrandrea and Micarelli, 1968). Almost 40 years later, the presence of *Giardia* cysts has been documented in RTE salads by

Di Benedetto *et al.* (2007) and by Caradonna *et al.*, (2017). The first study was carried out in Palermo and one sample out of 40 was found positive for *Giardia* whereas the latter was carried out in the Apulia region and *G. duodenalis* was molecularly detected with a prevalence of 0.633%.

A recent review gives detailed information on the contamination of vegetables and fruits with *G*. *duodenalis* and its geographical distribution (Li *et al.*, 2020). The average prevalence is estimated to be 4.8%, and Assemblages A and B were the most detected (Li *et al.*, 2020).

2.3.4.5 Detection methods in fresh produce

Testing foods for the presence of *Giardia* cysts is very challenging due to: *i*) the small numbers of cysts likely to be present on foods and associated difficulties in detecting them and *ii*) the inefficiencies in the methods available.

Elution, extraction, and concentration represent the first steps for detecting *Giardia* in or on food items (Ahmed and Karanis, 2018). Different elution methods for recovering parasites from contaminated vegetables or fruits are available.

The detection of *Giardia* on food has been improved by the use of immunomagnetic separation (IMS) methods to isolate cysts (Cook *et al.*, 2007; Hohweyer *et al.*, 2016). A standardised method for the detection and enumeration of *Giardia* cysts as well as *Cryptosporidium* oocysts on berry fruits and fresh leafy green vegetables based on IMS has become available in 2016 (ISO 2016); however, with this method it is not possible to determine the *Giardia* Assemblages and it would, therefore, need to be combined with molecular tools and sequencing if that is the objective.

Staining with Lugol's iodine is widely used for the detection of *G. duodenalis* cysts, with light microscopy used to view morphological features; this method is economical and rapid, however, it is labour intensive and lacks specificity and sensitivity (Soares and Tasca, 2016). An immunofluorescence assay is usually applied for the detection of *Giardia* cysts in food items and has both greater sensitivity and specificity (Ryan *et al.*, 2018).

PCR detection is increasingly being used for the identification of *Giardia* on food as it offers improved sensitivity and specificity compared with microscopy and immunology based detection methods (Dixon *et al.*, 2013; Ramirez-Martinez *et al.*, 2015; Hohweyer *et al.*, 2016) and allows the determination of the Assemblages through DNA sequencing and, therefore, may provide information for better understanding of the transmission patterns and the zoonotic potential of the isolates (Thompson and Ash, 2016).

60

Recently, various molecular methods have been developed for the identification of *Giardia* on vegetables such as conventional PCR (Ramirez-Martinez *et al.*, 2015), nested-PCR (Dixon *et al.*, 2013) and PCR-RFLP (Tiyo *et al.*, 2016).

Widely used genetic loci for the detection of *Giardia* include glutamate dehydrogenase (*gdh*), triose phosphate isomerase (*tpi*), β-giardin (*bg*), 16S rRNA gene and 18S rRNA (Feng and Xiao, 2011; Ryan and Cacciò, 2013; Dixon *et al.*, 2013; Koehler *et al.*, 2014).

2.3.5 ECHINOCOCCUS MULTILOCULARIS

2.3.5.1 Classification and morphology

Echinococcus multilocularis is a cestode (tapeworm) belonging to the Phylum Platyhelminthes, Class Cestoda, Order Cyclophyllidea and Family Taeniidae.



Eight recognised species belong to the genus *Echinococcus* and, among those, *E. multilocularis*, causing alveolar echinococcosis (AE) represents a significant public health concern (Deplazes *et al.*, 2017).

An adult *E. multilocularis* measures 1.5 to 3.5 mm in length. It consists of a head, or scolex, a neck, and a segmented body, the strobila, formed by 3-5 proglottids. The last segment is the longest; however, it measures less than half of the whole cestode body. The rostellum has 2 rows of hooks (28 to 30) and four suckers. The gravid proglottid, found at the end of the strobila, contains the developed uterus, full of eggs. The gravid proglottid or the eggs are passed with the faeces into the environment (**Figure 21a; 21b**).



Figure 21. Photomicrographs of an adult *E. multilocularis* (a) and gravid proglottid (b). Scale-bars: 500 μm (Source: Heidari *et al.,* 2019)

Echinococcus multilocularis eggs are indistinguishable from the eggs of *Taenia* spp. and other members of the family Taeniidae. The eggs measure $30-35 \mu m$ in diameter and are radially striated. The internal oncosphere contains 6 refractile hooks.



Figure 22. Egg of *Echinococcus* sp. in an unstained wet mount of concentrated stool from a dog. Image taken at 400x magnification. (Source: https://www.mcdinternational.org)

2.3.5.2 Biology and life cycle

Echinococcus multilocularis is commonly maintained in a wildlife life cycle where the definitive and the intermediate hosts are predators and preyed species, respectively. The main definitive hosts are foxes, particularly red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*); however, wolves, raccoon dogs (*Nyctereutes procyonoides*), golden jackals (*Canis aureus*), dogs and, to some extent felid species, can also serve as competent definitive hosts (Romig *et al.*, 2017). The intermediate hosts are lagomorphs and rodents and, among the latter order, the members of the subfamily Arvicolinae (voles and lemmings) are the ones most commonly involved. Humans, on the other hand, represent the aberrant intermediate hosts and become infected by ingesting eggs (Romig *et al.*, 2017). Being deadend hosts, humans do not contribute to the maintenance of the parasite lifecycle.

The adult stages of *E. multilocularis* are intestinal parasites of carnivores (definitive hosts) (1) that release eggs, each containing an infectious larva (oncosphere), with their faeces into the environment (2). Intermediate hosts ingest egg-containing faeces, or ingest the eggs dispersed into the soil or onto the plants (Tamarozzi *et al.*, 2020). Following ingestion, the oncospheres hatch in the small intestine, penetrate the intestinal wall (3), and migrate through the circulatory system into the liver or, rarely, disseminate into other organs, where they develop into the metacestode stage represented by a multi-chambered ("multilocular"), thin-walled (alveolar) cyst (4). Inside these cysts, protoscolices are produced in large numbers (5). Should infected organs of the intermediate host be consumed/ingested by a definitive host, the protoscolices may develop into adults in the definitive host's small intestine (6). After a few weeks, the adult worms, start producing eggs that will be eventually released into the environment with the faeces (Thompson *et al.*, 2017).



Figure 23. *Echinococcus multilocularis* life cycle (Source: https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/echinococcosis/epi.html)

Humans can acquire the infection by ingesting viable eggs in many different ways (Tamarozzi *et al.,* 2020):

- water- or foodborne transmission (vegetables/fruits/berries);
- o hand-to-mouth transmission after contact with *E. multilocularis* eggs in the environment;
- birds and flies could be possible vectors (Lawson and Gemmell, 1990);
- direct contact with the definitive hosts when their coats is contaminated with *E*. *multilocularis* eggs i.e., after dogs have been rolling into their own or other dogs' faeces. Also, proglottids of *E. multilocularis* have been found and documented in the perianal region of a naturally infected dog (Deplazes *et al.*, 2004). Dog ownership is one of the most important risk factors for humans to be infected with *E. multilocularis* (Alvarez Rojas *et al.*, 2018).

2.3.5.3 Pathogenesis and clinical signs

Echinococcus multilocularis causes AE, a chronic and severe disease characterized by slow growing, destructive parasitic tumors in the liver and, occasionally, other organs. After ingestion of viable eggs, the oncospheres reach the liver where they establish a germinal layer (GL), from which protoscolices will be eventually produced, and form a cyst (Gottstein *et al.*, 2017). After 7-10 days a laminated layer, that protects the parasite from host immunity, is formed. The parasites generate additional cysts that typically remain attached to the parent one, but occasionally detach from it and form a "multilocular" metacestode (reviewed by Woolsey and Miller, 2020).

In the early stages, the infection is usually asymptomatic and the only symptoms that the patient may show are abdominal pain and biliary obstruction. It takes years before lesions and related symptoms become evident (Kern *et al.*, 2017). The incubation period is estimated to be 10-15 years in most cases (Ammann and Eckert, 1996).

Abdominal pain, jaundice and cholestasis are the main symptoms associated with AE which is often misdiagnosed as liver cancer (Kern *et al.*, 2017).

It has been reported that the severity of the disease mainly depends on the location of the lesions in the liver (Bresson-Hadni *et al.*, 2000): if the parasite is located centrally, the infection typically manifests with milder symptoms, whereas lesions situated close to the hepatic veins or inferior vena cava can result in a Budd-Chiari-like presentation; in addition, in the latter scenario, there is a higher risk of metastasis and lesions spread to different organs (i.e., lungs, spleen, brain, and heart). Untreated infections have a high fatality rate. Death is mainly associated with liver failure, septic shock, biliary cirrhosis leading to gastrointestinal bleeding or cerebral AE (Kern *et al.*, 2017).

2.3.5.4 Epidemiology

In large parts of Central Europe, included Italy, *E. multilocularis* is present in red fox populations with average prevalence ranging between 0.1% and 50%. Besides foxes, domestic dogs with patent infections have been found in several areas and may play an important role for parasite transmission to humans. Cats, with low worm burdens and low egg excretion, are probably of negligible zoonotic significance in the maintenance of the *E. multilocularis* life cycle (reviewed by Deplazes *et al.*, 2017). The infectious dose for *E. multilocularis* in humans is unknown; it has been reported that 200-500 eggs of *E. multilocularis* collected from faeces of infected carnivores were needed to ensure the establishment of the parasite in rodents (Hildreth & Granholm 2003; Matsumoto *et al.*, 2010); however, the hosts' susceptibility to the infection has not yet been clarified and varies significantly, even between different strains of mice (Hildreth & Granholm, 2003).

Factors affecting the sur	vival of E. multilocularis	s eggs are summarized in Table 12 .
ractors arrecting the sar	vival of E. marchocarans	

Factors affeo	ting survival c	of E. multilocu	laris eggs			
Environment	Heat	рН	Freezing	Disinfectant	Drying	UV light
Environment Echinococcus multilocularis eggs are able to survive temperatures of 4 °C for 478 days (Veit et al., 1995). They are more resistant to elevated temperatures when suspended in water than in environments with 70% relative humidity (Federer et al., 2015). E. multilocularis eggs remained viable in their natural environment in Germany for 240 days in autumn/winter and for 78 days in summer (Veit et al., 1995).	Heat Echinococcus multilocularis eggs can survive temperatures of 65°C for 2 h, but they are killed after 3 h at 65°C at or at 70°C, 75°C and 80°C for 30 min, 15 min or 7.5 min, respectively (Federer <i>et al.</i> , 2015). Echinococcus multilocularis eggs appear to lose infectivity at temperatures even as low as 43 °C in low humidity conditions (Veit <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	pH No information.	Freezing Echinococcus eggs remain viable at temperatures below zero for long periods of time (-18°C for 240 days). They were found to be still infective to voles after storage for 54 days at -27°C (Schiller, 1955). Very low temperatures (-70°C to -80°C for 96 and 48 h, respectively), inactivate the eggs (Eckert et al., 2001). EFSA recommends deep freezing at -80 °C for a minimum of 24 h in order to inactivate potentially contaminant Echinococcus	Disinfectant Echinococcus. multilocularis eggs are sensitive to higher temperatures and also to desiccation in in vitro conditions (Laws, 1968; Veit <i>et al.</i> , 1995)	Drying No information.	UV light No information.
			(EFSA, 2018);			



Humans and foodborne outbreaks caused by the consumption of fresh produce

The true distribution of *E. multilocularis* is likely underdiagnosed and underestimated due to: *(I)* limited knowledge of the parasite, *(II)* misdiagnosis with other similar diseases (e.g., liver cancer) *(III)* limited availability of advanced diagnostic techniques (Vuitton *et al.*, 2015).

Echinococcus multilocularis is restricted to the Northern hemisphere, with no known endemic areas further south than Tibet and the Sichuan province in China (Deplazes *et al.*, 2017; Feng *et al.*, 2015). *Echinococcus multilocularis* is widespread in several countries across northern Asia including Russia, the Japanese island of Hokkaido, central Asia (particularly Kyrgyzstan) (Paternoster *et al.*, 2020) and western China (Torgerson *et al.*, 2010). China is estimated to be responsible for over 90% of AE cases worldwide (Torgerson *et al.*, 2010). In some districts of Siberia, numerous human AE cases have been registered, whereas only sporadic cases have been reported from northern India or Pakistan. Based on data on human AE, most parts of the Russian Federation are considered endemic for *E. multilocularis* (Bessonov, 1998).

Central Europe has been a core endemic area for AE since the end of the 19th century (Eckert and Thompson, 2017). In fact, between 1982 and 2000, 599 AE cases (42% in France, 24% in Germany, 21% in Switzerland, 13% in other countries) were registered in this area (Kern et al., 2003). An expansion of the Central European endemic area has been observed to the north, west and to the east (Gottstein et al., 2015). The emergence of AE has been documented in the Baltic region (Marcinkute et al., 2015) with an increasing number of human AE cases whereas Southern Europe is regarded as free even though studies focusing on E. multilocularis are lacking in most areas. As of the end of 2015, no autochthonous AE cases have been described in Western and Northern Europe, however, single imported cases in humans were reported from Denmark, United Kingdom, and Sweden (Wahlström et al., 2015). During the past three decades, the implementation of oral rabies vaccination (ORV) programmes in 24 countries has led to the elimination of fox-mediated rabies from vast areas of Western and Central Europe (Freuling et al., 2013). This successful campaign has led to an increase of the red fox population in many parts of Europe and, as a consequence, to the increase of E. multilocularis circulation and distribution (Takumi et al., 2008). In addition, the red fox population is spreading in Europe in all habitats, including urban areas (reviewed by Deplazes et al., 2017).

A recent review by Deplazes *et al.,* 2017 gives detailed information on the global distribution of alveolar echinococcosis. The geographical distribution of *E. multilocularis* and AE is summarized in **Figure 24**.



Figure 24. Geographical distribution of *E. multilocularis* and alveolar echinococcosis (Source: Rodriguez-Morales *et al.*, 2015).

The global burden of disease of AE is estimated to be 18,200 cases per annum, resulting in approximately 666,000 DALYs (37 DALYs per case) (Torgerson *et al.*, 2010). However, 91% of cases and 95% of the DALYs were estimated to be in China. Thus, there are approximately 1600 cases of AE per annum in Europe, Russia and central Asia resulting in 33,000 DALYs or 21 DALYs per case.

As to foodborne outbreaks caused by the consumption of fresh produce, although no cases of human infection with *Echinococcus* spp. have been linked to contaminated fresh produce, several cases of AE in zoo primates in Switzerland seem to have been caused by consumption of fresh vegetables contaminated with *E. multilocularis* eggs (Federer *et al.*, 2016).

It is similarly impossible to assess whether *E. multilocularis* infections occur following the foodborne route and what the source of infection is due to the long incubation period, which varies between 5 and 15 years (Eckert and Deplazes, 2004). However, a systematic review and meta-analysis by Torgerson *et al.* (2020) shows that the foodborne transmission is a relevant route of infection and empirical evidence shows that contaminated food and contaminated environment would, between them, account for 41% of the pathways of transmission.

Fresh produce

The presence of taeniid eggs and *Echinococcus* DNA has been documented in food and it has been demonstrated that fresh produce represents a potential transmission vehicle for taeniid eggs (Malkamäki *et al.*, 2019).

Contamination of vegetables by taeniid eggs has been identified microscopy, mainly in Asia and Africa, with contamination rates ranging between 0.9 and 18.3% (Alvarez Rojas *et al.*, 2018). One study in Poland used PCR to assess the presence of *E. multilocularis* DNA in 103 samples of fruits, vegetables, and mushrooms and 23.3 % of samples were found to be positive (Lass *et al.* 2015). This publication opened a debate in which the finding of positive raspberries collected from plants at some distance from the ground raised some questions (Robertson *et al.*, 2016). However, such contamination could not be excluded, as it has been reported that flies can transport such eggs (Lawson and Gemmell, 1990). In addition, an investigation into the presence of cestode eggs in the wash water of fresh produce (vegetables, fruit) used as feed for primates kept at a zoo was carried out in Switzerland and taeniid-DNA was detected (Federer *et al.*, 2016).

2.3.5.5 Detection methods in fresh produce

There is no standardised method for the isolation of *Echinococcus* eggs present on fresh produce (EFSA, 2018). However, methods for the isolation of taeniid eggs from faecal samples (Conraths and Deplazes, 2015) can be applied for food samples.

After a washing stage that can be performed using different detergents such as SDS (1%) and/or Tween 80 (0.1%), the parasites eluted in the washwater can be concentrated by flotation, sedimentation, and/or sieving steps, and then detected by microscopy. As all taeniid eggs have very similar morphologies, microscopy-based detection of taeniid eggs should be accompanied by molecular tools in order to identify them at the species level. The sensitivity of PCR amplification is high and the limit of detection is described to be as low as one egg. This is not unexpected, as taeniid eggs contain between 18 and 56 cells (Alvarez Rojas *et al.*, 2018), and a single taeniid egg contains around 7,000 mitochondrial targets (Trachsel *et al.*, 2007).

Various methods have been developed for the detection of *Echinococcus* from fresh produce. These include a nested PCR based on the mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene (Lass *et al.*, 2015; 2017), a real-time PCR method targeting a 77 base pair region of the 12 s gene in the mitochondrial genome of *E. multilocularis* (Isaksson *et al.*, 2014), and a novel multiplex real-time PCR for the detection of *E. multilocularis*, as well as *T. gondii*, and *C. cayetanensis* on berries (Temesgen *et al.*, 2019b).

3. EXPERIMENTAL PART

3.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1.1 Sample size estimation

A pooling design, based on testing homogenous groups of samples (pools) (Cowling *et al.* 1999), was used as a cost-efficient sampling strategy to keep the sample size manageable. The number of pools and the pool-size were established according to Worlund and Taylor (1983) based on the expected prevalence of contaminated RTE or berries batches, not lower than 1%, desired precision of 1.15% and desired level of confidence of 95% using the formula provided in **equation 1** below.

A pool size of 9 packages per month was established for each fresh product, for a total of 54 samples and 6 pools per month and 72 pools for the whole year (**Table 13**). This sampling protocol was aimed at providing the highest confidence of detecting contamination, even for parasites with low expected prevalence.

Equation 1

$$m = (Z^{*}(1-p)/(ek))^{2*}((1-p)^{-k}-1)$$

Where: m is the number of pools, p is the expected prevalence, k is the pool size, e is the desired precision and Z is the desired level of confidence.

Fresh Produce	No. Samples/Month	No. Pools/Month	Total No. Samples/Year	Total No. Pools/Year
RTE mixed salads	q	1	108	12
(Brand A)	5	-	100	12
RTE mixed salads	9	1	108	12
(Brand B)	5	-	100	12
RTE mixed salads	q	1	108	12
(Brand C)	5	-	100	12
Subtotal	27	3	324	36
Imported blackberries (Mexico)	9	1	108	12
Imported blueberries (Peru)	9	1	108	12
Local raspberries (Italy)	9	1	108	12
Subtotal	27	3	324	36
Total	54	6	648	72

 Table 13.
 Sampling protocol.

3.1.2 Sampling plan

From January to December 2019, a total of 648 samples of fresh produce were purchased from supermarkets located in Foggia and Bari towns (Apulia region, southern Italy). The samples consisted of 324 RTE locally produced mixed salad packages belonging to three brands (A, B, and C) and 324 berries packages. Two brands of RTE-salad (A and B) contained sugarloaf chicory, radicchio, rocket salad, and grated carrots, and the third brand (C) contained red cabbage, frisée salad, leek, rocket salad and grated carrots. The berry packages contained either blueberries or blackberries, imported from Peru and Mexico, respectively, or raspberries grown in Italy.

Therefore, each month, per each fresh product, nine individual packages (not less than 100 g each) belonging to the same lot were bought and, after being mixed together, analysed together as a single pool. After the purchase, the samples were transported to the laboratory in a cooler bag and stored in the refrigerator. The pooling and the washing procedures were carried out before the products' respective expiry dates.

3.1.3 Sample processing

Each month, the nine packages of each of the six produce types (three RTE-salads and three berries) were opened and the same produce types were mixed together by hand to create a pool of 900 g. These mixed pools were then divided into nine filter bags (BagPage®+ 400ml filter bags, Interscience, Sant Nom, France), with 100 g of produce per bag, before further processing. The samples were processed according to the U.S. Food and Drugs Administration Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM) chapter 19b (Murphy *et al.*, 2017), with slight modifications as follows.

To each filter bag was added 200 ml of 1% Alconox (Alconox Inc., NY USA), which was then sealed with a clip, placed on a rocker platform (Vibramax 100, Heidolf), and agitated for 30 minutes at room temperature at 150 rpm. The wash solution from each bag was then transferred into four 50 ml tubes for concentration by repeated cycles of centrifugation, removal of supernatant, and combination of pellets. The resultant pellets were transferred into a single 2 ml microfuge tube and centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 4 minutes, and all but approximately 500 μ l of the supernatant removed by aspiration. Thus, for each type of pooled sample, nine pellets that were representative of the whole pool were obtained (**Figure 25**). Of these, two were stored in the refrigerator at 4°C for analysis by microscopy and seven were stored at -20°C pending DNA extraction.

As well as different approaches being used for investigation of contamination, these were also conducted in different laboratories on samples from the same pools, providing interlaboratory strength to the results obtained.

71



Figure 25. Flowchart of sample processing: blackberries

3.1.4 Microscopy investigation

The FLOTAC double technique was used for the analysis by microscopy (Cringoli *et al.*, 2010) and was carried out at the Regional Center for Parasitosis Monitoring (CREMOPAR) at the University of Naples Federico II, Italy. In this approach, to 6 ml of saturated zinc sulphate solution (specific gravity = 1.35), one aliquot of the concentrated pooled washings (approx. 500 μ l) was added. The mixture was homogenized by shaking on a vortex mixer, and then transferred to one of the FLOTAC chambers. Once both chambers had been filled with two different samples, the FLOTAC apparatus was centrifuged for 5 min at 120 × g and examined by light microscopy at 100× and 400× magnification on a DM1000 LED microscope equipped with a ICC50 HD Camera (Leica). For each sample, suspected findings were enumerated, measured using a calibrated graticule, and photographed. The microscopy investigation steps are summarized in **figure 26**.



Figure 26. Microscopy investigation using the FLOTAC apparatus

3.1.5 Molecular investigation

3.1.5.1 DNA isolation
DNA was isolated from 72 aliquots (one aliquot per each pool) using DNeasy PowerSoil extraction Kit (DNeasy PowerSoil[®], Qiagen, Italy). The manufacturer's instructions were followed with slight modifications. After thawing, the 500 μ l sample for DNA extraction was centrifuged and the supernatant removed. The pellet was resuspended to a volume of 250 μ l that was added to a beadbeating tube with the appropriate volume of lysis buffer (C1 solution) and subjected to 2 cycles of bead-beating (FastPrep 24G, MP Biomedicals, France) of 4 m/s for 60 s with 45 s pause between cycles. The lysate was then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 1 min at room temperature, and 500 μ l of the supernatant was used for the next steps of the protocol in which spin columns were used to capture the DNA on silica membranes. Finally, the DNA was eluted from the membrane with 50 μ l of elution buffer (C6 solution). Samples were stored at -20 °C pending further molecular testing. The complete DNeasy PowerSoil Kit protocol has been included in **Annex 1**.

3.1.5.2 Real-time PCR and quantitative analysis (qPCR)

• Simplex qPCR for Cyclospora cayetanensis (Assay 1)

At the Department of Infectious Diseases of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità (Rome, Italy), a real-time PCR assay targeting the multicopy 18S ribosomal RNA gene was used for detection of *Cyclospora* (Murphy *et al.*, 2017). The assay uses an internal amplification control (IAC), which is a synthetic 200 bp ultramer DNA sequence (Deer *et al.*, 2010), to monitor for potential matrix-derived inhibition of the reaction. The assay also provides a traceable synthetic positive control that allows identifying false positives, possibly originating from laboratory contamination. This positive control (HMgBlock135m) is a 998 bp double-stranded synthetic gBlocks[®] Gene Fragment that corresponds to the sequence at positions 203-1200 of the *C. cayetanensis* 18S rRNA gene but contains traceable mutations (T885A and C886G) within the amplicon generated by the real-time PCR.

The PCR conditions were as described by Murphy *et al.* (2017). In brief, primers Cyclo250F (5'-TAGTAACCGAACGGATCGCATT-3') and Cyclo350RN (5'-AATGCCACGGTAGGCCAATA-3') were used in combination with the probe Cyclo281T (5'-CCGGCGATAGATCATTCAAGTTTCTGACC-3') labelled with 5' FAM reporter dye and double quenched with an internal ZEN quencher and a 3' Iowa Black[®] FQ quencher.

Amplification of the IAC target was achieved using the primers dd-IAC-F (5'-CTAACCTTCGTGATGAGCAATCG-3'), dd-IAC-R (5'-GATCAGCTACGTGAGGTCCTAC-3'), and the probe dd-IAC-Cy5 (5'-AGCTAGTCGATGCACTCCAGTCCTCT-3'), labelled with 5' Cy5 reporter dye and 3' lowa Black[®] RQ-Sp quencher.

Amplification was performed in a final reaction volume of 20 µl, using the QuantiFast Multiplex PCR Master Mix. All reaction mixtures contained the primers/probe to detect 1E4 µl copies of the synIAC target. In each *q*PCR experiment, a negative control (no template control, NTC) and three positive controls (the HMgBlock135m, used at 5×10^2 copies/µl, and DNA extracted from a human stool sample positive for *Cyclospora* and from a vegetable matrix spiked with 200 oocysts) were used. Isolated DNA from each of the pools (i.e., 36 from RTE mixed salads and 36 from berries) was tested in triplicate using this assay.

• Multiplex qPCR for Cyclospora cayetanensis, Toxoplasma gondii, and Echinococcus multilocularis (Assay 2)

A multiplex real-time PCR assay for the simultaneous detection of *C. cayetanensis, T. gondii* and *E. multilocularis* was performed on one aliquot of all pools according to the protocol of Temesgen *et al.* (2019b) at the Parasitology Laboratory, at the Norwegian University of Life Sciences (Oslo, Norway).

In this multiplex, the primers, CyITS1_TT-F (ATGTTTTAGCATGTGGTGTGGC) and CyITS1_TT-R (GCAGCAACAACAACTCCTCATC), and probe, CyITS1_TT-P (HEX-TACATACCCGTCCCAACCCTCGA-MGBEQ), were used for the detection of *C. cayetanensis* to amplify a product of 141 bp from the ITS-1 region (Temesgen *et al.*, 2019a). A product of 162 bp from the 529 bp repeat of *T. gondii* was amplified with the primers Tox-9F (AGGAGAGATATCAGGACTGTAG), Tox-11R (GCGTCGTCTC GTCTAGATCG) and probe Tox-TP1 (Cy5-CCGGCTTGGCTGCTTTTCCT-MGBEQ) (Opsteegh *et al.*, 2010). A forward primer, EmMGB_F (GTGCTGCTYATAAGAGTTTTTG), a reverse primer, EmMGB_R (CTATTAAGTCCTAAACAATACCATA), and an EmMGB_P probe (FAM-ACAACAATATTCCTATCAATGT-MGBEQ) were used to amplify a product of 77 base pairs (bp) from the 12 S rRNA region of *E. multilocularis* (Isaksson *et al.*, 2014).

The *q*PCR was performed using the Stratagene Mx3005P *q*PCR System (Agilent Technologies, Germany) in a final volume of 20 µl, using 10 µl of 2 × KiCqStart Probe *q*PCR ReadyMix low ROX (Sigma Aldrich), and 2 µl of DNA template. Primers and probes were added in appropriate concentrations according to Temesgen *et al.* (2019b). Cycling conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 3 min (initial denaturation), followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s (denaturation) and 45 cycles at 60 °C for 30 s (combined annealing and extension). ROX was used as a reference dye for normalization of the fluorescent signal. Each sample was analysed in triplicate and C*q* values were analysed after each run.

To investigate whether the PCR for *E. multilocularis* might also amplify *E. granulosus* DNA, we tested DNA isolated from *E. granulosus* eggs kindly provided by Prof. Laura Rinaldi, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, using the same *q*PCR assay.

3.1.5.3 Conventional PCR and DNA sequencing

• Conventional nested PCR for Cyclospora (Assay 3)

At the Department of Infectious Diseases of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità, a conventional nested PCR assay targeting the 18S rRNA gene (Yoder *et al.*, 1996) was also used for detection and characterisation of *Cyclospora*. In the primary PCR amplification, the primers F1E (5'-TACCCAATGAAAACAGTTT'3) and R2B (5'-CAGGAGAAGCCAAGGTAGG-3') were used to amplify a 636 bp DNA fragment. In the secondary PCR amplification, the primers CC719 (5'-GTAGCCTTCCGCGCTTCG'3) and CRP999 (5'-CGTCTTCAAACCCCTACTGTCG 3') were used to amplify an internal 298 bp DNA fragment.

PCR reactions were performed on a Perkin Elmer 9700 apparatus (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA), using 25 μ l of 2X HotStarTaq[®] Master Mix (Qiagen) with additional MgCl₂ for a final concentration of 2.0 mM, 10 pmol of each primer, 5.0 μ l of DNA, and nuclease-free water up to a final volume of 50 μ l. Negative and positive controls were included in each experiment.

PCR conditions for the primary amplification were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 15 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 53°C for 30 sec and extension at 72°C for 90 sec followed by a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min.

PCR conditions for the secondary amplification were as follows: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 15 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 15 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 sec and extension at 70°C for 90 sec.

Aliquots of nested PCR reactions (10 µl) were loaded on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. PCR products were purified using spin columns (QiaQuick PCR purification kit, Qiagen, Milan, Italy) and sequenced on both strands by a commercial company (BMR Genomics, Padua, Italy). Sequence chromatograms were edited and assembled using the SeqMan 7.1 software package (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA). Nucleotide BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was employed to compare the sequences against the GenBank database.

• Conventional nested PCR for *Cryptosporidium* spp.

At the Department of Infectious Diseases of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità, for the identification of *Cryptosporidium* spp., a nested PCR assay was used to amplify a fragment of the small subunit rRNA

(*18S* rDNA) gene, as described (Ryan *et al.*, 2003). The primers 18SiCF2 (5'-GACATATCATTCAAGTTTCTGACC-3') and 18SiCR2 (5'-CTGAAGGAGTAAGGAACAACC-3') were used in the primary PCR to amplify a 763 bp fragment.

For the nested reaction, the primers 18SiCF1 (5'-CCTATCAGCTTTAGACGGTAGG-3) and 18SiCR1 (5'-TCTAAGAATTTCACCTCTGACTG-3') were used to amplify a fragment of ~587 bp.

Reactions were performed in a final volume of 50 μ l containing 25 μ l of 2x GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 1 μ l of each primer (10 pmol/ μ l), 5 μ l of extracted DNA and 18 μ l of sterile water. Negative and positive controls were included in each experiment.

Reactions were performed on a Perkin Elmer 9700 apparatus (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA).

PCR conditions, for both primary and secondary amplification, were as follows: after an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 58 °C for 30 sec and extension at 72 °C for 1 min were performed, followed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min.

Aliquots of PCR reactions (10 μ l) were loaded on 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under a UV transilluminator. Positive PCR products were purified using spin columns (QiaQuick PCR purification kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and bidirectional sequencing of the PCR products was performed by a commercial company (BMR Genomics, Padua, Italy).

Sequence chromatograms were edited and assembled using the SeqMan 7.1 software package (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA).

BLAST searches (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) against the GenBank database were used to identify *Cryptosporidium* at the species level.

Conventional nested PCR for Giardia duodenalis

At the Department of Infectious Diseases of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità, for the identification of *G. duodenalis*, a nested-PCR assay was used to amplify a fragment of the beta-giardin gene, using a previously described protocol (Lalle *et al.*, 2005). The primers BGFor71 (5'-CCCGACGACCTCACCCGCAGTCG-3') and BGRev794 (5'-GCCGCCCTGGATCTTCGAGACGA-3') were used in primary PCR to amplify a 763 bp fragment. For the nested reaction, the primers BGintFor (5'-GAACGAGATCGAGGTCCG-3') and BGintRev (5'-CTCGACGAGCTTCGTGTT-3') were used to amplify a 511 bp fragment.

Reactions were performed in a final volume of 50 μ l containing 25 μ l of 2x GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 1 μ l of each primer (10 pmol/ μ l), 5 μ l of extracted DNA and 18 μ l of sterile water. Negative and positive controls were included in each experiment.

Reactions were performed on a Perkin Elmer 9700 apparatus (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA). PCR conditions, for both primary and secondary amplification, were as follows: after an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 sec and extension at 72 °C for 1 min were performed, followed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min.

Aliquots of PCR reactions (10 μ l) were loaded on 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under a UV transilluminator. Positive PCR products were purified using spin columns (QiaQuick PCR purification kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and bidirectional sequencing of the PCR products was performed by a commercial company (BMR Genomics, Padua, Italy).

Sequence chromatograms were edited and assembled using the SeqMan 7.1 software package (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA). BLAST searches (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) against the GenBank database were used to identify *Giardia* Assemblages and genotypes.

• PCR amplification of *Entamoeba* spp.

At the Department of Agriculture, Food, Natural resources and Engineering (DAFNE) at the University of Foggia, a protocol of conventional PCR was used to amplify the 18S rRNA genus-specific fragment of *Entamoeba* spp., ranging from 622 to 667 bp, depending on the *Entamoeba* species. The primers JVC (5-GTTGATCCTGCCAGTATTATATG-3) and DSPR2 (5-CACTATTGGAGCTGGAATTAC-3) were used according to Santos *et al.*, 2010. Amplification was performed in a total reaction volume of 25 μ l containing 12.5 μ l of DreamTaq Green DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 1 μ l of each primer, 8.5 μ l of water, and 2 μ l of genomic DNA. The PCR protocol was as follows: 2 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 59 °C for 30 sec, and 72 °C for 2 min with a final extension step of 72°C for 5 min. A positive control and a negative control (PCR grade water) were included in each experiment. The positive control was kindly provided by Prof. Federica Berrilli, Tor Vergata University of Rome, Italy. The PCR products were run on 2% agarose gel.

Purification and sequencing of PCR products with the above-described primers (in both forward and reverse directions) were performed by Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany). The sequences generated were edited and aligned manually using Geneious version 2020.0.5 (https://www.geneious.com) and compared with the sequences available in GenBank database using Nucleotide BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

3.1.6. Statistical analyses

Analyses were conducted in R 3.6.3 (R Development Core Team R, 2020) with "binGroup" library for group testing and the threshold for statistical significance set as p < 0.05.

Prevalences and 95% confidence intervals, were estimated with the bgtCl function using the Clopper-Pearson method based on a single binomial proportion estimated from a binomial group testing trial (Schaarschmidt, 2007).

For pathogens with a prevalence above 2%, the statistical difference of prevalence between seasons and product (RTE salad vs berries) was tested through group testing regression, considering the contamination status of the pool (positive/negative) as the response variable and pool identity as a grouping factor (Vansteelandt *et al.*, 2000).

4. **RESULTS**

4.1 MICROSCOPY INVESTIGATION

A total of 72 pools were examined and the following parasites were identified:

- a *Cyclospora*-like oocyst (8 μm in diameter) in one pool of blueberries (Figure 27a);
- a taeniid egg (32 μm in diameter) in one RTE-salad pool (brand A) (Figure 27b);
- Giardia-like cysts (12 μm x 8 μm) in two pools of berries (raspberries) (Figure 27c);

During the microscopy investigation, an additional parasite, not included in the original aims, was detected:

 Entamoeba-like cysts (15 μm) in six pools: two were RTE salad pools (brand B and C) and four out of six were berries (two pools of blackberries, one pool of blueberries and one pool of raspberries) (Figure 27d);





Figure 27. *Cyclospora*-like oocyst (a), Taeniid egg (b), *Giardia*-like cyst (c) and *Entamoeba*-like cyst (d) detected by FLOTAC technique.

4.2 MOLECULAR INVESTIGATION AND SEQUENCING

Cyclospora cayetanensis: *C. cayetanensis* DNA was detected in the same pool of blueberries imported from Peru in which a *Cyclospora*-like oocyst was identified. Both real-time PCR assays used detected *C. cayetanensis* DNA, with quantitation cycle (*Cq*) of 27.5, 27.5 and 27.7 for the triplicate repeats for Assay 1 and *Cq* for the triplicate repeats of 35.6, 36.0 and 38.1 for Assay 2 (**Figure 28**). In addition, the nested PCR yielded the expected 298 bp amplification product, and sequencing confirmed the presence of *C. cayetanensis* (>99% identity with sequences available in GenBank, e.g., XR_003297358).

Echinococcus **spp.**: *E. multilocularis* DNA was detected in the same pool of RTE salad in which a taeniid egg was identified. For the *E. multilocularis* positive sample, only one of the triplicate repeats provided a positive result with a Cq value of 38.1 (**Figure 28**).



DNA from *E. granulosus* in the same PCR set up gave a negative result.

Figure 28. Multiplex qPCR (Assay 2) amplification chart. Pools tested: from 43 to 60.

Toxoplasma gondii: T. gondii DNA was not detected in any of the samples.

Cryptosporidium spp.: *Cryptosporidium* was detected in 30 pools, 14 of which were berries (six pools of blueberries, six pools of raspberries and two pools of blackberries) and 16 RTE salads (four pools belonging to brand A, six pools belonging to brand B and six pools belonging to brand C). Sequencing confirmed the presence of the following species: *C. ryanae* in 22 pools, *C. bovis* in three pools, *C. xiaoi* in two pools, *C. ubiquitum* in one pool; also, two pools with mixed sequences were identified.

Giardia duodenalis: *G. duodenalis* was detected in 25 pools: 12 pools of berries (four pools of blueberries, four pools of raspberries, in two of which, *Giardia* cyst like objects had been identified, and four pools of blackberries) and 13 pools of RTE salad (five pools belonging to brand A, five pools belonging to brand B and three pools belonging to brand C).

With sequencing and comparisons with sequences already in GenBank, seven pools were assigned to Assemblage A, 17 to Assemblage B and one to Assemblage E.

Entamoeba spp.: Entamoeba DNA was molecularly confirmed in the same pool of blueberries imported from Peru in which the Entamoeba-like cyst was identified. The sample gave clear bands that matched with the estimated PCR product sizes on the agarose gel and the molecular BLAST analysis showed that the sequences matched with *E. histolytica*.

4.3 PREVALENCE DATA

Parasite	N° pools analysed	N° positive pools	Prevalence	95% C.I.
T. gondii	72	0	0.000%	0.00-0.00
C. cayetanensis	72	1	0.155%	0.003-0.862
E. multilocularis	72	1	0.155%	0.003-0.862
Entamoeba spp.	72	6	0.962%	0.351-2.083
G. duodenalis	72	25	4.629%	2.986-6.784
Cryptosporidium spp.	72	30	5.813%	3.909-8.242

The observed prevalence of the inspected parasites is shown in **Table 14**.

Table 14. Observed prevalence of parasites combining microscopy and molecular results.

Cryptosporidium spp. and *G. duodenalis* showed significant seasonal differences (**table 15** and **16**) with higher values in winter and spring, respectively.

	Deviance	Degrees of freedom	p value
Cryptosporidium spp.			
Season*	8.06	3	0.044
Product	0.22	1	0.639
G. duodenalis			
Season*	10.86	3	0.012
Product	0.06	1	0.806

Table 15. Group testing regression results of comparison between season and products

* Winter: December, January and February; Spring: March, April and May; Summer: June, July and August; Autumn: September, October and November.

	Spring*	Summer*	Autumn*	Winter*
Cryptosporidium spp.	6.3%	6.3%	2.0 %	9.9%
	(2.6-12.3)	(2.6-12.3)	(0.4-5.7)	(4.7-17.7)
G. duodenalis	8.6%	2.0 %	2.0 %	7.4%
	(4.0-15.7)	(0.4-5.7)	(0.4-5.7)	(3.2-13.9)

Table 16. Seasonal prevalence observed in fresh salads and berries

 * Winter: December, January and February; Spring: March, April and May; Summer: June, July and August; Autumn: September, October and

 November.

All the results are summarized in Table 17.

	No. of pools	Cyclospora cayetanensis		Echinococcus multilocularis		Entamoeba spp.		Giardia duodenalis		Cryptosporidium spp.	
		Microscopy FLOTAC	Molecular assays	Microscopy FLOTAC	Molecular assays	Microscopy FLOTAC	Molecular assays	Microscopy FLOTAC	Molecular assays	Microscopy FLOTAC	Molecular assays
RTE mixed salads (Brand A)	12	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	0	4
RTE mixed salads (Brand B)	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	6
RTE mixed salads (Brand C)	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	6
RTE subtotal	36	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	13	0	16
Imported blueberries (Peru)	12	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	4	0	6
Imported blackberries (Mexico)	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	2
Local raspberries (Italy)	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	0	6
Berries subtotal	36	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	12	0	14
OVERALL TOTAL (No)	72	1		1		6		25		30	
PREVALENCE (959	6 C.I.)	0.155% (0.	003-0.862)	0.155% (0.	003-0.862)	0.962% (0.3	351-2.083)	4.629% (2	986-6.784)	5.813% (3	909-8.242)

Table 17. Detection of parasites in fresh produce (RTE mixed salads and berries) sold at retail in Italy and investigated by microscopy and molecular assays

5. DISCUSSIONS

The main finding of this study was the occurrence of contamination of fresh produce on the Italian market with pathogenic parasites of considerable public health significance.

In particular, *Cyclospora cayetanensis*, *Echinococcus multilocularis*, *Giardia duodenalis* (Assemblages A, B and E), and several *Cryptosporidium* species, i.e., *C. ryanae*, *C. bovis*, *C. xiaoi*, and *C. ubiquitum* have been detected. The prevalences for these parasite contaminants ranged from 0.15% (*C. cayetanensis* and *E. multilocularis*) to 5.81% (*Cryptosporidium* spp.). Moreover, *Entamoeba histolytica* was detected with a prevalence of 0.96%.

Microscopy and molecular tools identified C. cayetanensis in blueberries imported from an endemic country (Peru). Surveys on contamination of fresh produce with *Cyclospora* are relatively limited; although Cyclospora oocysts have been identified on vegetables in several countries (see Almeria et al., 2019) including Costa Rica (Calvo et al., 2004), Peru (Burnstein Alva, 2005), and Venezuela (Devera et al., 2006), surveys of contamination of berries with C. cayetanensis are even more scanty. Our finding of C. cayetanensis contamination of berries (1.4%) overlaps with data obtained in a similar survey in Korea (non-endemic country) where, among 44 blueberry samples analysed coming from the US, Chile, and locally produced, the one imported from Chile was found to be contaminated by C. cayetanensis DNA (Sim et al., 2017). Other records refer to strawberries; one article from Egypt reports that 25.7% (9/35) of the strawberry juice samples analysed by microscopy were found positive for C. cayetanensis (Mossallam, 2010), and a more recent study of strawberries from retail markets in Colombia, reported that one of 120 (0.83%) samples was contaminated with C. cayetanensis DNA (Ortiz Pineda et al., 2020). Information on contamination of berries on the European market with Cyclospora is, to the best of our knowledge, limited to a study from Norway where samples of raspberries and strawberries, and various vegetables, were analysed for parasite contamination by microscopy following lectin-magnetic separation (Robertson and Gjerde, 2001). Cyclospora oocysts were not detected on any of the samples examined. However, more recent information derived from an ongoing survey of berries on the Norwegian market, using molecular tools for analysis, has indicated that just under 1% were contaminated with Cyclospora DNA. Cyclospora contamination was detected on berries imported from Portugal, Morocco, Belgium, and The Netherlands (Temesgen, 2020).

The detection of *E. multilocularis* DNA on RTE-salads grown and processed in south of Italy was an unexpected finding from this study. Indeed, *E. granulosus* would have been more likely as this parasite is endemic in the Mediterranean countries (Tamarozzi *et al.*, 2020; Cringoli *et al.*, under review). However, the lack of cross-reactivity of the primers used in the PCR (Assay 2) with *E. granulosus* DNA supports that the amplified DNA was indeed derived from *E. multilocularis*. Furthermore, the independent detection of a taeniid egg by microscopy in the same sample further corroborates this finding and argues against in-house contamination as a possible source of false positive results.

Echinococcus multilocularis causes alveolar echinococcosis (AE) in humans as dead-end hosts, with mainly foxes, and potentially wolves and shepherd dogs, as definitive hosts (Massolo *et al.*, 2018). However, domestic dogs or cats can play a role in the synanthropic cycle of *E. multilocularis* (Eckert and Deplazes, 2004). As to Europe, only imported cases were reported from Denmark, United Kingdom, and Sweden in humans (Wahlström *et al.*, 2015) and none (neither autochthonous nor imported) were registered in Italy. Interestingly, although no cases of human infection with *Echinococcus* spp. have been linked to contaminated fresh produce, several cases of AE in zoo primates in Switzerland seem to have been caused by consumption of fresh vegetables contaminated with taeniid eggs (Federer *et al.*, 2016).

Echinococcus multilocularis is widely distributed around the Northern hemisphere, including central and northern Europe. However, recent evidence indicates a likely expansion of *E. multilocularis* southward, even into areas where it was previously absent (EFSA, 2018). *E. multilocularis* has been reported in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in the North Eastern Alps in the Trentino-Alto Adige Region in Italy (Di Cerbo *et al.*, 2008) and, more recently, in shepherd dogs and wolves in the South Western Italian Alps (Massolo *et al.*, 2018). In the last 40 years, red foxes in Italy have spread to south (Faunalia, 2020), suggesting the possibility that they could have brought this parasite with them. Also, given the high number of stray dogs, particularly in Southern Italy (Infodata.ilsole24ore, 2019), their potential role in the dissemination of *E. multilocularis* eggs should also be considered.

The lack of positive results obtained for *T. gondii* in the investigated matrices was unexpected, considering that this parasite has been documented in fresh produce in various European countries, such as Czech Republic (Slany *et al.*, 2019) and Poland (Lass *et al.*, 2012), with contamination rates of 9.6% and 9.7%, respectively. More recently, the presence of this species was detected by conventional PCR and real time *q*PCR in Portugal and Spain, in both RTE salads and berries with very

high proportions of sample being positive (35.3% and 42.9%, respectively) (Marques *et al.*, 2020). In a previous study from Italy, *T. gondii* DNA was detected in 0.8% of 648 RTE salad samples examined by *q*PCR (Caradonna *et al.*, 2017).

The lack of detection of *T. gondii* contamination in the berries and RTE salad samples investigated here could be due to the limited number of pools analysed compared with other European surveys, or, potentially, to the limit of detection of the multiplex *q*PCR assay (Temesgen *et al.*, 2019b), which is estimated to be 10 oocysts per 30 g of berries for *Toxoplasma*. However, the limit of detection of the methods used in the other European surveys in which *Toxoplasma* DNA was detected was either not specified or it was higher (e.g., 100 oocysts; Lass *et al.*, 2012), arguing against this as a reason for the lack of detection in our study. Furthermore, it should be noted that the survey of strawberries from Colombia also used the same assay (Ortiz Pineda *et al.*, 2020), and reported that 5% (6 samples) were positive, indicating that this assay is appropriate for investigating contamination of fresh produce with *Toxoplasma*. Similarly, this assay has been used in an ongoing study of berries on the Norwegian market, and currently available data suggest a contamination rate of around 3%, with contamination detected on berries imported from Chile, Zimbabwe, Morocco as well as on berries coming from European countries i.e., Norway, Poland, Portugal, Belgium, and the Netherlands (Temesgen, 2020).

In our study *Cryptosporidium* spp. was detected with an overall prevalence of 5.81% in line with the contamination of vegetables and fruits with *Cryptosporidium* spp. documented in many countries accounting for 6.0% (reviewed by Li *et al.*, 2020). Four species were identified using molecular tools: *C. ryanae*, *C. bovis*, *C. xiaoi*, and *C. ubiquitum*. *Cryptosporidium bovis* and *C. ryanae* are among the main species infecting cattle, whereas *C. ubiquitum* and *C. xiaoi* are the predominant species in sheep and goats (Zahedi and Ryan, 2020).

In Italy, at least five *Cryptosporidium* species, including *C. bovis, C. ryanae, C. ubiquitum* and *C. hominis*, have been identified in cattle, but *C. parvum* was the most frequently detected species (Grana *et al.* 2006; Duranti *et al.* 2009; Merildi *et al.* 2009; Imre and Dărăbus 2011; Di Piazza *et al.* 2013). *Cryptosporidium parvum* was, in fact, the only species identified in three different studies carried out in bovine farms in central Italy (Grana *et al.*, 2006; Duranti *et al.*, 2009; Mangili *et al.*, 2009). *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* were found in cattle from Tuscany (Central Italy) by Merildi *et al.*, (2009). In a cross-sectional study carried out to determine the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in calves of Palermo area (Sicily) a total of 149 calves' faecal samples were collected and, of the 12 samples from which DNA amplification and genetic sequencing was obtained, seven matched

with *C. bovis*, four matched with *C. ryanae* and, finally, one sample showed 100% homology with *C. ubiquitum* (Di Piazza *et al.*, 2013).

The available epidemiological studies show that the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Italian cattle farms ranges between 5 and 11.4% and increases significantly when only diarrhoeic calves are taken into account (50–62.1%) (reviewed by Diaz *et al.*, 2018).

Sheep can be infected with several *Cryptosporidium* species of which, *C. parvum*, *C. xiaoi* and *C. ubiquitum* are the most common (reviewed by Dessì *et al.*, 2020). In Italy, little is known of the presence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in sheep and the only data available are limited to two surveys. The first survey was carried out in Abruzzo, Central Italy, where 17.45% of the lambs tested were found positive for *Cryptosporidium* and, after the molecular investigation, showed 100% identity with *C. parvum* (Paoletti *et al.*, 2009). A second survey carried out very recently aimed at evaluating the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in sheep farms in Italy (Dessì *et al.*, 2020). A total of 915 faecal samples, from 61 sheep farms, were collected and genotype analyses showed the presence of two *Cryptosporidium* species: *C. parvum* and *C. ubiquitum*.

Despite the lack of data on the spread of *Cryptosporidium* infection in sheep in Italy, lambs have been identified as a source of zoonotic transmission to humans. In fact, Cacciò *et al.* (2013) reported for the first time the transmission of cryptosporidiosis in Italy involving lambs as the source of oocysts infectious to humans.

Among the four species we detected, while *C. ubiquitum* and *C. bovis* have been occasionally reported in humans, only one report in humans is available for *C. xiaoi* (Adamu *et al.*, 2014) and none for *C. ryanae*. Cases of zoonotic cryptosporidiosis due to *C. ubiquitum* (Fayer *et al.*, 2010; Li *et al.*, 2014) have been registered in the UK (Elwin *et al.*, 2012), and in Spain (Cieloszyk *et al.*, 2012), whereas human cases caused by *C. bovis* have been reported in Australia (Ng *et al.*, 2012) and in Egypt (Helmy *et al.*, 2013).

So far, among the *Cryptosporidium* species, *C. parvum*, *C. hominis*, and *C. ubiquitum* are the only ones previously reported from contaminated vegetable and fruit samples (Rzezutka *et al.*, 2010; Duedu *et al.*, 2014; Caradonna *et al.*, 2017; Li *et al.*, 2019) and our survey represents the first detection of *C. ryanae*, *C. bovis* and *C. xiaoi* in fresh produce. Our detection of *C. ubiquitum* in RTE salads is in line with the results of another Italian survey (Caradonna *et al.*, 2017). However, the detection of *C. parvum* would have been more likely as this parasite DNA has already been found in RTE salads in Italy (Caradonna *et al.*, 2017) and Canada (Dixon *et al.*, 2013; Lalonde and Gajadhar,

2016), and is known to be shed in enormous numbers from infected ruminants, particularly calves and lambs.

Given that all the *Cryptosporidium* species detected are mainly found in livestock, it is plausible to assume that the contamination of the fresh produce occurred in the pre-harvest phase when contaminated water could have been used for irrigation or animal waste was used as fertilizer, or infected animals could have directly contaminated these products.

Our finding of *G. duodenalis* in fresh produce with a prevalence of 4.63% is in line with the average prevalence of contamination of vegetables and fruits with *G. duodenalis* reported in literature from many countries and estimated to be 4.8% (reviewed by Li *et al.*, 2020). The presence of *Giardia* in the fresh produce samples investigated here is indicative of faecal contamination of human and/or animal origin. In the present study, genotypes with zoonotic potential i.e., A, B and, to a lesser extent, E were detected. In congruence with our results, zoonotic Assemblages A and B are the ones most commonly reported in literature in contaminated vegetable and fruit samples (Colli *et al.*, 2015; Tiyo *et al.*, 2016; Caradonna *et al.*, 2017; Rafael *et al.*, 2017). Although *Giardia* of Assemblage B, has occasionally been reported in cattle, sheep, horses, dogs, cats, and rabbits, Assemblage A is more frequently found in livestock and companion animals, and both are found in wild animals (reviewed by Feng and Xiao, 2011). Because these Assemblages are found in humans and numerous species of mammals, they are both considered to have a broad host specificity and can be transmitted zoonotically (Cacciò and Ryan, 2008; Xiao and Fayer, 2008).

The *G. duodenalis* genotype identified most frequently in this study was Assemblage B followed by Assemblage A and Assemblage E. Assemblage B is much more commonly reported in humans than livestock, suggesting a possible human source of contamination, whereas Assemblage A, more commonly found in livestock, could suggest faecal contamination of animal origin.

One RTE salad sample from the present study, positive for *G. duodenalis*, matched with Assemblage E. Although Assemblage E is mainly found in cattle, bovines, goats, sheep and swine, recent studies have reported the presence of this genotype in human stools (Fantinatti *et al.*, 2016; Abdel-moein *et al.*, 2016) indicating its zoonotic potential. However, given the strong association between Assemblage E and livestock, we might speculate that such contamination was caused by the use of contaminated manure to fertilize the crops or by the use of contaminated irrigation water; also, the passage of livestock on crop may have led to contamination of soil and water, taking also into account that vegetable crops are often cultivated open-air.

The detection of an additional protozoan species i.e., *Entamoeba histolytica* cannot be considered as completely unexpected, since this pathogen has been frequently found in raw vegetables and fruits worldwide (reviewed by Li *et al.*, 2020) and the infection is significantly associated with the consumption of contaminated fresh produce (Anuar *et al.*, 2012; Gabre *et al.*, 2016; Sitotaw *et al.*, 2019; Azim *et al.*, 2018). *Entamoeba histolytica* is a protozoan parasite of humans responsible for human amebiasis associated with a variety of symptoms: from no symptoms to severe fulminating intestinal and/or life-threatening extraintestinal disease worldwide (especially in developing countries). *Entamoeba histolytica* is considered an important cause of prolonged traveller's diarrhoea (Cui *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2020). The detection of *Entamoeba*-like cysts by microscopy in a blueberries sample from Peru corroborates this molecular finding and, once again, indicates the contamination of fresh produce by multiple parasites of faecal origin and the risk for consumers linked to the consumption of fresh produce imported from third countries.

A significant statistical association between the detection of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* in fresh produce and the season emerged. Significant seasonal differences with higher values of detection in winter and spring were detected. However, seasonality calculated in the present study did not take into account the origin of the different fresh produce and related climate differences between the different countries from where some of the contamination originated; therefore, this aspect shall be further investigated, and no firm conclusions can be drawn.

Isolation and detection of parasites in fresh produce is very challenging (Lass *et al.*, 2012; Dixon *et al.*, 2013; Giangaspero *et al.*, 2015a; Caradonna *et al.*, 2017), particularly for detection of multiple species. The combination of microscopy and molecular assays employed in this study allowed us to provide more robust evidence on the presence of pathogenic parasites in both RTE salads and berries sold on the Italian market. In this study we have used the FLOTAC technique, which is a microscopic tool mainly employed for quali-quantitative copromicroscopic diagnosis of parasites in animals and humans (Cringoli *et al.*, 2010), but, more recently, successfully tested for the detection of gastrointestinal parasites in smooth lettuces (do Nascimento Ramos *et al.*, 2019).

Although, in the present study, the molecular assays confirmed most of the microscopy results, the efficiency of the FLOTAC technique for the detection and identification of parasites in fresh produce requires specific and more in-depth investigations using both naturally and experimentally contaminated samples.

Regarding molecular tools, the DNA extraction kit employed in this study, DNeasy PowerSoil Kit, currently represents the method of choice for extracting DNA of coccidian oocysts from berry matrices and subsequent detection by *q*PCR (Temesgen *et al.*, 2020).

In the literature, several PCR protocols have been described for the isolation and detection of different parasites from fresh produce; however, none of them is considered the "gold standard" method, due to differences in the properties of the various food matrices and parasites of concern. In this study, for each pathogen we used the molecular protocols that seemed to be the most efficient presently and according to our experience.

As to *Cyclospora*, among the two *q*PCR assays employed for its detection in our fresh produce, while for Assay 1 there is a potential for cross-reactivity between *C. cayetanensis* and other coccidia (i. e., *T. gondii, Eimeria mitis, Cystoisospora canis,* and *C. parvum*), Assay 2 is more specific (Temesgen *et al.*, 2019b). However, the two techniques have provided consistent results and therefore we have no reason to believe that cross-reactivity between *C. cayetanensis* and related coccidia occurred during Assay 1.

All the parasites considered in the present study survive refrigeration temperatures, which are the ones employed for transporting and storing fresh produce, and chlorine concentrations used in the water for RTE salads disinfection (80-100mg/L) in the food industry (Sathyanarayanan and Ortega, 2006; Hohweyer *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, although viability and infectivity could not be determined, it should be assumed that the detection of pathogenic parasites in fresh produce represents a risk to public health (Dixon *et al.*, 2013).

As far as we are aware, none of the lots of the fresh produce that were found to be contaminated in the present study were associated with reported illnesses or outbreaks of disease. However, it is possible that such infections might have gone undetected, as the protozoan parasites we have detected are not routinely investigated in people with gastrointestinal disorders in most European countries, including Italy (Giangaspero *et al.*, 2015a). As to *E. multilocularis*, determining whether the RTE salad pool contaminated with it resulted in human infection is similarly impossible; the incubation period for AE varies approximately between 5 and 15 years (Eckert and Deplazes, 2004).

The global trade of food is partially responsible for the spread of infectious diseases across wide geographical scales, compromising food safety and human health (Robertson *et al.*, 2014). In this study, berries imported from Peru and Mexico that were contaminated with pathogenic parasites represent a matter of concern. The Regulation (EU) 2017/625 of the European Parliament and of

the Council on official controls and other official activities performed to ensure the application of food and feed law, rules on animal health and welfare, plant health and plant protection products states that: "...food and feed imported into the Union comply with the relevant requirements of the Union's food law or with requirements considered to be at least equivalent thereto". However, no routine or regular monitoring of berries is set by the current European Union legal framework, which does not include any microbiological criteria applicable for fresh, uncut, and unprocessed fruits. However, the Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs applies to RTE packaged salads, although they are only routinely tested for *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria monocytogenes*.

This gap in the European legislation is complicated by the fact that berries are difficult to wash prior to consumption without affecting their quality; moreover, parasites resist the normal disinfection strategies (mostly chlorination) used in the fresh produce processing industry (Tefera *et al.*, 2018; Gérard *et al.*, 2019).

In this study, we were unable to assess:

i) at which point "from farm to fork" (pre-harvest, harvest or post-harvest phase) the contamination occurred;

ii) which of the different vegetables included in the RTE mixed salad packages analysed were contaminated or whether only one type of vegetable or more than one type within the package was contaminated;

iii) the number of oocysts or eggs in the positive samples. It should be kept in mind that, given the structure of our survey, such assessment would have only been possible for those parasites tested with qPCR, *C. cayetanenis* and *E. multilocularis*, whereas for the parasites tested with conventional PCR, *Cryptosporidium* spp., *G. duodenalis*, *Entamoeba* spp., such assessment would have not been possible as this technique provides only presence/absence results.

iv) whether the parasites detected were viable; although viability assays are available for some of these parasites (e.g., Rousseau *et al*, 2018) such assessments are generally unsuitable for low numbers of parasite transmission stages and it would have been difficult, if not impossible, to conduct such analyses;

iv) whether any of the contaminated batches included in our study were associated with reported illness or outbreaks of disease.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The results of this survey demonstrate that the contamination of fresh produce by pathogenic parasites is a cause for concern in Europe and, in particular, in Italy.

The potential for transmission of pathogenic parasites from contaminated RTE salads and berries sold on the Italian market and the detection of DNA from these parasites on fresh produce indicates that faecal contamination occurred at some point along the agri-food production chain. Therefore, appropriate management of the pre- and post-harvest phases should remain an essential concern in order to reduce the likelihood of contamination of fresh produce and, thus, infection of consumers. Such management may include treating and monitoring the quality of water before irrigation, minimizing animal access to crops, reducing the use of manure as fertilizer, promoting personal hygiene and food safety education for food handlers as well as consumers, implementing appropriate hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) plans to reduce the risk of contamination.

It is well known that the establishment of risk-based criteria for controlling parasites in fresh produce requires very complex procedures. In the meantime, it is crucial to accrue data, not only on the occurrence of parasites on fresh produce (and, it should be noted, that recently developed, standardized analytical methods, like those used in the present study, may help in obtaining unambiguous and comparable results), but also on the spread and impact of foodborne pathogenic parasites in Europe by broadening the algorithm for implementing clinical diagnostic tests in suspected patients.

Large scale surveys and the detection of pathogenic parasites represent the starting point for setting up a risk assessment procedure as the first step for the amendment of the current legislation. In fact, our results further highlight the need to integrate the microbiological criteria required by EU Law; in fact, monitoring only certain bacteria in vegetables, does not rule out faecal contamination by pathogenic parasites and does not guarantee food safety for consumers.

In order to prevent parasitic contamination of fresh produce, it is essential that the importance of applying the One Health approach is emphasised. This translates into cooperation among different professions (agronomists, veterinarians, public health authorities, politicians, food business operators) to establish a comprehensive and integrated prevention process that leads to the development of strategies and methods for minimizing contamination along the food-chain.

7. **REFERENCES**

1. Abdel-Moein, K. A., & Saeed, H. (2016). The zoonotic potential of *Giardia intestinalis* assemblage E in rural settings. *Parasitology Research*, *115*(8), 3197-3202.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-016-5081-7

2. Åberg, R., Sjöman, M., Hemminki, K., Pirnes, A., Räsänen, S., & Kalanti, A. et al. (2015). *Cryptosporidium parvum* caused a large outbreak linked to frisée salad in Finland, 2012. *Zoonoses and Public Health*, *62*(8), 618-624. https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12190

Adam, R. D. (2001). Biology of *Giardia lamblia*. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *14*(3), 447-475. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.14.3.447-475.2001

4. Adam, E. A., Yoder, J. S., Gould, L. H., Hlavsa, M. C., & Gargano, J. W. (2016). Giardiasis outbreaks in the United States, 1971-2011. *Epidemiology and Infection*, *144*(13), 2790-2801. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0950268815003040

5. Adamu, H., Petros, B., Zhang, G., Kassa, H., Amer, S., Ye, J., Feng, Y., & Xiao, L. (2014). Distribution and clinical manifestations of *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes in HIV/AIDS patients in Ethiopia. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *8*(4), e2831.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002831

6. Ahmed, S., & Karanis, P. (2018). An overview of methods/techniques for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in food samples. *Parasitology Research*, *117*(3), 629-653. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-017-5735-0

Ajzenberg, D., Bañuls, A., Su, C., Dumètre, A., Demar, M., Carme, B., & Dardé, M. (2004).
 Genetic diversity, clonality and sexuality in *Toxoplasma gondii*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *34*(10), 1185-1196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2004.06.007

8. Al-Megrin, W. (2010). Prevalence of intestinal parasites in leafy vegetables in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Zoological Research*, *6*(3), 190-195.

https://doi.org/10.3923/ijzr.2010.190.195

Allain, T., & Buret, A.G. (2020). Pathogenesis and post-infectious complications in giardiasis. In M. Ortega-Pierres, (Ed.), *Advances in parasitology:* Giardia *and giardiasis Part B* (pp. 173-199). Academic Press, London.

10. Almeria, S., Cinar, H. N., & Dubey, J. P. (2019). *Cyclospora cayetanensis* and cyclosporiasis: an update. Microorganisms, 7(9), 317. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7090317

11. Alvarez Rojas, C. A., Mathis, A., & Deplazes, P. (2018). Assessing the contamination of food and the environment with *Taenia* and *Echinococcus* eggs and their zoonotic transmission. *Current Clinical Microbiology Reports*, *5*(2), 154-163. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40588-018-0091-0

12. Ammann, R., & Eckert, J. (1996). Cestodes. *Gastroenterology Clinics of North America*, *25*(3), 655-689. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0889-8553(05)70268-5

13. Amorós, I., Alonso, J. L., & Cuesta, G. (2010). *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and *Giardia* cysts on salad products irrigated with contaminated water. *Journal of Food Protection*, *73*(6), 1138-1140. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-73.6.1138

Angarano, G., Maggi, P., Di Bari, M. A., Larocca, A. M., Congedo, P., De Bari, C.,
Brandonisio, O., & Chiodo, F. (1997). *European Journal of Epidemiology*, *13*(4), 485-487.
https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1007375421908

15. Anh, V. T., Tram, N. T., Klank, L. T., Cam, P. D., & Dalsgaard, A. (2007). Faecal and protozoan parasite contamination of water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) cultivated in urban wastewater in Phnom Penh, Cambodia. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, *12*, 73-

81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2007.01944.x

16. Ankarklev, J., Jerlström-Hultqvist, J., Ringqvist, E., Troell, K., & Svärd, S. G. (2010). Behind the smile: cell biology and disease mechanisms of *Giardia* species. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *8*(6), 413-422. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2317

17. Anuar, T. S., Al-Mekhlafi, H. M., Abdul Ghani, M. K., Abu Bakar, E., Azreen, S. N., Salleh, F. M., Ghazali, N., Bernadus, M., & Moktar, N. (2012). Molecular epidemiology of amoebiasis in Malaysia: highlighting the different risk factors of *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Entamoeba dispar* infections among Orang Asli communities. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *42*(13-14), 1165-1175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2012.10.003

18. Ashford, R. (1979). Occurrence of an undescribed coccidian in man in Papua New Guinea. *Annals of Tropical Medicine & Parasitology*, *73*(5), 497-500.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00034983.1979.11687291

19. Azim, A., Ahmed, S., Paul, S., Nasreen, S., Sarkar, S., Ahmed, M., et al. (2018). Prevalence of intestinal parasites in raw vegetables consumed by inhabitants of Mymensingh City. *Mymensingh Medical Journal*, *27*, 440-444.

20. Baldi, L., & Casati, D. (2011). *Un distretto della IV gamma? Il comparto che "vende tempo libero" | Agriregionieuropa*. Agriregionieuropa.univpm.it. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from

https://agriregionieuropa.univpm.it/it/content/article/31/16/un-distretto-della-iv-gamma-ilcomparto-che-vende-tempo-libero.

21. Bednarska, M., Bajer, A., Welc-Falęciak, R., & Pawełas, A. (2015). *Cyclospora cayetanensis* infection in transplant traveller: a case report of outbreak. *Parasites & Vectors, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-1026-8

Behera, B., Mirdha, B., Makharia, G., Bhatnagar, S., Dattagupta, S., & Samantaray, J. (2007).
Parasites in patients with malabsorption syndrome: a clinical study in children and adults. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, *53*(3), 672-679. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-007-9927-9

23. Berdoy, M., Webster, J., & Macdonald, D. (2000). Fatal attraction in rats infected with *Toxoplasma gondii*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 267(1452), 1591-1594.

24. Bern, C., Hernandez, B., Lopez, M., Arrowood, M., Alvarez de Mejia, M., Maria de Merida,
A., Hightower, W., Venczel, L., Herwaldt B., and Klein R. (1999). Epidemiologic studies of *Cyclospora cayetanensis* in Guatemala. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 5(6), 766-774.
https://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid0506.990604.

25. Bessonov, A. (1998). *Echinococcus multilocularis* infection in Russia and neighboring countries. *Helminthologia*, *35*, 73-78

26. Bossi, P., & Bricaire, F., 2004. Severe acute disseminated toxoplasmosis. *Lancet*, *364*, 579.

27. Bouwknegt, M., Devleesschauwer, B., Graham, H., Robertson, L., & van der Giessen, J.

(2018). Prioritisation of food-borne parasites in Europe, 2016. Eurosurveillance, 23(9).

https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es.2018.23.9.17-00161

28. Bouzid, M., Hunter, P., Chalmers, R., & Tyler, K. (2013). *Cryptosporidium* pathogenicity and virulence. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *26*(1), 115-134. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00076-12

29. Brandonisio, O., Maggi, P., Panaro, M. A., Lisi, S., Andriola, A., Acquafredda, A., & Angarano, G. (1999). Intestinal protozoa in HIV-infected patients in Apulia, south

Italy. Epidemiology and Infection, 123(3), 457-461. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0950268899003015

30. Bresson-Hadni, S., Delabrousse, E., Blagosklonov, O., Bartholomot, B., Koch, S., Miguet, J., André Mantion, G., & Angèle Vuitton, D. (2006). Imaging aspects and non-surgical interventional treatment in human alveolar echinococcosis. *Parasitology International*, *55*, S267-S272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2005.11.053 **31.** Broglia, A., & Kapel, C. (2011). Changing dietary habits in a changing world: emerging drivers for the transmission of foodborne parasitic zoonoses. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *182*(1), 2-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.07.011

32. Burnstein Alva, S. (2005). Ciclosporosis: una parasitosis emergente (I). Aspectos clinicos y epidemiologicos. *Revista de Gastroenterología del Peru*, *25*, 328-35.

33. Cacciò, S. M., & Ryan, U. (2008). Molecular epidemiology of giardiasis. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, *160*(2), 75-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2008.04.006

34. Cacciò, S. M., Sannella, A. R., Mariano, V., Valentini, S., Berti, F., Tosini, F., & Pozio, E. (2013). A rare *Cryptosporidium parvum* genotype associated with infection of lambs and zoonotic transmission in Italy. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *191*(1-2), 128-131.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.08.010

35. Cacciò, S. M., Lalle, M., & Svärd, S. G. (2018). Host specificity in the *Giardia duodenalis* species complex. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 66*, 335-345.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2017.12.001

36. Callejón, R., Rodríguez-Naranjo, M., Ubeda, C., Hornedo-Ortega, R., Garcia-Parrilla, M., & Troncoso, A. (2015). Reported foodborne outbreaks due to fresh produce in the United States and European Union: trends and causes. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, *12*(1), 32-38. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2014.1821

37. Calvo, M., Carazo, M., Arias, M.L., Chaves, C., Monge, R., Chinchilla, M. (2004). Prevalence of *Cyclospora spp., Cryptosporidium spp,* microsporidia and fecal coliform determination in fresh fruit and vegetables consumed in Costa Rica. *Archivos Latinoamericanos de Nutrición, 54*, 428-432.

38. Caradonna, T., Marangi, M., Del Chierico, F., Ferrari, N., Reddel, S., & Bracaglia, G. et al. (2017). Detection and prevalence of protozoan parasites in ready-to-eat packaged salads on sale in Italy. *Food Microbiology*, *67*, 67-75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.06.006

39. Carme, B., Bissuel, F., Ajzenberg, D., Bouyne, R., Aznar, C., Demar, M., Bichat, S., Louvel, D., Bourbigot, A. M., Peneau, C., Neron, P., & Darde, M. L. (2002). Severe acquired toxoplasmosis in immunocompetent adult patients in French Guiana. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *40*(11), 4037-4044. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.40.11.4037-4044.2002

40. Carme, B., Demar, M., Ajzenberg, D., & Dardé, M. L. (2009). Severe acquired toxoplasmosis caused by wild cycle of *Toxoplasma gondii*, French Guiana. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *15*(4), 656-658. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1504.081306

41. Carstens, C., Salazar, J., & Darkoh, C. (2019). Multistate outbreaks of foodborne illness in the United States associated with fresh produce from 2010 to 2017. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02667

42. Carter, B. L., Chalmers, R. M., & Davies, A. P. (2020). Health sequelae of human cryptosporidiosis in industrialised countries: a systematic review. *Parasites & Vectors*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04308-7

43. Casemore, D. (1995). Proceedings of workshop on treatment optimization for *Cryptosporidium* removal from water supplies.

44. Castro-Ibáñez, I., Gil, M., & Allende, A. (2017). Ready-to-eat vegetables: current problems and potential solutions to reduce microbial risk in the production chain. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, *85*, 284-292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.11.073

45. Cavaiuolo, M., Cocetta, G., Bulgari, R., Spinardi, A., & Ferrante, A. (2015). Identification of innovative potential quality markers in rocket and melon fresh-cut produce. *Food Chemistry*, *188*, 225-233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.04.143

46. Cazorla, D., Acosta, M., Zárraga, A., & Morales, P. (2006). Estudio clínico-epidemiológico de enterobiasis en preescolares y escolares de Taratara, Estado Falcón, Venezuela. *Parasitología Latinoamericana*, *61*(1-2). https://doi.org/10.4067/s0717-77122006000100007

47. CBI. (2018). *Exporting fresh berries to Europe*. Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://www.cbi.eu/market-information/fresh-fruit-vegetables/fresh-berries/europe.

48. CDC. (2018). *CDC - Cyclosporiasis - Biology*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/cyclosporiasis/biology.html.

49. CDC. (2019). U.S. Foodborne Outbreaks of Cyclosporiasis—2000–2017 | Cyclosporiasis | CDC. Cdc.gov. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from

https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/cyclosporiasis/outbreaks/foodborneoutbreaks.html.

50. CDC. (2020). *CDC - Cyclosporiasis*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/cyclosporiasis/index.html.

51. Certad, G., Viscogliosi, E., Chabé, M., & Cacciò, S. (2017). Pathogenic mechanisms of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*. *Trends in Parasitology*, *33*(7), 561-576.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2017.02.006

52. Chacín-Bonilla, L. (2010). Epidemiology of *Cyclospora cayetanensis*: a review focusing in endemic areas. *Acta Tropica*, *115*(3), 181-193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2010.04.001

53. Chalmers, R., & Katzer, F. (2013). Looking for *Cryptosporidium*: the application of advances in detection and diagnosis. *Trends in Parasitology*, *29*(5), 237-251.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2013.03.001

54. Chandra, V., Torres, M., & Ortega, Y. (2014). Efficacy of wash solutions in recovering *Cyclospora cayetanensis, Cryptosporidium parvum*, and *Toxoplasma gondii* from basil. *Journal of Food Protection*, *77*(8), 1348-1354. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-13-381

55. Chaudhury, A., & Ramana, B. (2019). Schizophrenia and bipolar disorders: the *Toxoplasma* connection. *Tropical Parasitology*, *9*(2), 71. https://doi.org/10.4103/tp.tp_28_19

56. Chebli, H., Laamrani El Idrissi, A., Benazzouz, M., Lmimouni, B. E., Nhammi, H.,
Elabandouni, M., Youbi, M., Afifi, R., Tahiri, S., Essayd El Feydi, A., Settaf, A., Tinelli, C., De
Silvestri, A., Bouhout, S., Abela-Ridder, B., Magnino, S., Brunetti, E., Filice, C., & Tamarozzi, F.
(2017). Human cystic echinococcosis in Morocco: ultrasound screening in the mid atlas through an
Italian-moroccan partnership. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *11*(3),
e0005384. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005384.

57. Cieloszyk, J., Goñi, P., García, A., Remacha, M. A., Sánchez, E., & Clavel, A. (2012). Two cases of zoonotic cryptosporidiosis in Spain by the unusual species *Cryptosporidium ubiquitum* and *Cryptosporidium felis*. *Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica*, *30*(9), 549-551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2012.04.011

58. Codex Alimentarius. (2000). *Pesticide Database | CODEXALIMENTARIUS FAO-WHO*.
Fao.org. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/codex-texts/dbs/pestres/en/.

59. Colelli, G., & Elia, A. (2009). Physiological and technological aspects of fresh-cut horticultural products. *Italus Hortus*, *16*, 55-78.

60. Colli, C. M., Bezagio, R. C., Nishi, L., Bignotto, T. S., Ferreira, É. C., Falavigna-Guilherme, A. L., & Gomes, M. L. (2015). Identical assemblage of Giardia duodenalis in humans, animals and vegetables in an urban area in southern Brazil indicates a relationship among them. *PLOS ONE*, *10*(3), e0118065. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118065

61. Connor, B., Reidy, J., & Soave, R. (1999). Cyclosporiasis: clinical and histopathologic correlates. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *28*(6), 1216-1222. https://doi.org/10.1086/514780

62. Connor, B., Johnson, E., & Soave, R. (2001). Reiter Syndrome following protracted symptoms of *Cyclospora* infection. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *7*(3), 453-454. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0703.017317 **63.** Conraths, F. J., & Deplazes, P. (2015). *Echinococcus multilocularis*: epidemiology, surveillance and state-of-the-art diagnostics from a veterinary public health perspective. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *213*(3-4), 149-161.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.07.027

64. Cook, N., Nichols, R. A., Wilkinson, N., Paton, C. A., Barker, K., & Smith, H. V. (2007). Development of a method for detection of *Giardia duodenalis* cysts on lettuce and for simultaneous analysis of salad products for the presence of *Giardia* cysts and *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *73*(22), 7388-7391. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00552-07

65. Costa, A., Thomaz-Soccol, V., Paulino, R., & Alcântara de Castro, E. (2009). Effect of vinegar on the viability of *Giardia duodenalis* cysts. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *128*(3), 510-512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.10.001

66. Cowling, D., Gardner, I., & Johnson, W. (1999). Comparison of methods for estimation of individual-level prevalence based on pooled samples. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, *39*(3), 211-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-5877(98)00131-7

67. Cringoli, G., Rinaldi, L., Maurelli, M., & Utzinger, J. (2010). FLOTAC: new multivalent techniques for qualitative and quantitative copromicroscopic diagnosis of parasites in animals and humans. *Nature Protocols*, *5*(3), 503-515. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.235

68. Cringoli, G., Pepe, P., Bosco, A., Maurelli, M., Baldi, L., Ciaramella, P., Musella, V., Buonanno, M., Capuano, F., Corrado, F., Ianniello, D., Alves, L., Sarnelli, P., & Rinaldi L. An integrated approach to control Cystic Echinococcosis in southern Italy. *Veterinary Parasitology*. Under review.

69. Cui, Z., Li, J., Chen, Y., & Zhang, L. (2019). Molecular epidemiology, evolution, and phylogeny of *Entamoeba* spp. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 75*, 104018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2019.104018

70. Da Silva Felício, M., Hald, T., Liebana, E., Allende, A., Hugas, M., Nguyen-The, C., Johannessen, G. S., Niskanen, T., Uyttendaele, M., & McLauchlin, J. (2015). Risk ranking of pathogens in ready-to-eat unprocessed foods of non-animal origin (FoNAO) in the EU: Initial evaluation using outbreak data (2007–2011). *International Journal of Food Microbiology, 195*, 9-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.11.005

71. Dawson, D., Samuel, C., Scrannage, V., & Atherton, C. (2004). Survival of *Cryptosporidium* species in environments relevant to foods and beverages. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *96*(6), 1222-1229. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02281.x

72. Dawson, D. (2005). Foodborne protozoan parasites. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *103*(2), 207-227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.12.032

73. de la Luz Galván-Ramírez, M., Madriz-Elisondo, A., Ramírez, C., de Jesús Romero Rameño, J., de la O Carrasco, D., & López, M. (2019). Enteroparasitism and risk factors associated with clinical manifestations in children and adults of jalisco state in Western Mexico. *Osong Public Health and Research Perspectives*, *10*(1), 39-48. https://doi.org/10.24171/j.phrp.2019.10.1.08

74. Deer, D., Lampel, K., & González-Escalona, N. (2010). A versatile internal control for use as DNA in real-time PCR and as RNA in real-time reverse transcription PCR assays. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, *50*(4), 366-372. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765x.2010.02804.x

75. Deng, M., & Cliver, D. (1999). *Cryptosporidium parvum* studies with dairy products. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *46*(2), 113-121.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1605(98)00187-1

76. Deng, M., & Cliver, D. (2001). Inactivation of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in cider by flash pasteurization. *Journal of Food Protection*, *64*(4), 523-527. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-64.4.523

77. Deplazes, P., Hegglin, D., Gloor, S., & Romig, T. (2004). Wilderness in the city: the urbanization of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Trends in Parasitology*, *20*(2), 77-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2003.11.011

78. Deplazes, P., Rinaldi, L., Alvarez Rojas, C., Torgerson, P., Harandi, M., & Romig, T. et al. (2017). Global distribution of alveolar and cystic echinococcosis. *Echinococcus and Echinococcosis, Part A*, 315-493. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.11.001

79. Dessì, G., Tamponi, C., Varcasia, A., Sanna, G., Pipia, A., & Carta, S. et al. (2020). *Cryptosporidium* infections in sheep farms from Italy. *Parasitology Research*, *119*(12), 4211-4218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-020-06947-2

80. Devera, R., Blanco, Y., & Cabello, E. (2005). Elevada prevalencia de *Cyclospora cayetanensis* en indígenas del estado Bolívar, Venezuela. *Cadernos De Saúde Pública*, *21*(6), 1778-1784. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0102-311x2005000600025 **81.** Devleesschauwer, B., Allepuz, A., Dermauw, V., Johansen, M. V., Laranjo-González, M., Smit, G. S., Sotiraki, S., Trevisan, C., Wardrop, N. A., Dorny, P., & Gabriël, S. (2017). *Taenia solium* in Europe: still endemic? *Acta Tropica*, *165*, 96-99.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.08.006

82. Dhanabal, J., Selvadoss, P., & Muthuswamy, K. (2014). Comparative study of the prevalence of intestinal parasites in low socioeconomic areas from South Chennai, India. *Journal of Parasitology Research*, *2014*, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/630968

83. Di Benedetto, M., Cannova, L., Di Piazza, F., Amodio, E., Bono, F., Cerame, G., & Romano, N. (2007). Hygienic-sanitary quality of ready-to-eat salad vegetables on sale in the city of Palermo (Sicily). *Igiene e Sanità Pubblica*, *63*(6), 659-670.

84. Di Cerbo, A., Manfredi, M., Trevisiol, K., Bregoli, M., Ferrari, N., Pirinesi, F., & Bazzoli, S. (2008). Intestinal helminth communities of the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes* L.) in the Italian Alps. *Acta Parasitologica*, *53*(3). https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-008-0042-7

85. Di Piazza, F., Di Benedetto, M., Maida, C., Glorioso, S., Adamo, G., Mazzola, T., & Firenze, A. (2013). A study on occupational exposure of Sicilian farmers to *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium*. *Journal of preventive medicine and hygiene*, *54*(4), 212–217.

86. Díaz, P., Varcasia, A., Pipia, A., Tamponi, C., Sanna, G., & Prieto, A. et al. (2018). Molecular characterisation and risk factor analysis of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in calves from Italy. *Parasitology Research*, *117*(10), 3081-3090. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-018-6000-x

87. Dixon, B., Fayer, R., Santin, M., Hill, D., Dubey, J., 2011. Protozoan parasites:

Cryptosporidium, Giardia, Cyclospora and Toxoplasma. In J. Hoorfar (ed.), Rapid detection,

characterization and enumeration of foodborne pathogens. ASM Press, Washington.

88. Dixon, B., Parrington, L., Cook, A., Pollari, F., & Farber, J. (2013). Detection of *Cyclospora*, *Cryptosporidium*, and *Giardia* in ready-to-eat packaged leafy greens in Ontario, Canada. *Journal of Food Protection*, *76*(2), 307-313. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-12-282

89. Dixon, B. (2015). Transmission dynamics of foodborne parasites on fresh produce. In: A. Gajadhar (Ed.), *Foodborne Parasites in the Food Supply Web* (pp. 317-353). Woodhead Publishing, Oxford.

90. Dixon, B. (2016). Parasitic illnesses associated with the consumption of fresh produce — an emerging issue in developed countries. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, *8*, 104-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2016.04.009.

91. Dixon, B. (2020). *Giardia duodenalis* in humans and animals – transmission and disease. *Research in Veterinary Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.09.034

92. do Nascimento Ramos, I., Ramos, R., Giannelli, A., Lima, V., Cringoli, G., & Rinaldi, L. et al. (2019). An additional asset for the FLOTAC technique: detection of gastrointestinal parasites in vegetables. *Acta Parasitologica*, *64*(2), 423-425. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-019-00059-3

93. Döller, P., Dietrich, K., Filipp, N., Brockmann, S., Dreweck, C., & Vonthein, R. et al. (2002). Cyclosporiasis outbreak in Germany associated with the consumption of salad. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *8*(9), 992-994. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0809.010517

94. Dubey, J., & Frenkel, J. (1976). Feline toxoplasmosis from acutely infected mice and the development of *Toxoplasma* cysts. *The Journal of Protozoology*, *23*, 537-546.

95. Dubey, J. P., Camargo, M. E., Ruff, M. D., Wilkins, G. C., Shen, S. K., Kwok, O. C., & Thulliez, P. (1993). Experimental toxoplasmosis in turkeys. *The Journal of Parasitology*, *79*(6),949. https://doi.org/10.2307/3283736

96. Dubey, J., Jenkins, M., & Thayer, D. (1996). Irradiation Killing of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts. *The Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, *43*(5), 123S-123S. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.1996.tb05039.x

97. Dubey, J. (1998a). Advances in the life cycle of *Toxoplasma gondii*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *28*(7), 1019-1024. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7519(98)00023-x

98. Dubey, J. P. (1998b). *Toxoplasma gondii* oocyst survival under defined temperatures. *The Journal of Parasitology*, *84*(4), 862. https://doi.org/10.2307/3284606

99. Dubey, J., Thayer, D., Speer, C., & Shen, S. (1998). Effect of gamma irradiation on unsporulated and sporulated *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *28*(3), 369-375. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7519(97)83432-7

100. Dubey, J., & Jones, J. (2008). *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in humans and animals in the United States. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *38*(11), 1257-1278.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2008.03.007

101. Duedu, K., Yarnie, E., Tetteh-Quarcoo, P., Attah, S., Donkor, E., & Ayeh-Kumi, P. (2014). A comparative survey of the prevalence of human parasites found in fresh vegetables sold in supermarkets and open-aired markets in Accra, Ghana. *BMC Research Notes*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-7-836

102. Duhain, G., Minnaar, A., & Buys, E. (2012). Effect of chlorine, blanching, freezing, and microwave heating on *Cryptosporidium parvum* viability inoculated on green peppers. *Journal of Food Protection*, *75*(5), 936-941. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-11-367

103. Dumètre, A., Le Bras, C., Baffet, M., Meneceur, P., Dubey, J., & Derouin, F. et al. (2008).
Effects of ozone and ultraviolet radiation treatments on the infectivity of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *153*(3-4), 209-213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.02.004
104. Duranti, A., Cacciò, S., Pozio, E., Di Egidio, A., De Curtis, M., Battisti, A., & Scaramozzino, P. (2009). Risk factors associated with *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in cattle. *Zoonoses And Public Health*, *56*(4), 176-182. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1863-2378.2008.01173.x

105. Eberhard, M., Nace, E., & Freeman, A. (1999). Survey for *Cyclospora cayetanensis* in domestic animals in an endemic area in Haiti. *The Journal of Parasitology*, *85*(3), 562. https://doi.org/10.2307/3285797

106. ECDC. (2017). *Giardiasis (lambliasis). Annual Epidemiological Report for 2017*. Ecdc.europa.eu. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from

https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/documents/AER_for_2017-giardiasislambliasis.pdf.

107. Eckert, J., Gottstein, B., Heath, D., & Liu, F. (2001). Prevention of echinococcosis in humans and safety precautions. In J. Eckert, M. Gemmell, F. Meslin & Z. Pawlowski (Eds.), *WHO/OIE manual on echinococcosis in humans and animals: a public health problem of global concern* (pp. 238-247). World Organisation for Animal Health, Paris.

108. Eckert, J., & Deplazes, P. (2004). Biological, epidemiological, and clinical aspects of echinococcosis, a zoonosis of increasing concern. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *17*(1), 107-135. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.17.1.107-135.2004

109. Eckert, J., & Thompson, R. (2017). Historical Aspects of Echinococcosis. *Echinococcus and Echinococcosis, Part A*, 1-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.07.003

Efstratiou, A., Ongerth, J. E., & Karanis, P. (2017). Waterborne transmission of protozoan parasites: review of worldwide outbreaks - an update 2011–2016. *Water Research*, *114*, 14-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.036

111. Ekman, C. C., Chiossi, M. F., Meireles, L. R., Andrade Júnior, H. F., Figueiredo, W. M., Marciano, M. A., & Luna, E. J. (2012). Case-control study of an outbreak of acute toxoplasmosis in an industrial plant in the state of Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo*, *54*(5), 239-244. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0036-46652012000500001

112. El Said Said, D. (2012). Detection of parasites in commonly consumed raw vegetables. *Alexandria Journal of Medicine*, *48*(4), 345-352.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajme.2012.05.005

113. Elwin, K., Hadfield, S. J., Robinson, G., & Chalmers, R. M. (2012). The epidemiology of sporadic human infections with unusual cryptosporidia detected during routine typing in England and Wales, 2000–2008. *Epidemiology and Infection*, *140*(4), 673-683.

https://doi.org/10.1017/s0950268811000860

114. Eraky, M. A., Rashed, S. M., Nasr, M. E., El-Hamshary, A. M., & Salah El-Ghannam, A. (2014). Parasitic contamination of commonly consumed fresh leafy vegetables in Benha, Egypt. *Journal of Parasitology Research*, *2014*, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/613960

115. Escobedo, A. A., Almirall, P., Alfonso, M., Cimerman, S., & Chacín-Bonilla, L. (2014). Sexual transmission of giardiasis: a neglected route of spread? *Acta Tropica*, *132*, 106-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.12.025

116. Ethelberg, S., Lisby, M., Vestergaard, L., Enemark, H., Olsen, K., & Stensvold, C. et al. (2009). A foodborne outbreak of *Cryptosporidium hominis* infection. *Epidemiology and Infection*, *137*(3), 348-356. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0950268808001817

117. Eurofruit. (2020). *New campaign shows support for Italian produce*. Fruitnet.com. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from http://www.fruitnet.com/eurofruit/article/181070/new-campaign-backs-safety-and-quality-italian-produce.

118. European Commission. (2006). *Review of the sector of soft fruits and cherries intended for processing in the EU. Annex to the report from the commission to the council and the European parliament on the situation of the sector of soft fruits and cherries intended for processing. Ec.europa.eu. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/.*

119. European Food Safety Authority, Panel on Biological Hazards. (2018). Scientific opinion on the public health risks associated with food-borne parasites. *EFSA Journal*, *16*(12), e05495. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5495.

120. Eurostat. (2018). *Fruit and vegetable consumption statistics - Statistics Explained*. Ec.europa.eu. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statisticsexplained/index.php/Fruit_and_vegetable_consumption_statistics#General_overvie

121. Ezatpour, B., Chegeni, A. S., Abdollahpour, F., Aazami, M., & Alirezaei, M. (2013).
Prevalence of parasitic contamination of raw vegetables in Khorramabad, Iran. *Food Control*, *34*(1), 92-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.03.034

122. Fanigliulo, D., Marchi, S., Montomoli, E., & Trombetta, C. (2020). *Toxoplasma gondii* in women of childbearing age and during pregnancy: seroprevalence study in Central and Southern Italy from 2013 to 2017. *Parasite*, *27*, 2. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2019080

123. Fantinatti, M., Caseca, A. C., Bello, A. R., Fernandes, O., & Da-Cruz, A. M. (2018). The presence of *Giardia lamblia* assemblage A in dogs suggests an anthropozoonotic cycle of the parasite in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 65*, 265-269.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.07.025

124. Faunalia (2020). Faunalia.eu. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://www.faunalia.eu/pdf/Capitolo19.pdf.

125. Fayer, R. (1994). Effect of high temperature on infectivity of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in water. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *60*(8), 2732-2735.

https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.8.2732-2735.1994

126. Fayer, R., & Nerad, T. (1996). Effects of low temperatures on viability of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *62*(4), 1431-1433.

https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.62.4.1431-1433.1996

127. Fayer, R., Dubey, J., & Lindsay, D. (2004). Zoonotic protozoa: from land to sea. *Trends in Parasitology*, *20*(11), 531-536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2004.08.008

128. Fayer, R., Santín, M., & Macarisin, D. (2010). *Cryptosporidium ubiquitum* n. sp. in animals and humans. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *172*(1-2), 23-32.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.04.028

129. Federer, K., Armua-Fernandez, M., Hoby, S., Wenker, C., & Deplazes, P. (2015). In vivo viability of *Echinococcus multilocularis* eggs in a rodent model after different thermo-treatments. *Experimental Parasitology*, *154*, 14-19.

130. Federer, K., Armua-Fernandez, M., Gori, F., Hoby, S., Wenker, C., & Deplazes, P. (2016). Detection of taeniid (*Taenia* spp., *Echinococcus* spp.) eggs contaminating vegetables and fruits sold in European markets and the risk for metacestode infections in captive primates. *International journal for parasitology: Parasites and wildlife*, *5*, 249-253.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2016.07.002

131. Feng, Y., & Xiao, L. (2011). Zoonotic potential and molecular epidemiology of *Giardia* species and giardiasis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *24*(1), 110-140. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00033-10 **132.** Feng, X., Qi, X., Yang, L., Duan, X., Fang, B., & Gongsang, Q. et al. (2015). Human cystic and alveolar echinococcosis in the Tibet Autonomous Region (TAR), China. *Journal of Helminthology*, *89*(6), 671-679. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022149x15000656

133. Feng, Y., Ryan, U., & Xiao, L. (2018). Genetic diversity and population structure of *Cryptosporidium*. *Trends in Parasitology*, *34*(11), 997-1011.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2018.07.009

134. Flegr, J. (2015). Neurological and neuropsychiatric consequences of chronic *Toxoplasma* infection. *Current Clinical Microbiology Reports*, *2*(4), 163-172.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40588-015-0024-0

135. Florescu, D., & Sandkovsky, U. (2016). *Cryptosporidium* infection in solid organ
transplantation. *World Journal of Transplantation*, 6(3), 460. https://doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v6.i3.460
136. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)/World Health Organization

(WHO). (2014). Multicriteria-based ranking for risk management of food-borne parasites.

Apps.who.int. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from

https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/112672/9789241564700_eng.pdf.

137. FDA. (1997). *HACCP Principles & Application Guidelines*. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from

https://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/HACCP/ucm2006801.htm#defs.

138. FDA. (2020). *Outbreak Investigation of Cyclospora (June 2020)*. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://www.fda.gov/food/outbreaks-foodborne-illness/outbreak-investigation-cyclospora-bagged-salads-june-2020.

139. Ferreira, F., Caldart, E., Freire, R., Mitsuka-Breganó, R., Freitas, F., & Miura, A. et al. (2018). The effect of water source and soil supplementation on parasite contamination in organic vegetable gardens. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária*. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1984-296120180050

140. Fletcher, S., Stark, D., & Ellis, J. (2011). Prevalence of gastrointestinal pathogens in Sub-Saharan Africa: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Public Health in Africa*, *2*(2), 30. https://doi.org/10.4081/jphia.2011.e30

141. Frenkel, J. K., Dubey, J. P., & Miller, N. L. (1970). Toxoplasma gondii in cats: fecal stages identified as coccidian oocysts. *Science*, *168*(3929), 353-353. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.168.3929.353-a **142.** Frenkel, J. K., & Dubey, J. P. (1973). Effects of freezing on the viability of *Toxoplasma* Oocysts. *The Journal of Parasitology*, *59*(3), 587. https://doi.org/10.2307/3278803

143. Freuling, C. M., Hampson, K., Selhorst, T., Schröder, R., Meslin, F. X., Mettenleiter, T. C., & Müller, T. (2013). The elimination of Fox rabies from Europe: determinants of success and lessons for the future. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *368*(1623), 20120142. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0142

144. Frickmann, H., Schwarz, N., Rakotozandrindrainy, R., May, J., & Hagen, R. (2015). PCR for enteric pathogens in high-prevalence settings. What does a positive signal tell us?. *Infectious Diseases*, *47*(7), 491-498. https://doi.org/10.3109/23744235.2015.1022212

145. Friedman, D., Patten, K., Rose, J., & Barney, M. (1997). The potential for *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocyst survival in beverages associated with contaminated tap water. *Journal of Food Safety*, *17*(2), 125-132. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4565.1997.tb00181.x

146. Gabre, R. M., & Shakir, A. (2016). Prevalence of some human enteroparasites in commonly consumed raw vegetables in Tabuk, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Food Protection, 79*(4), 655-658. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-15-485

147. Ganpat, W., Badrie, N., Walter, S., Roberts, L., Nandlal, J., & Smith, N. (2014). Compliance with good agricultural practices (GAPs) by state-registered and non-registered vegetable farmers in Trinidad, West Indies. *Food Security*, *6*(1), 61-69. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-013-0322-4.

148. Garcia, L., Arrowood, M., Kokoskin, E., Paltridge, G., Pillai, D., & Procop, G. et al. (2017).
Practical guidance for clinical microbiology laboratories: laboratory diagnosis of parasites from the gastrointestinal tract. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *31*(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00025-17
149. GBD 2015 DALYs and HALE Collaborators. Arora, M., & Barber, R. (2016). Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 315 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE), 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015.

150. GBD 2016. (2017). Risk Factors Collaborators Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet, 390*, 1345-1422.

Lancet, 388, 1603-1658.

151. Gerace, E., Presti, V., & Biondo, C. (2019). *Cryptosporidium* infection: epidemiology, pathogenesis, and differential diagnosis. *European Journal of Microbiology and Immunology*, *9*(4), 119-123. https://doi.org/10.1556/1886.2019.00019

152. Gérard, C., Franssen, F., La Carbona, S., Monteiro, S., Cozma-Petruţ, A., & Utaaker, K. et al. (2019). Inactivation of parasite transmission stages: efficacy of treatments on foods of non-animal origin. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *91*, 12-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.06.015
153. Giangaspero, A., Berrilli, F., & Brandonisio, O. (2007). *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* and public health: the epidemiological scenario from the Italian perspective. *Parasitology Research*, *101*(5), 1169-1182. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-007-0598-4

154. Giangaspero, A., Marangi, M., Koehler, A., Papini, R., Normanno, G., & Lacasella, V. et al. (2015a). Molecular detection of *Cyclospora* in water, soil, vegetables and humans in southern Italy signals a need for improved monitoring by health authorities. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *211*, 95-100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.07.002

155. Giangaspero, A., Marangi, M., & Arace, E. (2015b). *Cyclospora cayetanensis* travels in tap water on Italian trains. *Journal of Water and Health*, *13*(1), 210-216.

https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2014.093

156. Giangaspero, A., & Gasser, R. (2019). Human cyclosporiasis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *19*(7), e226-e236. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(18)30789-8

157. Gil, M., Selma, M., López-Gálvez, F., & Allende, A. (2009). Fresh-cut product sanitation and wash water disinfection: Problems and solutions. *International Journal of Food*

Microbiology, *134*(1-2), 37-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.05.021

158. Gil, M. I., Marín, A., Andujar, S., & Allende, A. (2016). Should chlorate residues be of concern in fresh-cut salads? *Food Control, 60,* 416-421.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.08.023

159. Goldberg, E., & Bishara, J. (2012). Contemporary unconventional clinical use of cotrimoxazole. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, *18*(1), 8-17. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03613.x

160. Goodfellow, M., Shaw, S., & Morgan, E. (2006). Imported disease of dogs and cats exotic to Ireland: *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Irish Veterinary Journal*, *59*(4). https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-0481-59-4-214

161. Gottstein, B., Stojkovic, M., Vuitton, D., Millon, L., Marcinkute, A., & Deplazes, P. (2015).
Threat of alveolar echinococcosis to public health – a challenge for Europe. *Trends in Parasitology*, *31*(9), 407-412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2015.06.001
162. Gottstein B., Soboslay P., Ortona E., Wang J., Siracusano A., & Vuitton D. (2017). Chapter
One - Immunology of alveolar and cystic echinococcosis (AE and CE). In R. Thompson, P. Deplazes
& A. Lymbery (Eds), *Advances in Parasitology* (pp. 1-54), Academic Press, Cambridge.

163. Gould, L. H., Kline, J., Monahan, C., & Vierk, K. (2017). Outbreaks of disease associated with food imported into the United States, 1996–20141. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *23*(3), 525-528. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2303.161462

164. Gómez Martínez, E., Figuera, L., Guilarte, D., Simoni, Z., Díaz, M., Berrizbeitia, M., &
Cerrada, S. (2016). Primer reporte de *Cyclospora cayetanensis* en una comunidad indígena Kariña del municipio Sucre, estado Sucre, Venezuela. *Boletín de Malariología y Salud Ambiental*, 56, 24-38.

165. Grana, L., Lalle, M., Habluetzel, A., Silvestri, S., Traldi, G., Tonanti, D., Pozio, E.& Cacciò, S. (2006). Distibution of zoonotic and animal specific genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in calves of cattle farms in the Marche region. *Parassitologia*, *48*, 208.

166. Guidetti, C., Capatti, C., Ricci, L., & Vecchia, L. (2009). Intestinal parassitosis in Reggio Emilia during 2007: critical analysis of results. *Microbiologia Medica*, *24*(2).

https://doi.org/10.4081/mm.2009.2541

167. Hadjilouka, A., & Tsaltas, D. (2020). *Cyclospora cayetanensis*—major outbreaks from ready to eat fresh fruits and vegetables. *Foods*, *9*(11), 1703. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9111703

168. Halliez, M. C. (2013). Extra-intestinal and long-term consequences of *Giardia duodenalis* infections. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, *19*(47), 8974.

https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v19.i47.8974

169. Hampton, M. M. (2015). Congenital toxoplasmosis: A review. *Neonatal Network*, *34*(5), 274-278. https://doi.org/10.1891/0730-0832.34.5.274

170. Harp, J., Fayer, R., Pesch, B., & Jackson, G. (1996). Effect of pasteurization on infectivity of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in water and milk. *Applied and Environmental*

Microbiology, 62(8), 2866-2868. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.62.8.2866-2868.1996

171. Hassan, M., Olijnik, A., Frickel, E., & Saeij, J. (2019). Clonal and atypical *Toxoplasma* strain differences in virulence vary with mouse sub-species. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *49*(1), 63-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.08.007

172. Hay, E., Winfield, J., & McKendrick, M. (1987). Reactive arthritis associated with *Cryptosporidium* enteritis. *BMJ*, *295*(6592), 248-248. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.295.6592.248

173. Hayes, S., Rice, E., Ware, M., & Schaefer, F. (2003). Low pressure ultraviolet studies for inactivation of *Giardia muris* cysts. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *94*(1), 54-59. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.01805.x

174. Heidari, Z., Sharbatkhori, M., Mobedi, I., Mirhendi, S., Nikmanesh, B., & Sharifdini, M. et al. (2019). *Echinococcus multilocularis* and *Echinococcus granulosus* in canines in North-Khorasan Province, northeastern Iran, identified using morphology and genetic characterization of mitochondrial DNA. *Parasites & Vectors*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3859-z

175. Helmy, Y. A., Krücken, J., Nöckler, K., Von Samson-Himmelstjerna, G., & Zessin, K. (2013). Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* in livestock animals and humans in the Ismailia province of Egypt. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *193*(1-3), 15-24.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.12.015

176. Heyworth, M. F. (2016). *Giardia duodenalis* genetic assemblages and hosts. *Parasite*, *23*, 13. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2016013

177. Hildreth, M. B., & Granholm, N. H. (2003). Effect of mouse strain variations and cortisone treatment on the establishment and growth of primary *Echinococcus multilocularis* hydatid cysts. *Journal of Parasitology*, *89*(3), 493-495. https://doi.org/10.1645/0022-3395(2003)089[0493:eomsva]2.0.co;2

178. Hohweyer, J., Cazeaux, C., Travaillé, E., Languet, E., Dumètre, A., & Aubert, D. et al. (2016). Simultaneous detection of the protozoan parasites *Toxoplasma*, *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in food matrices and their persistence on basil leaves. *Food Microbiology*, *57*, 36-44.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.01.002

179. https://www.mcdinternational.org. (2010). *Echinococcosis - Image Library Page 4*.Mcdinternational.org. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from

https://www.mcdinternational.org/trainings/malaria/english/DPDx5/HTML/ImageLibrary/A-F/Echinococcosis/body_Echinococcosis_il4.

180. Howe, D., & Sibley, L. (1995). *Toxoplasma gondii* comprises three clonal lineages: correlation of parasite genotype with human disease. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *172*(6), 1561-1566. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/172.6.1561

181. Hussain, M., Stitt, V., Szabo, E., & Nelan, B. (2017). *Toxoplasma gondii* in the food supply. *Pathogens*, *6*(2), 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens6020021

182. Hussein, E. (2007). Molecular identification of *Cyclospora* spp. using multiplex PCR from diarrheic children compared to others conventional methods. *Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology*, 37, 585-98.

183. Hunter, P., & Nichols, G. (2002). Epidemiology and clinical features of *Cryptosporidium* infection in immunocompromised patients. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *15*(1), 145-154. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.15.1.145-154.2002

Hunter, P., Hughes, S., Woodhouse, S., Nicholas, R., Syed, Q., & Chalmers, R. et al. (2004).
Health sequelae of human cryptosporidiosis in immunocompetent patients. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *39*(4), 504-510. https://doi.org/10.1086/422649

185. IFPA (International Fresh-cut Produce Association). (2016). *About IFPA – Fresh cut facts.* Retrieved 04 December 2020, from http://www.creativew.com/sites/ifpa/fcf.html.

186. Imre, K., & Dărăbuș, G. (2011). Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* species, genotypes and *C. parvum* subtypes in cattle in European countries. *Scientia Parasitologica*, *12*(1), 1-9.

187. infodata.ilsole24ore.com. (2020). *Blog | Calano le adozioni di cani, il randagismo è una emergenza - Info Data*. Info Data. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from

https://www.infodata.ilsole24ore.com/2019/09/21/calano-le-adozioni-cani-randagismoemergenza/.

188. Insulander, M, de Jong, B., & Svenungsson B. (2008). A food-borne outbreak of cryptosporidiosis among guests and staff at a hotel restaurant in Stockholm county, Sweden, September 2008. *Eurosurveillance*, *13*(51), 19071.

189. Insulander, M., Svenungsson, B., Lebbad, M., Karlsson, L., & de Jong, B. (2010). A Foodborne Outbreak of Cyclospora Infection in Stockholm, Sweden. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, *7*(12), 1585-1587. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010.0628

190. Iqbal, A., Lim, Y., Mahdy, M., Dixon, B., & Surin, J. (2020). Epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in HIV-infected individuals: a global perspective. *Open Access Scientific Reports*, *1*(9). https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/scientificreports.431

191. Isaksson, M., Hagström, Å., Armua-Fernandez, M., Wahlström, H., Ågren, E., & Miller, A. et al. (2014). A semi-automated magnetic capture probe-based DNA extraction and real-time PCR method applied in the Swedish surveillance of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) faecal samples. *Parasites & Vectors*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-014-0583-6

192. IsmeaMercati. (2016). *Imprese e istituzioni - Ortaggi - Ultime dal settore - IV Gamma: nel 2015 crescono gli acquisti di ortaggi*. www.ismeamercati.it. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from http://www.ismeamercati.it/flex/cm/pages/ServeBLOB.php/L/IT/IDPagina/6439.

193. ISO, 2016. Microbiology of the food chain. Detection and enumeration of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in fresh leafy green vegetables and berry fruits. https://doi.org/10.3403/30276987u

194. Israelski, D., & Remington, J. (1993). Toxoplasmosis in patients with cancer. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *17*(Supplement_2), S423-S435.

https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/17.supplement_2.s423

195. Jelinek, T., Lotze, M., Eichenlaub, S., Löscher, T., & Nothdurft, H. (1997). Prevalence of infection with *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cyclospora cayetanensis* among international travellers. *Gut*, *41*(6), 801-804. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.41.6.801

196. Jelinkova, A., Kasickova, D., & Valkoun, A. (2011). *Cyclospora cayetanensis*—The rare causal agent of diarrhoeal diseases. *Klinicka mikrobiologie a infekcni lekarstvi*, *17*, 86-88.

197. Johnson, R. (2019). Fas.org. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/IF11092.pdf.

198. Jones, J., Ogunmodede, F., Scheftel, J., Kirkland, E., Lopez, A., Schulkin, J., & Lynfield, R. (2003). Toxoplasmosis-related knowledge and practices among pregnant women in the United States. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *11*(3), 139-145.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10647440300025512

199. Jones, J., Dargelas, V., Roberts, J., Press, C., Remington, J., & Montoya, J. (2009). Risk factors for *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in the United States. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *49*(6), 878-884. https://doi.org/10.1086/605433

200. Jones, J., & Dubey, J. (2010). Waterborne toxoplasmosis – recent developments. *Experimental Parasitology*, *124*(1), 10-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2009.03.013

201. Kavaliers, M., & Colwell, D. D. (1995). Decreased predator avoidance in parasitized mice: Neuromodulatory correlates. *Parasitology*, *111*(3), 257-263.

https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182000081816

202. Kempler, C., & Hall, H. K. (2013). World raspberry production and marketing: industry changes and trends from 1960 to 2010. Raspberries, 2010, 213-233.

https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845937911.0213

203. Kern, P., Bardonnet, K., Renner, E., Auer, H., Pawlowski, Z., & Ammann, R. et al. (2003). European *Echinococcosis* registry: human alveolar echinococcosis, Europe, 1982–2000. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *9*(3), 343-349. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0903.020341

204. Kern P., Menezes da Silva A., Akhan O., Müllhaupt B., Vizcaychipi K., Budke C., & Vuitton D. (2017). Chapter Four - The Echinococcoses: diagnosis, clinical management and burden of disease. In R. Thompson, P. Deplazes, A. Lymbery (Eds), *Advances in Parasitology* (pp. 259-369). Academic Press, Cambridge.

205. Khalil, I., Troeger, C., Rao, P., Blacker, B., Brown, A., & Brewer, T. et al. (2018). Morbidity, mortality, and long-term consequences associated with diarrhoea from *Cryptosporidium* infection in children younger than 5 years: a meta-analyses study. *The Lancet Global Health*, *6*(7), e758-e768. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(18)30283-3

206. Kimura, K., Kumar Rai, S., Takemasa, K., Ishibashi, Y., Kawabata, M., Belosevic, M., & Uga, S. (2004). Comparison of three microscopic techniques for diagnosis of *Cyclospora cayetanensis*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, *238*(1), 263-266. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2004.tb09765.x

207. Kniel, K., Sumner, S., Lindsay, D., Hackney, C., Pierson, M., & Zajac, A. et al. (2003). Effect of organic acids and hydrogen peroxide on *Cryptosporidium parvum* viability in fruit juices. *Journal of Food Protection*, *66*(9), 1650-1657. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-66.9.1650

208. Koehler, A. V., Jex, A. R., Haydon, S. R., Stevens, M. A., & Gasser, R. B. (2014).

Giardia/giardiasis — A perspective on diagnostic and analytical tools. Biotechnology

Advances, 32(2), 280-289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.10.009

209. Lainson, R. (2005). The Genus *Cyclospora* (Apicomplexa: Eimeriidae), with a description of *Cyclospora schneideri* n.sp. in the snake *Anilius scytale scytale* (Aniliidae) from Amazonian Brazil: a review. *Memórias Do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*, *100*(2), 103-110. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0074-02762005000200001

210. Lalle, M., Jimenez-Cardosa, E., Cacciò, S., & Pozio, E. (2005). Genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* from humans and dogs from Mexico using a *β-Giardin* nested polymerase chain reaction assay. *Journal of Parasitology*, *91*(1), 203-205. https://doi.org/10.1645/ge-293r

211. Lalle, M., Possenti, A., Dubey, J. P., & Pozio, E. (2018). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification-lateral-Flow dipstick (LAMP-LFD) to detect *Toxoplasma gondii* oocyst in ready-to-eat salad. *Food Microbiology*, *70*, 137-142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.10.001

113

212. Lalonde, L., & Gajadhar, A. (2011). Detection and differentiation of coccidian oocysts by Real-Time PCR and melting curve analysis. *Journal of Parasitology*, *97*(4), 725-730. https://doi.org/10.1645/ge-2706.1

213. Lalonde, L., & Gajadhar, A. (2016). Detection of *Cyclospora cayetanensis, Cryptosporidium* spp., and *Toxoplasma gondii* on imported leafy green vegetables in Canadian survey. *Food and Waterborne Parasitology*, *2*, 8-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fawpar.2016.01.001

214. Lass, A., Pietkiewicz, H., Szostakowska, B., & Myjak, P. (2012). The first detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA in environmental fruits and vegetables samples. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*, *31*(6), 1101-1108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-011-1414-8

215. Lass, A., Szostakowska, B., Myjak, P., & Korzeniewski, K. (2015). The first detection of *Echinococcus multilocularis* DNA in environmental fruit, vegetable, and mushroom samples using nested PCR. *Parasitology Research*, *114*(11), 4023-4029. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4630-9

216. Lass, A., Szostakowska, B., Myjak, P., & Korzeniewski, K. (2017). Detection of *Echinococcus multilocularis* DNA in fruit, vegetable, and mushroom samples collected in the non-endemic territory of the Pomerania province and comparison of the results with data from rural areas of the neighbouring highly endemic Warmia-Masuria province, Poland. *Acta Parasitologica*, *62*(2). https://doi.org/10.1515/ap-2017-0053

217. Lawson, J. R., & Gemmell, M. A. (1990). Transmission of taeniid tapeworm eggs via blowflies to intermediate hosts. *Parasitology*, *100*(1), 143-146.

https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182000060224

218. Lee, M.B. (1992). The effectiveness of commercially available disinfectants upon *Giardia lamblia* cysts. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, *83*, 171-172.

219. Legnani, P., & Leoni, E. (2004). Effect of processing and storage conditions on the microbiological quality of minimally processed vegetables. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *39*(10), 1061-1068. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2004.00891.x

220. Leung, A. K., Leung, A. A., Wong, A. H., Sergi, C. M., & Kam, J. K. (2019). Giardiasis: an overview. *Recent Patents on Inflammation & Allergy Drug Discovery*, *13*(2), 134-143. https://doi.org/10.2174/1872213x13666190618124901

221. Li, N., Xiao, L., Alderisio, K., Elwin, K., Cebelinski, E., Chalmers, R., Santin, M., Fayer, R., Kvac, M., Ryan, U., Sak, B., Stanko, M., Guo, Y., Wang, L., Zhang, L., Cai, J., Roellig, D., & Feng, Y.

114

(2014). Subtyping *Cryptosporidium ubiquitum*, a zoonotic pathogen emerging in humans. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 20(2), 217-224. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2002.121797

222. Li, N., Ye, J., Arrowood, M., Ma, J., Wang, L., & Xu, H. et al. (2015). Identification and morphologic and molecular characterization of *Cyclospora macacae* n. sp. from rhesus monkeys in China. *Parasitology Research*, *114*(5), 1811-1816. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4367-5

223. Li, J., Shi, K., Sun, F., Li, T., Wang, R., & Zhang, S. et al. (2019). Identification of human pathogenic *Enterocytozoon bieneusi, Cyclospora cayetanensis,* and *Cryptosporidium parvum* on the surfaces of vegetables and fruits in Henan, China. *International Journal of Food Microbiology, 307,* 108292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108292

224. Li, J., Wang, Z., Karim, M., & Zhang, L. (2020). Detection of human intestinal protozoan parasites in vegetables and fruits: a review. *Parasites & Vectors*, *13*(1).

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04255-3

225. Lieberman, L., & Hunter, C. (2002). The role of cytokines and their signaling pathways in the regulation of immunity to *Toxoplasma gondii*. *International Reviews of Immunology*, *21*(4-5), 373-403. https://doi.org/10.1080/08830180213281

226. Lindsay, D., Holliman, D., Flick, G., Goodwin, D., Mitchell, S., & Dubey, J. (2008). Effects of high pressure processing on *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts on raspberries. *Journal of Parasitology*, *94*(3), 757-758. https://doi.org/10.1645/ge-1471.1

227. Lipcsei, L. E., Brown, L. G., Coleman, E. W., Kramer, A., Masters, M., Wittry, B. C., Reed, K., & Radke, V. J. (2019). Foodborne illness outbreaks at retail establishments — National environmental assessment reporting system, 16 state and local health departments, 2014–2016. *MMWR. Surveillance Summaries*, 68(1), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6801a1

228. Llarena-Reino, M., Abollo, E., Regueira, M., Rodríguez, H., & Pascual, S. (2015). Horizon scanning for management of emerging parasitic infections in fishery products. *Food Control, 49*, 49-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.09.005

229. Long, E., White, E., Carmichael, W., Quinlisk, P., Raja, R., & Swisher, B. et al. (1991). Morphologic and staining characteristics of a cyanobacterium-like organism associated with diarrhea. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *164*(1), 199-202. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/164.1.199

230. Luft, B., Conley, F., Remington, J., Laverdiere, M., Levine, J., Strandberg, D., Wagner, K., Craven, P., File, T., Rice, N., & Meunier-Carpentier, F. (1983). Outbreak of central-nervous-system toxoplasmosis in Western Europe and North America. *The Lancet*, *321*(8328), 781-784. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(83)91847-0 **231.** Luft, B. J. (1984). Toxoplasmic encephalitis in patients with acquired immune deficiency syndrome. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, *252*(7), 913. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1984.03350070031018

232. Macori, G., Gilardi, G., Bellio, A., Bianchi, D., Gallina, S., & Vitale, N. et al. (2018). Microbiological parameters in the primary production of berries: a pilot study. *Foods*, *7*(7), 105. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods7070105

233. Maggi, P., Brandonisio, O., Larocca, A., Rollo, M., Panaro, M., Marangi, A., Marzo, R. & Angarano, G. (1995). *Cyclospora* in AIDS patients: not always an agent of diarrhoeic syndrome. *New Microbiologica*, *18*, 73-76.

234. Mahbubani, M. H., Bej, A. K., Perlin, M., Schaefer, F. W., Jakubowski, W., & Atlas, R. M. (1991). Detection of *Giardia* cysts by using the polymerase chain reaction and distinguishing live from dead cysts. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *57*(12), 3456-

3461. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.57.12.3456-3461.1991

235. Malkamäki, S., Näreaho, A., Oksanen, A., & Sukura, A. (2019). Berries as a potential transmission vehicle for taeniid eggs. *Parasitology International*, *70*, 58-63.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2019.01.008

236. Mangili, P., D'Avino, N., Venditti, G., Centellini M., Filippini, G., Pezzotti, G., & Grelloni, V.

(2009). Detection of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in faeces of calves affected by enteric disorders in Central Italy. III-rd International *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* Conference, Orvieto, Italy, Abstract Book 88.

237. Maratim, A., Kamar, K., Ngindu, A., Akoru, C., Diero, L., & Sidle, J. (2002). Safranin staining of *Cyclospora cayetanensis* oocysts not requiring microwave heating. *British Journal Of Biomedical Science*, *59*(2), 105-106. https://doi.org/10.1080/09674845.2002.11783644

238. Marchioro, A., Tiyo, B., Colli, C., de Souza, C., Garcia, J., Gomes, M., & Falavigna-Guilherme, A. (2016). First detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA in the fresh leafs of vegetables in South America. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases, 16*(9), 624-626.

https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2015.1937

239. Marcinkutė, A., Šarkūnas, M., Moks, E., Saarma, U., Jokelainen, P., & Bagrade, G. et al. (2015). *Echinococcus* infections in the Baltic region. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *213*(3-4), 121-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.07.032

240. Marques, C., Sousa, S., Castro, A., & da Costa, J. (2020). Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in fresh vegetables and berry fruits. *Parasites & Vectors*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04040-2

241. Massolo, A., Valli, D., Wassermann, M., Cavallero, S., D'Amelio, S., & Meriggi, A. et al. (2018). Unexpected *Echinococcus multilocularis* infections in shepherd dogs and wolves in southwestern Italian Alps: A new endemic area? *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife*, *7*(3), 309-316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2018.08.001

242. Mastrandrea, G., & Micarelli A. (1968). Ricerca parassitaria nei prodotti vegetali prelevati da alcuni mercati rionali della città di Roma. *Archivio italiano di scienze mediche tropicali e di parassitologia*, *49*, 55-59.

243. Masucci, L., Graffeo, R., Siciliano, R., Franceschelli, A., Bugli, F. & Fadda, G. (2008). First Italian case of cyclosporiasis in an immunocompetent woman: local acquired infection. *New Microbiologica*, *31*, 281-284.

244. Masucci, L., Graffeo, R., Bani, S., Bugli, F., Boccia, S., & Nicolotti, N. et al. (2011). Intestinal parasites isolated in a large teaching hospital, Italy, 1 May 2006 to 31 December 2008. *Eurosurveillance*, *16*(24). https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.16.24.19891-en

245. Matsumoto, M., Okada, E., Ichihara, D., Chiba, K., Toyama, Y., Fujiwara, H., Momoshima, S., Nishiwaki, Y., Hashimoto, T., Inoue, T., Watanabe, M., & Takahata, T. (2010). Prospective ten-year follow-up study comparing patients with whiplash-associated disorders and asymptomatic subjects using magnetic resonance imaging. *Spine*, *35*(18), 1684-1690.

https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013e3181c9a8c7

246. McAuley, J. (2014). Congenital Toxoplasmosis. *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society*, *3*(suppl_1), S30-S35. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piu077

247. McKerr, C., Adak, G. K., Nichols, G., Gorton, R., Chalmers, R. M., Kafatos, G., Cosford, P., Charlett, A., Reacher, M., Pollock, K. G., Alexander, C. L., & Morton, S. (2015). An outbreak of *Cryptosporidium parvum* across England & Scotland associated with consumption of fresh pre-cut salad leaves, May 2012. *PLOS ONE*, *10*(5), e0125955.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125955

248. Melhorn, H., & Frenkel, J. (1980). Ultrastructural comparison of cysts and zoites of *Toxoplasma gondii, Sarcocystis muris,* and *Hammondia hammondi* in skeletal muscle of mice. *The Journal of Parasitology, 66*(1), 59. https://doi.org/10.2307/3280590

249. Merildi, V., Mancianti, F., Lamioni, H., Passantino, A., & Papini, R. (2009). Preliminary report on prevalence and genotyping of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in cattle from Tuscany (Central Italy). III-rd International *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* Conference, Orvieto, Italy, Abstract Book 84.

250. Meyer, E., & Jarroll, E. (1980). Giardiasis. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *111*(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112860

251. Mohamed, M. A., Siddig, E. E., Elaagip, A. H., Edris, A. M., & Nasr, A. A. (2016). Parasitic contamination of fresh vegetables sold at central markets in Khartoum state, Sudan. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-016-0133-5

252. Montoya, J. & Remington, J. (2008). Management of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection during pregnancy. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 47, 554-566.

253. Morais, R. D., Freire, A. B., Barbosa, D. R., Silva, L. D., Pinheiro, A. F., Costa, S. S., Ramos, F. L., Bichara, C. N., Lima, L. J., Silva, A. V., Souza, S. R., Piqueira, L. P., Gonçalves, N. V., Póvoa, M. M., & Carmo, E. L. (2016). Surto de toxoplasmose aguda no Município de Ponta de Pedras, Arquipélago do Marajó, Estado do para, Brasil: Características clínicas, laboratoriais E epidemiológicas. *Revista Pan-Amazônica de Saúde, 7*(esp), 143-152.

https://doi.org/10.5123/s2176-62232016000500016

254. Mossallam, S. (2010). Detection of some intestinal protozoa in commercial fresh juices. *Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology*, *40*, 135-49.

255. Murphy, H., Almeria, S., & da Silva, A. (2017). *BAM Chapter 19b*. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://www.fda.gov/food/laboratory-methods-food/bam-chapter-19b-molecular-detection-cyclospora-cayetanensis-fresh-produce-using-real-time-pcr.

256. Murrell, K. (2016). The dynamics of *Trichinella spiralis* epidemiology: out to pasture? *Veterinary Parasitology*, *231*, 92-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.03.020
257. Nassef, N., el-Ahl, S., el-Shafee, O. & Awar, M. (1998). *Cyclospora*: a newly identified protozoan pathogen of man. *Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology*, *28*, 213-19.

258. Ng, J. S., Eastwood, K., Walker, B., Durrheim, D. N., Massey, P. D., Porigneaux, P., Kemp, R., McKinnon, B., Laurie, K., Miller, D., Bramley, E., & Ryan, U. (2012). Evidence of *Cryptosporidium* transmission between cattle and humans in northern New South Wales. *Experimental Parasitology*, *130*(4), 437-441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2012.01.014

259. Nichols, G., Freedman, J., Pollock, K., Rumble, C., Chalmers, R., & Chiodini, P. et al. (2015). *Cyclospora* infection linked to travel to Mexico, June to September 2015. *Eurosurveillance*, *20*(43). https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es.2015.20.43.30048

260. Nicolle, C. & Manceaux, L. (1908). Sur une infection a corp de Leishman (ou organismes voisins) du gondi. Comptes Rendus de l Academie des Sciences Serie III. *Sciences de la Vie*, *147*, 763-766.

261. Ooi, W., Zimmerman, S., & Needham, C. (1995). *Cyclospora* species as a gastrointestinal pathogen in immunocompetent hosts. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *33*(5), 1267-1269. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.33.5.1267-1269.1995

262. Opsteegh, M., Langelaar, M., Sprong, H., den Hartog, L., De Craeye, S., & Bokken, G. et al. (2010). Direct detection and genotyping of *Toxoplasma gondii* in meat samples using magnetic capture and PCR. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *139*(3), 193-201.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.02.027

263. Orlandi, P., Carter, L., Brinker, A., da Silva, A., Chu, D., Lampel, K., & Monday, S. (2003). Targeting single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the 18S rRNA gene to differentiate *Cyclospora* species from *Eimeria* species by multiplex PCR. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *69*(8), 4806–4813. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.8.4806–4813.2003

264. Ortega, Y., Sterling, C., Gilman, R., Cama, V., & Díaz, F. (1993). *Cyclospora* species–a new protozoan pathogen of humans. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, *12*(9), 794. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006454-199309000-00031

265. Ortega, Y., Gilman, R., Sterling, C., & Ortega, Y. (1994). A new coccidian parasite (Apicomplexa: Eimeriidae) from humans. *The Journal of Parasitology*, *80*(4), 625. https://doi.org/10.2307/3283201

266. Ortega, Y., & Sterling, C. (1996). *Cyclospora cayetanensis*: epidemiology and diagnosis. *Clinical Microbiology Newsletter*, *18*(22), 169-172. https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-4399(96)81655-2

267. Ortega, Y. R., & Adam, R. D. (1997). *Giardia*: overview and update. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *25*(3), 545-549. https://doi.org/10.1086/513745

268. Ortega, Y., Nagle, R., Gilman, R., Watanabe, J., Miyagui, J., & Quispe, H. et al. (1997). Pathologic and clinical findings in patients with cyclosporiasis and a description of intracellular parasite life-cycle stages. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *176*(6), 1584-1589. https://doi.org/10.1086/514158 **269.** Ortega, Y., Sterling, C., & Gilman, R. (1998). *Cyclospora cayetanensis*. *Advances in Parasitology*, *40*, 399-418. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-308x(08)60128-1

270. Ortega, Y., Mann, A., Torres, M., & Cama, V. (2008). Efficacy of gaseous chlorine dioxide as a sanitizer against *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *Cyclospora cayetanensis*, and *Encephalitozoon intestinalis* on produce. *Journal of Food Protection*, *71*(12), 2410-2414.

https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-71.12.2410

271. Ortega, Y. and Sanchez, R., 2010. Update on *Cyclospora cayetanensis*, a food-borne and waterborne parasite. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 23(1), pp.218-234.

272. Ortega, Y., & Sherchand, J. (2015). *Cyclospora cayetanensis*. In L. Xiao, U. Ryan, & Y. Feng, (Eds.), *Biology of Foodborne Parasites* (pp. 97-110). CRC Press, Boca Raton.

273. Ortega, Y., & Shields, J. (2015). Detection of parasites in foods. In: L. Xiao, U. Ryan & Y. Feng, (Eds.), *Biology of Foodborne Parasites* (vol. 1). CRC Press, Boca Raton.

274. Ortiz Pineda, C., Temesgen, T., & Robertson, L. (2020). Multiplex quantitative PCR analysis of strawberries from Bogotá, Colombia, for contamination with three parasites. *Journal of Food Protection*, *83*(10), 1679-1684. https://doi.org/10.4315/jfp-20-121

275. Paoletti, B., Giangaspero, A., Gatti, A., Iorio, R., Cembalo, D., Milillo, P., & Traversa, D. (2009). Immunoenzymatic analysis and genetic detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in lambs from Italy. *Experimental Parasitology*, *122*(4), 349-352.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2009.05.006

276. Pape, J. (1994). *Cyclospora* infection in adults infected with HIV: clinical manifestations, treatment, and prophylaxis. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *121*(9), 654.

https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-121-9-199411010-00004

277. Pappas, G., Roussos, N., & Falagas, M. (2009). Toxoplasmosis snapshots: Global status of *Toxoplasma gondii* seroprevalence and implications for pregnancy and congenital toxoplasmosis. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *39*(12), 1385-1394.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.04.003

278. Parish, M., Beuchat, L., Suslow, T., Harris, L., Garrett, E., Farber, J., & Busta, F. (2003). Methods to reduce/eliminate pathogens from fresh and fresh-cut produce. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, *2*(s1), 161-173. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-4337.2003.tb00033.x

279. Paschke, C., Apelt, N., Fleischmann, E., Perona, P., Walentiny, C., Löscher, T., & Herbinger,K. (2011). Controlled study on enteropathogens in travellers returning from the tropics with and

without diarrhoea. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 17(8), 1194-1200.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03414.x

280. Paternoster, G., Boo, G., Wang, C., Minbaeva, G., Usubalieva, J., Raimkulov, K. M., Zhoroev, A., Abdykerimov, K. K., Kronenberg, P. A., Müllhaupt, B., Furrer, R., Deplazes, P., & Torgerson, P. R. (2020). Epidemic cystic and alveolar echinococcosis in Kyrgyzstan: an analysis of national surveillance data. *The Lancet Global Health*, *8*(4), e603-e611.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(20)30038-3

281. Pereira, K., Franco, R., & Leal, D. (2010). Transmission of toxoplasmosis (*Toxoplasma gondii*) by foods. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1043-4526(10)60001-0

282. Petry, F., Jakobi, V., & Tessema, T. (2010). Host immune response to *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection. *Experimental Parasitology*, *126*(3), 304-309.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2010.05.022

283. Pinto-Ferreira, F., Caldart, E., Pasquali, A., Mitsuka-Breganó, R., Freire, R., & Navarro, I. (2019). Patterns of transmission and sources of infection in outbreaks of human toxoplasmosis. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *25*(12), 2177-2182.

https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2512.181565

284. Pönka, A., Kotilainen, H., Rimhanen-Finne, R., Hokkanen, P., Hänninen, M., Kaarna, A., Meri, T., & Kuusi, M. (2009). A foodborne outbreak due to *Cryptosporidium parvum* in Helsinki, November. *Eurosurveillance*, *14*(28), 19269. https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.14.28.19269-en

285. Preti, R., & Vinci, G. (2016). Teknoscienze.com. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://www.teknoscienze.com/Contents/Riviste/PDF/AF1_2016_LOW_28-33.pdf.

286. Puccio, G., Cajozzo, C., Canduscio, L., Cino, L., Romano, A., & Schimmenti, M. et al. (2014). Epidemiology of *Toxoplasma* and CMV serology and of GBS colonization in pregnancy and neonatal outcome in a Sicilian population. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*, *40*(1).

https://doi.org/10.1186/1824-7288-40-23

287. Putignani, L., & Menichella, D. (2010). Global distribution, public health and clinical impact of the protozoan pathogen *Cryptosporidium*. *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases*, *2010*, 1-39. https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/753512

288. Raccurt, C., Chouaki, T., Menotti, J., Fouché, B., Agnamey, P., Pape, J., & Totet, A. (2008). Presence of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* associated with intestinal coccidia in patients with chronic

diarrhea visiting an HIV center in Haiti. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, *79*(4), 579-580. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2008.79.579

289. Rafael, K., Marchioro, A. A., Colli, C. M., Tiyo, B. T., Evangelista, F. F., Bezagio, R. C., & Falavigna-Guilherme, A. L. (2017). Genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* in vegetables cultivated with organic and chemical fertilizer from street markets and community vegetable gardens in a region of southern Brazil. *Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, *111*(12), 540-545. https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/try013

290. Ramirez-Martinez, M., Olmos-Ortiz, L., Barajas-Mendiola, M., Giono Cerezo, S., Avila, E., & Cuellar-Mata, P. (2015). A PCR procedure for the detection of *Giardia intestinalis* cysts and *Escherichia coli* in lettuce. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, *60*(6), 517-523.

https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12402

291. Ramírez-Olivencia, G., Dolores Herrero, M., Subirats, M., Rivas González, P., & Puente, S. (2008). Brote de *Cyclospora cayetanensis* en viajeros a Cuba. *Enfermedades Infecciosas Y Microbiología Clínica*, *26*(9), 558-560. https://doi.org/10.1157/13128272

292. Richardson, R., Remler, B., Katirji, B., & Murad, M. (1998). Guillain-Barre syndrome after *Cyclospora* infection. *Muscle Nerve*, *21*, 669–671.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.qjmed.a068208

293. Ripabelli, G., Leone, A., Sammarco, M., Fanelli, I., Grasso, G., & McLauchlin, J. (2004). Detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in experimentally contaminated lettuce using filtration, immunomagnetic separation, light microscopy, and PCR. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, *1*(4), 216-222. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2004.1.216

294. Robert-Gangneux, F., & Darde, M. (2012). Epidemiology of and diagnostic strategies for toxoplasmosis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *25*(3), 583-583. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00026-12

295. Robertson, L., Campbell, A., & Smith, H. (1992). Survival of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts under various environmental pressures. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *58*(11), 3494-3500.

296. Robertson, L., Gjerde, B., & Campbell, A. (2000). Isolation of *Cyclospora* oocysts from fruits and vegetables using lectin-coated paramagnetic beads. *Journal of Food Protection*, *63*(10), 1410-1414. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-63.10.1410

122

297. Robertson, L., & Gjerde, B. (2001). Occurrence of parasites on fruits and vegetables in Norway. *Journal of Food Protection*, *64*(11), 1793-1798. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-64.11.1793

298. Robertson, L. (2007). The potential for marine bivalve shellfish to act as transmission vehicles for outbreaks of protozoan infections in humans: a review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *120*(3), 201-216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.07.058

299. Robertson, L., Sprong, H., Ortega, Y., van der Giessen, J., & Fayer, R. (2014). Impacts of globalisation on foodborne parasites. *Trends in Parasitology*, *30*(1), 37-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2013.09.005

300. Robertson, L. J., Troell, K., Woolsey, I. D., & Kapel, C. M. (2016). Fresh fruit, vegetables, and mushrooms as transmission vehicles for *Echinococcus multilocularis* in Europe: inferences and concerns from sample analysis data from Poland. *Parasitology Research*, *115*(6), 2485-2488. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-016-5015-4

301. Robertson, L. (2018). Parasites in food: from a neglected position to an emerging issue. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*, *86*, 71-113. 10.1016/bs.afnr.2018.04.003

302. Robertson, L. J., Lalle, M., & Paulsen, P. (2020). Why we need a European focus on foodborne parasites. Experimental Parasitology, 214, 07900.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2020.107900

303. Rodriguez-Morales, A., Calvo-Betancourt, L., Alarcón-Olave, C., & Bolívar-Mejía, A. (2015). Echinococcosis in Colombia — a neglected zoonosis?. *Current Topics in Echinococcosis*. https://doi.org/10.5772/60731

304. Romig, T., Deplazes, P., Jenkins, D., Giraudoux, P., Massolo, A., Craig, P., Wassermann, M., Takahashi, K., & de la Rue M. (2017). Chapter five - Ecology and life cycle patterns of *Echinococcus* species. In: R. Thompson, P. Deplazes, A. Lymbery (Eds.) *Echinococcus and Echinococcosis, Part A* (pp. 213-314). Academic Press, Cambridge.

305. Röser, D., Nielsen, H., Petersen, E., Saugmann-Jensen, P., & Nørgaard-Pedersen, P. (2010). Congenital toxoplasmosis—a report on the Danish neonatal screening programme 1999– 2007. *Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease*, *33*(S2), 241-247. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10545-010-9124-4

306. Rousseau, A., La Carbona, S., Dumètre, A., Robertson, L., Gargala, G., & Escotte-Binet, S. et al. (2018). Assessing viability and infectivity of foodborne and waterborne stages (cysts/oocysts) of

Giardia duodenalis, Cryptosporidium spp., and *Toxoplasma gondii*: a review of methods. *Parasite*, *25*, 14. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2018009

307. Ryan, U., Xiao, L., Read, C., Sulaiman, I., Monis, P., & Lal, A. et al. (2003). A redescription of *Cryptosporidium galli* pavlasek, 1999 (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) from birds. *Journal of Parasitology*, *89*(4), 809-813. https://doi.org/10.1645/ge-74ri

308. Ryan, U., & Cacciò, S. M. (2013). Zoonotic potential of *Giardia*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *43*(12-13), 943-956. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.06.001

309. Ryan, U., Paparini, A., Monis, P., & Hijjawi, N. (2016). It's official – *Cryptosporidium* is a gregarine: what are the implications for the water industry? *Water Research*, *105*, 305-313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.09.013

310. Ryan, U., Hijjawi, N., & Xiao, L. (2018). Foodborne cryptosporidiosis. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *48*(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.09.004

311. Ryan, U., Hijjawi, N., Feng, Y., & Xiao, L. (2019). *Giardia*: an under-reported foodborne parasite. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *49*(1), 1-11.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.07.003

312. Ryan, U., & Zahedi, A. (2019). Molecular epidemiology of giardiasis from a veterinary perspective. *Advances in Parasitology*, 209-254. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2019.07.002

313. Rzezutka, A., Kaupke, A., Kozyra, I., & Pejsak, Z. (2014). Molecular studies on pig cryptosporidiosis in Poland. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, *17*(4), 577-582. https://doi.org/10.2478/pjvs-2014-0086

314. Salehi, L., Lofters, A., Hoffmann, S., Polsky, J., & Rouleau, K. (2015). Health and growth status of immigrant and refugee children in Toronto, Ontario: a retrospective chart review. *Paediatrics & Child Health*, *20*(8), e38-e42. https://doi.org/10.1093/pch/20.8.e38

315. Samie, A., Guerrant, R., Barrett, L., Bessong, P., Igumbor, E., & Obi, C. (2010). Prevalence of intestinal parasitic and bacterial pathogens in diarrhoeal and non-diarroeal human stools from Vhembe District, South Africa. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition, 27*(6).

https://doi.org/10.3329/jhpn.v27i6.4325

316. Sanad, M., Thagfan, F., Al Olayan, E., Almogren, A., Al Hammaad, A., Al-Mawash, A., & Mohamed, A. (2014). Opportunistic coccidian parasites among saudi cancer patients presenting with diarrhea: prevalence and immune status. *Research Journal of Parasitology*, *9*(2), 55-63. https://doi.org/10.3923/jp.2014.55.63 **317.** Sancak, B., Akyon, Y., & Ergüven, S. (2006). *Cyclospora* infection in five immunocompetent patients in a Turkish university hospital. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, *55*(4), 459-462. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46279-0

318. Sansavini, S., & Ranalli, P. (2012). Utilizzazione industriale, IV e V gamma. In: S. Sansavini &
P. Ranalli (Eds), *Manuale di ortofrutticoltura. Innovazioni tecnologiche e prospettive di mercato, Edagricole* (pp. 380-395). New Business Media, Milano.

319. Santos, H., Bandea, R., Martins, L., de Macedo, H., Peralta, R., & Peralta, J. et al. (2010). Differential identification of *Entamoeba* spp. based on the analysis of 18S rRNA. *Parasitology Research*, *106*(4), 883-888. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-1728-y

320. Sathyanarayanan, L., & Ortega, Y. (2004). Effects of pesticides on sporulation of *Cyclospora cayetanensis* and viability of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Journal of Food Protection*, *67*(5), 1044-1049. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-67.5.1044

321. Sathyanarayanan, L., & Ortega, Y. (2006). Effects of temperature and different food matrices on *Cyclospora cayetanensis* oocyst sporulation. *Journal of Parasitology*, *92*(2), 218-222. https://doi.org/10.1645/ge-630r.1

322. Scaglia, M., Strosselli, M., Brutia, R., Gatti, S., & Rondanelli, G. (1987). *Giardia* and giardiasis: morphological features of the protozoon and pathogenetic hypotheses of human infection. *Giornale di Malattie infettive e Parassitarie*. *39*, 789-798.

323. Scaglia, M., Gatti, S., Bassi, P., Viale, P., Novati, S., & Ranieri, S. (1994). Intestinal coinfection by *Cyclospora* sp. and *Cryptosporidium parvum*: first report in an AIDS patient. *Parasite*, *1*(4), 387-390. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/1994014387

324. Schaarschmidt, F. (2007). Experimental design for one-sided confidence intervals or hypothesis tests in binomial group testing. *Communications in Biometry and Crop Science*, *2*, 32e40.

325. Schiller, E. (1955). Studies on the helminth fauna of Alaska. XXVI. Some observations on the cold-resistance of eggs of *Echinococcus sibiricensis* Rausch and Schiller, 1954. *The Journal of Parasitology*, *41*, 578-582.

326. Schubach, T., Neves, E., Leite, A., Araújo, A., & de Moura, H. (1997). *Cyclospora cayetanensis* in an asymptomatic patient infected with HIV and HTLV-1. *Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, *91*(2), 175. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-9203(97)90212-1

327. Sela Saldinger, S., & Manulis-Sasson, S. (2014). What else can we do to mitigate contamination of fresh produce by foodborne pathogens? *Microbial Biotechnology*, *8*(1), 29-31. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12231

328. Shahnazi, M., & Jafari-Sabet, M. (2010). Prevalence of parasitic contamination of raw vegetables in villages of Qazvin province, Iran. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, *7*(9), 1025-1030. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2009.0477

329. Shapiro, K., Bahia-Oliveira, L., Dixon, B., Dumètre, A., De Wit, L. A., VanWormer, E., & Villena, I. (2019). Environmental transmission of *Toxoplasma gondii*: oocysts in water, soil and food. *Food and Waterborne Parasitology*, *15*, e00049.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fawpar.2019.e00049

330. Sharma, S., Sheehy, T., & Kolonel, L. (2014). Sources of vegetables, fruits and vitamins A, C and E among five ethnic groups: results from a multiethnic cohort study. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *68*(3), 384-391. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2013.271

331. Sherchand, J., Cross, J., Sherchand, S., Ohara, H., Sharma, P. R., & Shrestha, M. P. (1999). *Cyclospora cayetanensis* an important cause of diarrhea in Nepal. *Parasitology International*, *47*, 166. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1383-5769(98)80403-0

332. Shepherd, R., Smail, P., & Sinha, G. (1989). Reactive arthritis complicating cryptosporidial infection. *Archives of Disease In Childhood*, *64*(5), 743-744. https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.64.

333. Sherchand, J., Sherpa, K., Tandukar, S., Cross, J., Gajadhar, A. & Sherchand, J. (2010). Infection of *Cyclospora cayetanensis* in diarrhoeal children of Nepal. *Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society*, *30*, 23-30.

334. Shields, J., Lee, M., & Murphy, H. (2012). Use of a common laboratory glassware detergent improves recovery of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cyclospora cayetanensis* from lettuce, herbs and raspberries. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *153*(1-2), 123-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.10.025

335. Shrivastava, A., Kumar, S., Smith, W., & Sahu, P. (2017). Revisiting the global problem of cryptosporidiosis and recommendations. *Tropical Parasitology*, *7*(1), 8-17. https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-5070.202290

336. Shoultz, D., de Hostos, E., & Choy, R. (2016). Addressing *Cryptosporidium* infection among young children in low-income settings: the crucial role of new and existing drugs for reducing morbidity and mortality. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 10*(1), e0004242. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004242 **337.** Sibley, L., & Boothroyd, J. (1992). Virulent strains of *Toxoplasma gondii* comprise a single clonal lineage. *Nature*, *359*(6390), 82-85. https://doi.org/10.1038/359082a05.743

338. Sifuentes-Osornio, J., Porras-Cortes, G., Bendall, R., Morales-Villarreal, F., Reyes-Teran, G., & Ruiz-Palacios, G. (1995). *Cyclospora cayetanensis* infection in patients with and without AIDS: biliary disease as another clinical manifestation. *Clinical Infectious Diseases, 21*(5), 1092-1097. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/21.5.1092

339. Sim, S., Won, J., Kim, J., Kim, K., Park, W., & Yu, J. (2017). Simultaneous molecular detection of *Cryptosporidium* and *Cyclospora* from raw vegetables in Korea. *The Korean Journal of Parasitology*, *55*(2), 137-142. https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2017.55.2.137

340. Sitotaw, B., Mekuriaw, H., & Damtie, D. (2019). Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections and associated risk factors among Jawi primary school children, Jawi town, north-west Ethiopia. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *19*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-3971-x

341. Skrovankova, S., Sumczynski, D., Mlcek, J., Jurikova, T., & Sochor, J. (2015). Bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity in different types of berries. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *16*(10), 24673-24706. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms161024673

342. Slany, M., Dziedzinska, R., Babak, V., Kralik, P., Moravkova, M., & Slana, I. (2019). *Toxoplasma gondii* in vegetables from fields and farm storage facilities in the Czech Republic. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, *366*(14). https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnz170

343. Slifko, T., Raghubeer, E., & Rose, J. (2000). Effect of high hydrostatic pressure on *Cryptosporidium parvum* infectivity. *Journal of Food Protection*, *63*(9), 1262-1267.

https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-63.9.1262

344. Smith, J. (1993). *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* as agents of foodborne disease. *Journal of Food Protection*, *56*(5), 451-461. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-56.5.451

345. Smith, H. V., Paton, C. A., Mitambo, M. M., & Girdwood, R. W. (1997). Sporulation of *Cyclospora* sp. oocysts. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, *63*(4), 1631-1632.

https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.63.4.1631-1632.1997

346. Soares, R., & Tasca, T. (2016). Giardiasis: an update review on sensitivity and specificity of methods for laboratorial diagnosis. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, *129*, 98-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2016.08.017

347. Soave, R., Herwaldt, B., & Relman, D. (1998). *Cyclospora*. *Infectious Disease Clinics of North America*, *12*(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0891-5520(05)70404-9

348. Soliva-Fortuny, R., Alòs-Saiz, N., Espachs-Barroso, A., & Martin-Belloso, O. (2006). Influence of maturity at processing on quality attributes of fresh-cut conference pears. *Journal of Food Science*, *69*(7), 290-294. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2004.tb13631.x

349. Sponseller, J., Griffiths, J., & Tzipori, S. (2014). The evolution of respiratory cryptosporidiosis: evidence for transmission by inhalation. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *27*(3), 575-586. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00115-13

350. Sprong, H., Cacciò, S., & van der Giessen, J. (2009). Identification of zoonotic genotypes of *Giardia duodenalis*. *Plos Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *3*(12), e558.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000558

351. Steele, M., Unger, S., & Odumeru, J. (2003). Sensitivity of PCR detection of *Cyclospora cayetanensis* in raspberries, basil, and mesclun lettuce. *Journal Of Microbiological Methods*, *54*(2), 277-280. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-7012(03)00036-8

352. Steele, M., & Odumeru, J. (2004). Irrigation water as source of foodborne pathogens on fruit and vegetables. *Journal of Food Protection*, *67*(12), 2839-2849. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-67.12.2839

353. Sterling, C., & Ortega, Y. (1999). *Cyclospora*: an enigma worth unravelling. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *5*(1), 48-53. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0501.990106

354. Sun, T., Ilardi, C., Asnis, D., Bresciani, A., Goldenberg, S., Roberts, B., & Teichberg, S. (1996). Light and electron microscopic identification of *Cyclospora* species in the small intestine: evidence of the presence of asexual life cycle in human host. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, *105*(2), 216-220. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/105.2.216

355. Suslow, T. (1997). *Postharvest chlorination. Basic properties and key points for effective disinfection.* University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu/pdf/8003.pdf.

356. Takumi, K., de Vries, A., Chu, M., Mulder, J., Teunis, P., & van der Giessen, J. (2008). Evidence for an increasing presence of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in foxes in The Netherlands. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *38*(5), 571-578.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.09.014

357. Tamarozzi, F., Deplazes, P., & Casulli, A. (2020). Reinventing the wheel of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato transmission to humans. *Trends in Parasitology*, *36*(5), 427-434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2020.02.004 **358.** Tamburrini, A., & Pozio, E. (1999). Long-term survival of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in seawater and in experimentally infected mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*). *International Journal for Parasitology*, *29*(5), 711-715. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7519(99)00033-8

359. Taylor, M., Coop, R., Wall, R., Manfredi, M., Garippa, G., & Otranto, D. (2010). *Parassitologia e malattie parassitarie degli animali*. Edizioni Mediche Scientifiche Internazionali, Roma.

360. Tedde, T., Marangi, M., Papini, R., Salza, S., Normanno, G., Virgilio, S., & Giangaspero, A. (2019). *Toxoplasma gondii* and other zoonotic protozoans in Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) and blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*): a food safety concern? *Journal of Food Protection*, *82*(3), 535-542. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-18-157

361. Tefera, T., Tysnes, K., Utaaker, K., & Robertson, L. (2018). Parasite contamination of berries: risk, occurrence, and approaches for mitigation. *Food and Waterborne Parasitology*, *10*, 23-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fawpar.2018.04.002

362. Temesgen, T., Tysnes, K., & Robertson, L. (2019a). A new protocol for molecular detection of *Cyclospora cayetanensis* as contaminants of berry fruits. *Frontiers In Microbiology*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01939

363. Temesgen, T., Robertson, L., & Tysnes, K. (2019b). A novel multiplex real-time PCR for the detection of *Echinococcus multilocularis, Toxoplasma gondii,* and *Cyclospora cayetanensis* on berries. *Food Research International, 125,* 108636. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108636
364. Temesgen, T. (2020). "Detection and viability assessment of foodborne parasites of public health importance on berries." *NMBU.* ISBN 978-82-575-1787-8.

365. Temesgen, T., Barlaam, A., Tysnes, K., & Robertson, L. (2020). Comparative evaluation of UNEX-based DNA extraction for molecular detection of *Cyclospora cayetanensis, Toxoplasma gondii*, and *Cryptosporidium parvum* as contaminants of berries. *Food Microbiology*, *89*, 103447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2020.103447

366. Tenter, A. M., Heckeroth, A. R., & Weiss, L. M. (2000). *Toxoplasma gondii*: from animals to humans. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *30*(12-13), 1217-1258. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7519(00)00124-7

367. Thompson, R., & Ash, A. (2016). Molecular epidemiology of giardia and Cryptosporidium infections. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 40,* 315-323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2015.09.028

129

368. Thompson, R. (2017). Biology and systematics of *Echinococcus*. *Echinococcus And Echinococcosis, Part A*, 65-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.07.001

369. Thompson, R., & Ash, A. (2019). Molecular epidemiology of giardia and Cryptosporidium infections – What's new? *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, *75*, 103951.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2019.103951

370. Tiyo, R., De Souza, C., Piovesani, A., Tiyo, B., Colli, C., & Marchioro, A. et al. (2016). Predominance of *Giardia duodenalis* Assemblage AII in fresh leafy vegetables from a market in Southern Brazil. *Journal of Food Protection*, *79*(6), 1036-1039. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-15-306

371. Torgerson, P. R., Keller, K., Magnotta, M., & Ragland, N. (2010). The global burden of alveolar Echinococcosis. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *4*(6), e722.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000722

372. Torgerson, P., Robertson, L., Enemark, H., Foehr, J., van der Giessen, J., & Kapel, C. et al. (2020). Source attribution of human echinococcosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *14*(6), e0008382. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008382

373. Trachsel, D., Deplazes, P., & Mathis, A. (2007). Identification of taeniid eggs in the faeces from carnivores based on multiplex PCR using targets in mitochondrial DNA. *Parasitology*, *134*(6), 911-920. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182007002235

374. Trevisan, C., Torgerson, P., & Robertson, L. (2019). Foodborne parasites in Europe: present status and future trends. *Trends in Parasitology*, *35*(9), 695-703.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2019.07.002

375. Tumwine, J., Bakeera-Kitaka, S., Kekitiinwa, A., Feng, X., Ndeezi, G., Downing, R., Tzipori, S., & Akiyoshi, D. (2005). Cryptosporidiosis and microsporidiosis in ugandan children with persistent diarrhea with and without concurrent infection with the human immunodeficiency virus. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, *73*(5), 921-25.

https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2005.73.921

376. Turatti, A. (2011). Processo di lavorazione delle insalate di quarta gamma. In: R. Angelini,M. Gullino & I. Ponti (Eds). *Le Insalate* (pp. 420-437). ART Servizi Editiriali S.p.A, Bologna.

377. Turgay, N., Yolasigmaz, A., Oyur, T., Bardak-Ozcem, S., & Toz, S. (2012). Monthly distribution of intestinal parasites detected in a part of Western Turkey between May 2009-April 2010-Results of acid fast and modified trichrome staining methods. *Turkish Journal of Parasitology*, *36*(2), 71-74. https://doi.org/10.5152/tpd.2012.18

378. Tzipori, S., & Ward, H. (2002). Current focus cryptosporidiosis: biology, pathogenesis and disease. *Microbes and infection*, *4*, 1047-1058.

379. ul-Haq, S., Maqbool, A., Khan, U., Yasmin, G., & Sultana, R. (2014). Parasitic contamination of vegetables eaten raw in Lahore Pak. *Journal of Zoology*, *46*, 1303-1309.

380. Utaaker, K., Skjerve, E., & Robertson, L. (2017). Keeping it cool: survival of *Giardia* cysts and *Cryptosporidium* oocysts on lettuce leaves. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *255*, 51-57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.05.009

381. Uttah, E., Ogban, E., & Okonofua C. (2013). Toxoplasmosis: a global infection, so widespread, so neglected. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, *3*, 6.

382. Vanathy, K., Parija, S., Mandal, J., Hamide, A., & Krishnamurthy, S. (2017a).

Cryptosporidiosis: a mini review. Tropical Parasitology, 7(2), 72-80. 10.4103/tp.TP_25_17

383. Vanathy, K., Parija, S., Mandal, J., Hamide, A., & Krishnamurthy, S. (2017b). Detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool samples of immunocompromised patients. *Tropical Parasitology*, *7*, 41-46. https://doi.org/10.4103/tp.TP_66_16

384. Vansteelandt, S., Goetghebeur, E., & Verstraeten, T. (2020). Regression models for disease prevalence with diagnostic tests on pools of serum samples. *Biometrics*, 56(4), 1126-33. 10.1111/j.0006-341x.2000.01126.x

385. Varea, M., Clavel, A., Doiz, O., Castillo, F., Rubio, M., & Gómez-Lus, R. (1998). Fuchsin fluorescence and autofluorescence in *Cryptosporidium*, *Isospora* and *Cyclospora* oocysts. *International Journal For Parasitology*, *28*(12), 1881-1883. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7519(98)00146-5

386. Varoquaux, P., & Mazollier, J., 2002. Overview of the European fresh-cut produce industry. In: O. Lamikanra (Eds). *Fresh-cut fruits and vegetables, science, technology, and market.* Taylor and Francis Group, New York.

387. Veit, P., Bilger, B., Schad, V., Schäfer, J., Frank, W., & Lucius, R. (1995). Influence of environmental factors on the infectivity of *Echinococcus multilocularis* eggs. *Parasitology*, *110*(1), 79-86. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182000081075

388. Villena, I., Ancelle, T., Delmas, C., Garcia, P., Brézin, A., & Thulliez, P. et al. (2010). Congenital toxoplasmosis in France in 2007: first results from a national surveillance system. *Eurosurveillance*, *15*(25). https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.15.25.19600-en

389. Visvesvara, G., Moura, H., Kovacs-Nace, E., Wallace, S., & Eberhard, M. (1997). Uniform staining of Cyclospora oocysts in fecal smears by a modified safranin technique with microwave

heating. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *35*(3), 730-733. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.35.3.730-733.1997

390. Vuitton, D., Demonmerot, F., Knapp, J., Richou, C., Grenouillet, F., Chauchet, A., Vuitton, L., Bresson-Hadni, S., & Millon, L. (2015). Clinical epidemiology of human AE in Europe. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *213*(3-4), 110-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.07.036

391. Vyas, A., Kim, S., Giacomini, N., Boothroyd, J., & Sapolsky, R. (2007). Behavioral changes induced by *Toxoplasma* infection of rodents are highly specific to aversion of cat odors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *104*(15), 6442-6447. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0608310104

392. Wahlström, H., Enemark, H. L., Davidson, R. K., & Oksanen, A. (2015). Present status, actions taken and future considerations due to the findings of *E. multilocularis* in two scandinavian countries. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *213*(3-4), 172-181.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.07.037

393. Wainwright, K., Lagunas-Solar, M., Miller, M., Barr, B., Gardner, I., & Pina, C. et al. (2007). Physical inactivation of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in water. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *73*(17), 5663-5666. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00504-07

394. Wang, K. (2002). Cyclospora cayetanensis in Anhui, China. World Journal of

Gastroenterology, 8(6), 1144. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v8.i6.1144

395. Wang, R., Li, J., Chen, Y., Zhang, L., & Xiao, L. (2018). Widespread occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* infections in patients with HIV/AIDS: epidemiology, clinical feature, diagnosis, and therapy. *Acta Tropica*, *187*, 257-263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2018.08.018

396. Wong, B. (1984). Central-nervous-system toxoplasmosis in homosexual men and parenteral drug abusers. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *100*(1), 36. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-100-1-36

397. WHO. (2004). *Guidelines for drinking-water quality*. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from http://www.who.int/ water_sanitation_health/dwq/gdwq3/en/.

398. WHO. (2019). Increasing fruit and vegetable consumption to reduce the risk of noncommunicable diseases. Who.int. Retrieved 04 December 2020, from https://www.who.int/elena/bbc/fruit_vegetables_ncds/en/.

399. Woolsey, I., & Miller, A. (2020). *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato and *Echinococcus multilocularis*: a review. *Research in Veterinary Science*.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.11.010

132

400. Worlund, D., & Taylor, G. (1983). Estimation of disease incidence in fish populations. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *40*(12), 2194-2197. https://doi.org/10.1139/f83-254

401. Wurtz, R. (1994). *Cyclospora*: A newly identified intestinal pathogen of humans. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *18*(4), 620-623. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/18.4.620

402. Yoder, K., Sethabutr, O., & Relman. D. (1996). PCR-based detection of the intestinal pathogen *Cyclospora*, p. 169–176. In: Persing D. (ed.), *PCR protocols for emerging infectious diseases, a supplement to diagnostic molecular microbiology: principles and applications.* ASM Press, Washington, D.C.

403. Xiao, L., & Ryan, U. (2004). Cryptosporidiosis: an update in molecular epidemiology. *Gastrointestinal Infections*, *17*(5), 483-490.

404. Xiao, L., & Fayer, R. (2008). Molecular characterisation of species and genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* and assessment of zoonotic transmission. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *38*(11), 1239-1255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2008.03.006

405. Xiao, L., & Feng, Y. (2017). Molecular epidemiologic tools for waterborne pathogens *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia duodenalis*. *Food and Waterborne Parasitology*, *8-9*, 14-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fawpar.2017.09.002

406. Zahedi, A., Paparini, A., Jian, F., Robertson, I., & Ryan, U. (2016). Public health significance of zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* species in wildlife: critical insights into better drinking water management. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife*, *5*(1), 88-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2015.12.001

407. Zahedi, A., & Ryan, U. (2020). *Cryptosporidium* – An update with an emphasis on foodborne and waterborne transmission. *Research in Veterinary Science*, *132*, 500-512.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.08.002

408. Zar, F., El-Bayoumi, E., & Yungbluth, M. (2001). Histologic proof of acalculous cholecystitis due to *Cyclospora cayetanensis*. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *33*(12), e140-e141. https://doi.org/10.1086/324586

8. ANNEX 1: ISOLATION OF DNA WITH DNEASY POWERSOIL KIT

The protocol is used for the isolation of genomic DNA from the concentrated RTE salads and berry washes.

Note: If **Solution C1** is precipitated, heat solution to 60°C until dissolved before use.

- 1. To the **PowerBead Tube**, add 250 μ l of the sample and gently vortex to mix.
- 2. Add 60 μL of **Solution C1** and vortex briefly.
- 3. Secure PowerBead Tubes and vortex briefly.
- 4. Subject the tubes to 2 cycles of bead-beating of 4 m/s for 60 s with 45 s pause between cycles.
- 5. Centrifuge tubes at 10,000 x g for 30 seconds.
- 6. Transfer the supernatant (about 500 μ L) to a clean 2 ml collection tube.
- 7. Add 250 μ L of **Solution C2** and vortex for 5 seconds. Incubate at 4°C for 5 minutes.
- 8. Centrifuge the tubes at room temperature for 1 minute at $10,000 \times g$.
- 9. Avoiding the pellet, transfer up to 600 µL of supernatant to a clean 2 ml Collection Tube.
- 10. Add 200 µL of Solution C3 and vortex briefly. Incubate at 4°C for 5 minutes
- 11. Centrifuge the tubes at room temperature for 1 minute at 10,000 x g.
- 12. Avoiding the pellet, transfer up to 750 μ l of supernatant into a clean 2 ml Collection Tube.
- 13. Shake to mix **Solution C4** before use. Add 1200 μ l of Solution C4 to the supernatant and vortex for 5 seconds.
- 14. Load 675 μ l onto MB Spin column and centrifuge at 10,000 x g for 1 minute.
- 15. Repeat step 13 until all of the sample has been processed.
- 16. Add 500 μL of **Solution C5** and centrifuge at 10,000 x g for 30 seconds.
- 17. Discard the flow through. Centrifuge again at 10,000 x g for 1 minute.
- Carefully place MB spin column in a clean 2 ml Collection Tube. Avoid splashing any Solution C5 onto the Spin column.
- 19. Add 50 μ L of **Solution C6** to the center of the white filter membrane.
- 20. Centrifuge at 10,000 x g for 30 seconds and discard the Spin column. The DNA is now ready and can be stored at 4°C for imminent use or stored at -20°C for later use.

9. ANNEX 2: EXPERIENCE

This thesis shows the most important results obtained during my Ph.D. However, during this threeyear period, I had the opportunity to take part in other projects and collaborate with several Italian and foreign institutions.

The main activities not related to the Ph.D. project are listed below:

- Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain. University of Foggia.
- Evaluation of the prevalence of vector-borne diseases, i.e., *Bartonella* spp., *Rickettsia* spp., *Ehrlichia* spp., *Anaplasma* spp., *Leishmania* spp, in cats in Central and Southern Italy. In collaboration with the University of Teramo.
- Microscopy and molecular investigation on *Lipoptena* (Diptera: Hippoboscidae) circulating in wild ungulates in Northern Italy and elaboration of an updated taxonomic key for the identification of *Lipoptena* spp. of Europe. University of Foggia.
- Laboratory activities finalized at comparing two UNEX-based DNA extraction methods for the molecular detection of *C. cayetanensis*, *T. gondii*, and *C. parvum* as contaminants of berries.
 In collaboration with the Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU).
- Molecular and microscopical investigation on *Megaselia* spp. larvae collected from a child. In collaboration with Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome.
- Molecular and microscopical investigation on a *Dermanyssus gallinae* specimen isolated from a dog and specimens collected from a poultry farm. In collaboration with the University of Torino.

Publications:

- Cafiero, M. A., **Barlaam, A.**, Camarda, A., Radeski, M., Mul, M., Sparagano, O., & Giangaspero, A. (2019). *Dermanyssus gallinae* attacks humans. Mind the gap! *Avian Pathology*, *48*(sup1), S22-S34. https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2019.1633010
- Barlaam, A., Parisi, A., Spinelli, E., Caruso, M., Taranto, P. D., & Normanno, G. (2019). Global emergence of colistin-resistant *Escherichia coli* in food chains and associated food safety implications: a review. *Journal of Food Protection*, 82(8), 1440-1448. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-19-116
- Morelli, S., Crisi, P. E., Di Cesare, A., De Santis, F., **Barlaam, A.**, Santoprete, G., Parrinello, C., Palermo, S., Mancini, P., & Traversa, D. (2019). Exposure of client-owned cats to zoonotic vector-borne pathogens: clinic-pathological alterations and infection risk

analysis. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 66,* 101344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid.2019.101344

- Salvetti, M., Bianchi, A., Marangi, M., **Barlaam, A.**, Giacomelli, S., Bertoletti, I., Roy, L., & Giangaspero, A. (2019). Deer keds on wild ungulates in northern Italy, with a taxonomic key for the identification of *Lipoptena* spp. of Europe. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, *34*(1), 74-85. https://doi.org/10.1111/mve.12411
- Normanno, G., Spinelli, E., Caruso, M., Fraccalvieri, R., Capozzi, L., Barlaam, A., & Parisi, A. (2020). Occurrence and characteristics of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in buffalo bulk tank milk and the farm workers in Italy. *Food Microbiology*, *91*, 103509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2020.103509
- Temesgen, T. T., Barlaam, A., Tysnes, K. R., & Robertson, L. J. (2020). Comparative evaluation of UNEX-based DNA extraction for molecular detection of *Cyclospora cayetanensis*, *Toxoplasma gondii*, and *Cryptosporidium parvum* as contaminants of berries. *Food Microbiology*, *89*, 103447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2020.103447
- **Barlaam, A.**, Traversa, D., Papini, R., & Giangaspero, A. (2020). Habronematidosis in equids: current status, advances, future challenges. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00358
- Giangaspero, A., **Barlaam, A.**, Pane, S., Marchili, M. R., Onetti Muda, A., Putignani, L., & Hall, M. J. (2020). Accidental nasal myiasis caused by *Megaselia rufipes* (Diptera: Phoridae) in a child. *Journal of Medical Entomology*. https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjaa184
- Andreani, A., Giangaspero A., Marangi, M., **Barlaam, A.**, Ponzetta, M., Roy, L., Belcari, A., & Sacchetti P. (2020). Asia and Europe: so distant so close? The case of *Lipoptena fortisetosa* in Italy. *Korean Journal of Parasitology*. Vol. 58, No. 6. Online ahead of print.
- Morelli, S., Colombo, M., Dimzas, D., **Barlaam, A.**, Traversa, D., & Di Cesare, A. et al. (2020). *Leishmania infantum* seroprevalence in cats from touristic areas of Italy and Greece. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.616566
- **Barlaam, A.**, Temesgen, T., Tysnes K., Rinaldi, L., Ferrari, N., Sannella, A., Normanno, G., Cacciò, S., Robertson, L. Giangaspero, A. Contamination of fresh produce sold on the Italian market with *Cyclospora cayetanensis* and *Echinococcus multilocularis*. Under review.

Research stays:

- From the 4th to the 8th of September 2018: Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Teramo. Training on laboratory techniques applicable to the VBDs project.
- From the 25th to the 27th of July 2018 & from the 15th to the 18th of July 2019: Short stay at Istituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS) to carry out research experiments in molecular biology related with the Ph.D thesis. Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Roma.

- From the 20th to the 23rd of May 2019 & from the 25th to the 26th July 2019: Microscopic observation of fresh produce samples using the FLOTAC technique. Cremopar, University of Naples Federico II.
- From the 1st to the 18th of February 2019 & from the 14th of August 2019 to the 31st of January 2020: 6-months research stay at the Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Oslo, Norway.

Participation to International and National Congress:

- **15th of March 2018**: *"La prospettiva* One Health *nella diagnostica infettivologica"*. Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome.
- From the 26th to the 28th of March 2018: "One Health overview of PRM infestation and treatment interdisciplinary approach" Workshop Working Group 2. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Skopje.

Speaker at workshops/conferences:

- **22nd of November 2018**: Workshop: "Nuovi rischi infettivi e parassitari nella catena alimentare". Department of Sciences of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Foggia. Oral presentation: "Contaminazione da protozoi negli alimenti vegetali, nell'ottica One Health".
- From the 12th to the 14th of February 2019: COST Action: FA1408. Euro-FBP: what next? INIAV, Oeiras, Portugal. Poster Presentation: "*Cyclospora* in Italy: current situation and perspectives".
- From the 17th to the 21st of June 2019: 1st Joint Meeting of Agriculture-Oriented PhD Programs at UniCT, UniFG, and UniUD. Higher education for sustainable food production. Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Catania. Oral presentation: "Investigation of *Cyclospora cayetanensis* in fresh produce in Italy".
- From the 24th to the 26st of June 2019: 7th International *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* conference. Rouen, France. Short talk: "Investigation on *Cyclospora cayetanensis* in fresh produce in Italy".
- From the 14th to the 16th of September 2020: 2nd Joint Meeting of Agriculture-oriented PhD Programs (UniCT, UniFG and UniUD). Department of the Sciences of Agriculture, Food and Environment (SAFE), University of Foggia. Oral presentation: "Investigation on pathogenic parasites in fresh produce sold on the Italian market".
- **22nd of September 2020**: Spring Parasitology. SOIPA (Società Italiana di Parassitologia). ONLINE_Microsoft Teams. Oral presentation: "Investigation on pathogenic parasites in fresh produce sold on the Italian market".

I had the opportunity to take part in different **teaching activities**:

- From January 2018 to present: Practical training for undergraduate students on microscopy and parasites identification in the context of the courses "Parasitic diseases of livestock" and "Anthropozoonoses" (Prof. Annunziata Giangaspero). University of Foggia.
- From February 2020 to present: Teaching assistant in the context of the courses "Parasitic diseases of livestock" and "Anthropozoonoses" (Prof. Annunziata Giangaspero). University of Foggia.

I successfully completed an **English language course** and passed the exam for the Cambridge English Qualification:

From November to June 2019: CAE (C1) Advanced English Preparation Course. University Language Centre, Università di Foggia. Cambridge English: C1 Advanced (CAE). Score: 195/210.

10. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Professor Colelli for being the best coordinator we could have hoped for. Of these three years I won't forget the incredible work experiences and the good times we all shared together. Thank you for being a mentor and a leader, never a boss.

Professor Giangaspero, my supervisor, thanks to whom this project has seen the light of the day. Thank you for your support, for always believing in me and for the wonderful opportunities I have been given in these three years. I have always valued our conversations on our common interests and not necessarily related to work. I have appreciated our honest relationship and our willingness to talk things through especially on those occasions when we had different views.

Thank you for everything, I couldn't have done it without you!

Lucy and Kristoffer, my co-supervisors, thank you for hosting me in Norway with such enthusiasm and for always making me feel welcome. It has been the best experience I could have hoped for. I really want to thank you for all the things that you have taught me, that I was very proud to bring back to Italy with me. I want to thank you for your constant support over the months while writing the manuscripts and the thesis. I hope this isn't the end of a path but the beginning of more things together.

Doctor Cacciò and Anna, for all your invaluable help in analysing the samples and making my visits to ISS something to look forward to. Thank you both for all your help and advice.

<u>Professor Cringoli, Laura and Davide</u> for your assistance and collaboration in the microscopy investigation. It has been a great pleasure working with you.

Professor Berrilli, thank you for providing the positive controls for *Entamoeba* and for sharing your valuable advice throughout the Ph.D.

I want to thank all the professors and researchers we have collaborated with on different projects during these three years. Among them I want to thank <u>Professor Normanno</u> (Unifg); special thanks also go to <u>Professor Traversa, Angela and Simone</u> (UniTE), thank you for giving me the opportunity to collaborate with you and work with my beloved cats.

All the **Ph.D. students of our program "Management of Innovation"** who crossed my path and shared with me this incredible adventure. Special thanks go to **Elisa** for the friendship that binds us since we met, to the 33rd cycle Ph.D. students **Alessia, Federica, Maddalena, Antonella and Danial** for sharing this whole experience with me between ups and downs, long working days, lectures and seminars, lunches and coffee breaks, complaints, and many successes for all of us. It looks like we

139

made it in the end! I also want to thank <u>Marco, Ilaria and all the "The Germinara's"</u> for making the lab such a fun place to be.

<u>The whole Parasitology team at NMBU</u> Ian, Jonathan, Vetle, Tsega and Carolina, it has been a pleasure working with you all. Special thanks go to <u>Tamirat</u> for all you had to cope with during my stay at NMBU and the good times we have shared at University working on our projects. Thank you for being not just a colleague, but a devoted friend.

I am forever grateful to my<u>managers and colleagues</u> who have shared with me the years <u>in England</u>, for the amazing opportunities I have been offered, but mostly, for giving me the confidence to face life and work the way I do today. I also want to thank <u>all friends and colleagues from all over the</u> <u>world</u> that I have met during the Erasmus, the traineeships and the travelling abroad for opening my mind and changing my life in so many ways.

Stefano, thank you for being my number one supporter through everything, no matter what. Thank you for being there when this job meant endless days and nights at work and months abroad, on those days when everything was great, and we were on top of the world but mostly on those days when nothing seemed to be going in the right direction. Thank you for everything you have done for me in these three years and before that. You are my rock.

<u>Antonio</u>, my son, who will soon be joining us. May science guide you through life and may you fight for what you, and only you, believe is right, even when you will be unpopular for it.

<u>Mom and dad</u>, you got me here. Thank you for having always supported me along my journey in life.

<u>Mattia</u>, my nephew, whom I love so much, for reminding me with his smile how beautiful life is, even in the darkest of times.

Last but not least, my biggest thanks go to my big crazy family and all my friends, I feel lucky to be surrounded by such an amazing crew. Thank you all!

Heraudig orlaam

Ph.D. Student: Alessandra Barlaam

Tutor: Prof. Annunziata Giangaspero